. BD 486 155

RC 011 509

AUTHOR TITLE

INSTITUTION

PUB DATE . NOTE

SPONS AGENCY .

EDRS PRICE. DESCRIPTORS .

JDENTIFIERS

Marshall, Chris: And Others A Social Report for Carroll County: Social Indicators for Pural Development. Sociology Report 1346.

Iowa Agricultural and Home Economics Experiment Station, Ames.: Iowa State Univ. of Science and Technology, Ames. Dept. of Sociclegy and Anthropology.

Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C.

541p.: Publication contributes to USDA Project 2142, "Social Indicator Models for Fural Levelopment". May not reproduce well due to small print size.

M.FO2 Plus Postage. PC Not Available from EDRS. Agriculture: Communications: *Decision Making: Economic Factors: Education: Employment: Health: Housing: Human Resources: Income: Industry: Law Enforcement: Leisure Time: *L'ccal Government: Needs Assessment: Physical Environment: Planning: *Quality of Life: Pecreational Activities: *Rural Development; Pural Population: Safety: *Social Indicators: *Statistical Studies: Tables (Data): Transportation

*Iowa (Carroll County): Public Safety

ABSTRACT

Since the burden of improving quality of life is often squarely placed on the shoulders of public decision makers, . this report (one of the products of Project 2142) provides a basis for assisting county-level decision makers in the planning process. Statistics that "indicate" the social well being or quality of life experienced by people in Carrell County, Towa, in the areas of employment, income, health, education, housing, leisure and recreation, public safety, and physical environment are presented and crganized according to the availability and allocation of financial resources, the structure of services, the utilization of available services, and the level of well being. Statistics in the following three areas, usually beyond the control of decision makers, form a resource base. Human resources include the composition, distribution and change of population and living arrangements and family structure. Geographical/physical resources include natural resources. climate conditions, and organization of the land. Economic resources include government, transportation and communication, agriculture, and commerce and industry. Derived from reports published by a variety of governmental and private agencies, most data cover at least two points in time and two geographical units so the reader can analyze social change. All data are presented in one of three alternative table designs, depending on the type of variable under consideration. (NEC)

************ Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

A SOCIAL REPORT

CARROLL COUNTY

SOCIAL INDICATORS FOR RURAL, DEVELOPMENT

Prepared by

Chris Marshall Keith Carter Rodney Ganey Frank Fear

SOCIOLOGICAL STUDIES IN SOCIAL INDICATORS

Project Co-Directors: Gerald E. Klonglan, Richard D. Warren, and George M. Beal Project Coordinator: Frank A. Fear

Project 2142

Iowa Agriculture and Home Economics Experiment Station

Iowa State University.

U S DEPARTMENT OF NEAL IM EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF FOUCATION

The Sign ME A STATE WE SHOW THE STATE OF THE

Sociology Report 134G
Department of Sociology and Anthropology
Iowa State University
Ames, Iowa 50011

Fall, 1977

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

KEITH A. CARTER

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

This report was made available through funds provided by Title V of the Rural Development Act of 1972.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

•	_				*		PAGE
Acknowledgements		•				٠,	, v'
Preface				•			ri
Chapter I: Introduction			i			•	1 2
B. What this report is about: effective planning and social indicators		•	,		•	•	2 3 4
 Eight policy-related areas of well-being. Types of indicators measuring key factors, in the well-being areas. Factors affecting well-being usually beyond 						`	4
the control of decision makers: the resource base			•	•		,	5
F. How the data presented in this report F. How the data are presented: table designs		•		٠			, 7 9 9
 Table design 1		•		•			10 10 13
3. Table design 3	•	•	•	•	•		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \

		PAGI
	T V	LACIL
G.	Limitations of this report :	15`
	1. Mobilizing recent data	15
	2. Data comparability	16
	3. Data not obtained	16
H ₃	A source of additional assistance	1,7
Chapter	3. Human Resources	184
	Population composition	19
В.	Population distribution	29
c.	Population change	, 32
	Living arrangements and family structure	43
		٠
	3: Geographical/Physical Resources	48
	Natural resources	• 49
В.		53
()	Organization of the land	57
Chapter	4: Economic Resources	61
Α.	Covernment sector	62
* R.	Transportation and communication sector	75
	Agriculture sector	82
D.	. Commercial and industrial sector	95
Chanens	5: Employment	105
	Financial resources	/ 106
	Structure of services. :	107
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	108
٠ ب	Status of social well-being.	109
¥.F.	Status of social Mati-pains.	703



<

,		,					*	-											PAGE
						٠,												•	•
	6: Цисоте																		128
Α.	Financial resources																		129
· В.	Structure of services																		137
	Utilization of services						:			•	•	•				•		•	138
· b.	Status of social well-being.	•	•	•	•	•	.`	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	٠			143
Chapter	7: Health	. •									•							•	i52
/ A.	Financial resources														٠	,			153
В.	Structure of services :	:												٠	`.				` 159
С.	Utilization of services		. `											•	٠.				167
, p.	Status of social well-being.	•		•	•	•		٠.	•	•	•	•	•	• ` *	•				173
Chapter	8: Education												,				•		185
	· Financial resources																	•	186
В.	Structure of services. ,										•								191
c.	Utilization of services. : .																		193
D.	Status of social well-being.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠.	•	٠	*	٠.	•	•			203
Chapter	9: Housing				:														213
l'A.	-																		214
В.	Structure of services					,						٠							215
~ C.	Ctilizarion of services																		216
_ D.																			217
Chapter	10: Leisure						•												231
Α.	Financial resources							."		•			•					. •	232.
	Structure of services												٠	٠		ͺ.			237
ā.	litilization of services																		243
D.	Status of social well-being.																		244

8

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

	,	,									٠									PAGE
Chapt	er	ll: Public Safery			,	, •		,							٠		٠			245
	Α.	Financial resources				\. `														246
	8.	Staucture of services				'	,	•				٠								255
	C.	"tilization of services				•	'سب									. •				257
	. 63	Status of social well-being	٠.																	260 ~
		•									•									•
Sugar	ga y	il: Environment				:				٠.						٠				2681
_	Λ_{∞}	Financial resources		•		:			•	٠.		٠	•		٠					269
,	В.	Structure of services		•		, •	٠	.•							٠,		٠		149	273
	c.	Utilization of services		•														٠		275
× ,	D.	Status of social well-being			•				٠		•		• •		•					276

9

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A number of individuals, representing a variety of professional backgrounds, contributed to the preparation of this report. The statif learned a great deal from other researchers who have prepared "notial reports in the past. The debt owed to these individuals is noteworthy.

which this report is a part, has been the input of Fred Wepprecht and Clarence Rice of the Fort Dodge, Iowa Area Extension Office.

Messrs. Wepprecht and Rice functioned as valuable advisors to the research staff. Other individuals who contributed to the research include: John Tait and Art Johnson, Iowa State University Extension Sociologists; Norm Moklestad, Humboldt County (Iowa) Extension Director; C. Lynn Habben, Hamilton County (Iowa) Extension Director; John Burton and Sid Jackson of the Iowa Development Association; and John Burton and Sid Jackson of the Iowa Title V evaluation staff,

All research projects seek the support of key administrators. The continual support of the key administrators in our instance— Dr. Ronald C. Powers, Coordinator of the Iowa Title V Program and Director of the North Central Regional Center for Rural Development, and Ms. Henrietta Van Maanen, Area Extension Director of the Fort Dodge, Iowa Extension Area—is particularly appreciated.

A special note of thanks must be directed to four individuals, without whose assistance this report could not have been prepared.

Ms. Wiriam Bond, State Documents Specialist of the
Covernment Documents Section, Iowa State University Library helped the staff secure a great amount of the data appearing in this report. Ms. Chris Moats carried out the laborious, yet vital, fole of proofing and checking the accuracy of the published data. And finally, the job of typing the many drafts of this report was skillfully performed, as always, by Ms: Peg Higley and Ms. Kathy Flaherty.

PREFACE

This social report is part of a research effort undertaken at lowa State University in association with the Cooperative Extension Service (USDA and ISU). The research was conducted as part of the Title V program of the Rural Development Act of, 1977.

A social report provides basic information concerning the quality of life experienced by people. The variety of statistics found in this report were included because they "indicate" something important about the quality of life in Carroll County. Thus, these statistics are labeled "social indicators" because they measure important social concerns associated with quality of life such as health, education, employment, income, leisure, public safety, environment, and housing in Carroll County. The data for most of these indicators are presented for several points in time so that changes that have occurred can be discovered.

This social report resulted from interaction among researchers and decision makers. However, the indicators found in the report should not be interpreted as being "the" indicators that will be used forever. Constant interaction between researchers and decision makers is necessary to provide the best indicators possible. Social reporting should be an evolving process between decision makers and researchers. This is one of the major reasons

why the report is being distributed to many potential asers during a workshop session. During the course of the workshop, decision makers will learn how the report was prepared, and why specific indicators were selected for inclusion in the report. They will also see how the data in the report can be used for planning, particularly during the needs-assessment stages of the planning process.

The need for social reports has been expressed on the part of many federal and state officials because massive amounts of information are being collected by innumerable government agencies, but much of this information is rarely organized in a usable form applicable to the planning process. This report is an attempt to organize policy-relevant information for Carroll County. While many social reports have been published at the federal, state, and metropolitan levels, very few county-level social reports have been constructed. This report was developed in order to provide policy-relevant information at the county level.

The plan of this report is as follows. In Chapter 1, the construction and organization of this report is discussed. Chapters 2 through 12 contain the social indicators of the quality of life in Carroll County. Each of these chapters will focus on a different area of social concern.

13

I2

INTRODUCTION

THE TRUIC CONCERN ABOUT QUALITY OF LIFE

Quality of life is a contemporary topic. The findings of countless opinion polls strongly suggest that the swerage American is vitally concerned about such public issues as: environmental anditagns, energy conservation and development, and population crowth. Moreover, Americans in the 1970's have been faced with inflation, high rates of unemployment, and skyrock ting heat's care delivery and Mousing costs. Many people have scarted to ask whether we can achieve and maintain a high quality of life. A number of Americans believe that our quality of life has declined because of a decrease in the production and consumption of some of the "good things" of American life. ' It is apparent that America no longer possesses unlimited resources, Consequently, most of us understand that to secure a high quality of life, "trade offs" are necessary, but difficult, in the very complex situation of modern America. For example, the national thrust for energy conservation and independence often clashes with a personal desire for lower transportation costs.

the turnion of improving the quality of life is often coursely three on the shoulders of public decision makers. These decision makers are faced with the responsibility of developing, implementing, and evaluating the social plans and programs needed to insure well-being for all people.

While much attention is focused on the Social problems confronting the nation as a whole, a variety of critical problems

face people at the local level. For example, how may scarce resources be best allocated to improve health care delivery and housing conditions in the local community? State and federal decision makers often cannot help alleviate many of these problems as directly, or as well, as local-level decision makers. Local-level decision makers, being closest to these problems, have the potential to effectively act upon pressing social problems.

The purpose of this report is to provide a basic for assisting local-level decision makers, particularly at the county level in the planning process. In the remainder of this chapter, we shall explain more about the research, the content of the report, and how this report can be used by Carroll County decision makers.

WHAT THIS REPORT IS ABOUT: EFFECTIVE PLANNING AND SOCIAL INDICATORS

A major concern shared by decision makers at all levels is the ability to isolate the key problems facing the people to whom they are responsible. One of the many ways decision makers can obtain an understanding of what some of these key problems are is to analyze their jurisdictional area. One form of information is statistics that "indicate" the social well-being or quality of life experienced by people in the area.

14

RIC Page 1 blant

15

bot make years, economists have measured economic conditions through a second accounts indicators. However, the concern for improving our quality of life goes beyond what simply economic indicators can tell us. In addition to economic indicators, decision takers reed social indicators of broader social conditions such is east, education, leisure, public safety, environment, and is using. Thus, the intermation provided by a set of economic indications at he is not enough to assess the quality of life expenser ed by people. This belief is found in the goal of Phalmaged rocal sevelopment." Balanced rural development refers to the lotten that the quality of life experienced by people concerns more than is reflected by economic indicators of income and employment. Thus, a set of social indicators provides informatten beyond what is provided by economic indicators and hopefully, may be useful in improving the broad social conditions mentioned above.

During the past decade, researchers have been working on identifying a set of indicators that measure these broader aspects of quality of life. These social indicators are statistics that measure the noneconomic, as well as the economic, features associated with the quality of life experienced by people. So ial indicators, therefore, measure well-being in a variety of generally recognized public concern areas. These con error might include how healthy people are, how well-housed people are, and how safe people are from criminal activity.

Social indicator data have been used by decision makers at the hational level as a basis for obtaining a keener awareness and understanding about how well-off people are and, in addition, how our quality of life has changed over a period of time. Data of this type have become a valuable asset in the social policy-planning process, especially when decision makers trying to assess what major needs people have.

Whereas a great deal of attention has been devoted to developing social indicators at the national level, very little effort has been directed toward developing social indicators at the local level. Yet social indicators can help solve the problem of a lack of information experienced by many local-level decision makers due to the lack of access to data sources. Furthermore, social indicators can be a valuable tool in the process of effective planning since these data can provide the basis for an accurate and objective view of social conditions.

What types of indicators were selected for this social report on Carroll County? We shall address this question in the next section.

APPROACHES TO MEASURING WELL-BEING

Researchers, attempting to assist decision makers by providing data, must provide the type of data that is relevant to the policy-planning process. In order to come to an understanding about what policy-related indicators should be developed for

16

Carroll County, the research staff began by asking an important question: What are the major social policy areas in which decisions are made that affect well-being? We have referred to some of these policy areas in the previous section.

Eight policy-related areas of well-being

There are a number of policy areas that affect well-being. However, there is a fairly high degree of agreement that eight areas are of particular significance in American society.

These great are:

Health Education Public Safety-Housing Income
Employment
Environment
Leisure and Recreation

Therefore; in attempting to enhance our quality of life through balanced rural development, important questions about these various areas of social well-being must be answered.

For example:

- 1. Health: How healthy are our people?
- 2. Public Safety: How safe are our people?
- 3. Education: How well-educated are our people?
- 4. Employment: How many people are employed, unemployed, or underemployed?
- 5. Income: How able are our people to obtain a sufficient living income?

- 6. Housing: How adequate is our housing?
- 7. Leisure: Do our people have adequate resources for leisure activities?
- 8. Environment: How clean and safe is our air, streams, lakes, and rivers?

Types of indicators measuring key factors in the well-being areas

It is reasonable to assume that the next important step would be to identify a key set of social indicators for these eight well-being areas. But from a planning perspective, what types of information about each of these areas are needed? First, it is important to accurately measure the level or "status" of well-being. This will give decision makers information about current social conditions, such as how many people are unemployed, how many people are dying from cancer, and how many people are living in substandard housing.

A second very important question from a policy viewpoint is: What factors contribute to the level of well-being experienced by people? As we mentioned before, decision makers in a position to positively affect well-being have varying degrees of control over some of the key factors that contribute to our quality of life. What are some of these key factors? Three basic factors are: financial resources, services, and the efficient utilization of services. It should be noted these factors are closely related.

services. Through the implementation of services, via social programs, decision makers can help people meet some of their hasic needs, such as health care. We usually think of services as a ming in two forms: (1) the personnel who provide services e.g.; physicians) and (2) the facilities in which these services are offered (e.g., hospitals).

disposal in order to provide the critical services which people require to satisfy their needs. Unfortunately, money is a scare resource. Therefore, the dollars available to decision makers must be judiciously and rationally allocated in order to provide services that help meet priority needs.

Furthermore, no matter how many financial resources are ased to provide services, people must utilize services if these services are to positively affect well-being. One of the major problems facing decision makers in this regard is to insure that available services are efficiently utilized. This not only means utilization rates need to be carefully monitored, but also that services should be easily accessible to people in terms of both cost of the service and distance from the service. In addition, decision takers should know whether the people who need services the most (sig., the economically disadvantaged) are actually using the available services.

Figure 1 provides a summary of our discussion. The three policy-relevant factors we considered as contributing to the level of well-being-financial resources, services, and the utilization of services-are illustrated. The evaluation of the level of well-being may help decision makers decide how available dollars can best be allocated. Thus, Figure 1, on page 6 depicts a decision-making framework that may be useful in the policy process. In summary, this decision-making framework contains the four basic factors of (!) financial resources, (2) services, (3) utilization of services, and (4) level of well-being.


As mentioned, the research staff believes that social indicators for the eight well-being areas identified in the last section should be made available to decision makers. The four policy-related factors discussed in this section represent the types of indicators that should be made available in each of these areas. An example of an indicator measuring each factor for the health area is presented in Figure 1.

Factors affecting well-being usually beyond the control of decision makers: the resource base

The three factors (financial resources, services, and utilization of services) considered in the previous section that contribute to the fourth factor (level of well-being) are, in varying degrees, under the decision maker's control. For example, a decision maker may decide to initiate, maintain, or terminate certain services.

Figure 1. Policy-related factors contributing to the level of well-being

Areas of well-being: Health,* Education, Public Safety, Housing, Employment, Income, Physical Environment, and Leisure and Recreation



^{*} The health area is used as the basis for the different examples presented in each box.

On the other and, there are some factors thought to affect the level fiveld-leving that are beyond the decision maker's control. These factors may be considered basic socioeconomic and geographical variables that fundamentally characterize a political unit, such as a county. We view these as constituting a resource base. There are essentially three broad types of resources, as depicted in Figure 2 on page 8, that can be included in the resource base: human resources, geographical/physical resources, and economic resources:

Human resources refer to a variety of important populationrelated conditions. Some of the variables associated with human
resources are (1) the composition of the population (e.g., by age
and sex), (2) the distribution of the population (e.g., the urban/
rural distribution), (3) the change in population size, and
(4) changes in living arrangements and family structure (e.g.,
trends in the number of marital dissolutions). Geographical/
physical resources involve basic features of the land, such as the
number of acres devoted to agriculture, the amount of available
natural resources (e.g., forest lands, mineral deposits, etc.),
and climatic conditions. Economic resources relate to the vitality
of the economy as reflected in the following economic sectors:
(1) government sector, (2) transportation and communication sector,
(3) agricultural sector, and (4) commercial and industrial sector.

Dranatic changes in these basic resources, such as a significant increase in the number of people moving out of a

locale, can affect well-being. Yet public decision makers cannot directly affect changes in out-migration. Since factors that constitute the resource base may affect our quality of life, decision makers should be aware of the changing conditions involving human, geographical/physical, and economic resources. Therefore, the research staff has identified social indicators that measure the resource base, as well as the eight areas of well-being. Many indicators of the resource base will be presented in this report.


HOW THE SOCIAL INDICATORS IN THIS REPORT ARE ORGANIZED

There will be a number of chapters following this introductory section that include a select set of social indicators.

Each chapter will focus on a different section of the decisionmaking framework introduced in the preceding section. In other
words, there will be three chapters representing the resource
base-one chapter for each major type of resource-human,
geographical/physical, and economic. There will also be one
chapter for each of the eight well-being areas. The social
indicators presented for each of these eight areas will be organized according to the four factors associated with quality of
life discussed earlier (availability and expenditure of financial
resources, the structure of services, utilization of services, and the level of well-being).

24

Figure 2. The resource hase



THREE BASIC TYPES OF RESOURCES

Fact chapter will begin by providing a list of the specific indicators presented in that chapter.

ABOUT THE DATA PRESENTED IN THIS REPORT

Decision makers and researchers alike have often argued that better use should be made of existing data. Massive amounts of social data are being collected by various agencies and organizations. However, much of these data are rarely organized in a usable form for making decisions and are rarely made available to decision makers and other people who could use the information. One of the purposes of this report is to organize and dissering a social information that decision makers can use in their daily activities.

Rather than collecting new data through surveys, the data presented in the report were derived from reports published by a wide variety of accommental and private agencies and organizations. Securing data from these sources is valuable from another perspective: data collected by agencies and organizations are often likely to be collected on a regularly scheduled basis. Many agencies, such as the U.S. Department of Commerce, have collected the same type of data for a number of years. This often means that comparable data can be mobilized and analyzed that cover a span of time, rather than for only one point in time.

Therefore, we have attempted to present only data which cover at least two points in time so that the reader can view the data with an eye toward analyzing social change. However, we do present some data for only one time period. Data for only one time period has been presented either because it was relevant or it was the only data available for a given factor. When more current data are published by various agencies, these data can be merged with the data published in this report for an even more recent evaluation of social change. This can provide an opportunity for publishing updated reports of this kind.

HOW THE DATA ARE PRESENTED: TABLE DESIGNS

The data in this report will be presented in table form, and all tables have the same basic format. Data for each indicator will be presented in one of three alternative table designs, depending on the type of variable under consideration.

- All three table designs are similar in three basic ways:
- 1) most data are presented for at least two points in time;
- 2) data are presented for at least two different geographical units (e.g., county and state); and 3) if possible, computations are presented or analyzing social change. You may wish to refer to Tables !-? printed on the following pages as we discuss the specifics of the respective designs.

Table Design 1

Table 1 on page 11 presents data according to the first design. Median family income figures are presented for one county and the state for three points in time (1950, 1960, and 1970). With respect to social change, the percent change figures between time periods are also presented. Data on percent change permit the reader to see the change in median family income over a span of time. The data in Table 1 may be used for comparative purposes. One can view the changes that have taken place in Carroll County, as well as compare the changes that have occurred in Carroll County to changes at the state level. From this perspective, the reader may notice that the gap between the median family income level for Carroll County and Iowa was actually greater in 1970 than in 1950.

Table Design 2

Table 2 on page 12 is a more complex version of Table 1. The focus of Table 2 is on the number of Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) cases. Data are again presented for multiple time periods, five consecutive time periods (1971-75) in this case. The major change in Table 2 as compared to Table 1 is the addition of a multicounty unit, the nine counties in

Extension Region III, of which Carroll County is a part.* The total number of ADC cases are presented for Carroll County, the region as a whole, and the state of lowa as a whole. You may notice that additional information is also provided for the region and the state.

In order to compare the number of ADC cases in Carroll County with the region and the state, the reader may wish to inspect the number of Carroll County cases with the mean county value for the region and state. The mean values represent the average number of cases per county in the region and the state, respectively.

The median (midpoint) county value for the region is also presented. The median value is often a more accurate figure than the mean, particularly when you are dealing with a small number of geographical units, as we are here, with only nine counties comprising the region. The median may be more courate than the mean because it is less affected by extreme (very large or very small) values. For example, if we wanted to calculate the median number of ADC cases for the region, we would rank-order the

^{*}The nine-county region includes Boone, Calhoun, Carroll, Greene, Hamilton, Humboldt, Pocahontas, Webster, and Wright counties.

Table 1. Median family income

		Year	•	- Per	
Governmental Unit	1950	1960	1970	1950-60	1960-70
Carroll County	\$3,068	* \$4,380	\$7,973	+42.8%	+82.0%
. a	•	*		•	
owa	3,068	5,069	9,018	+65.2%	+77.9%
	*		·		

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce.

Table 2. Number of Aid to Dependent Children cases, b

•	` •	`		•		<u>An</u>	alysis of S	ocial Chang	<u>e</u>
	•	•	Year		•	3	Pero Char		,
Covernmental Unit	, 1971	1972	1973	1974	ر 1975 ⁻	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74 .	1974-75
Carroll County	82	101	100	86.	107	+23.2%	-1.0%	-14.0%	+24.4%
Total for Region Three	1,305	1,418	1,326	1,337	1,511	, +8.7%	-6.5%	+0.8%	+13.0%
Mean County Value Median County	145.0	157.6	147.3	148.6	167.9		٠	*·	` ` *
Value	82,0	101.0	100.0	86.0	107.0	•			
Total for Iowa	21,898	24,357	24,030	24,263	27,918	+11.27	-1.3%	+1.0%	+15.1%
Mean County Value	221.2	246.0	242.7	245.1	282			•	,
				`	ν.		•		

a Data are for fiscal year ending June 30.

Source: Towa Department of Social Services.

b cases continued to next year for 1971-74 and ending cases for 1975.

For 1974, the number of ADC cases were: Webster, 609; Boone, 186; Hamilton, 117; Wright, 101; Carroll, 86; Calhoun, 85; Greene, 68; Pocahontas, 50; and Humboldt, 35. The median (midpoint or midrange) county value for the region in 1974 is 86 (the middle value of the nine county values). The mean county value, on the other hand, is 148. In our example, the mean value for 1974 is affected by the higher number of ADC cases reported for Webster County, as compared to the other counties.

The "analysis of social change" design of Table 2 is the same as Table 1. The reader will note that the only change involves the addition of percent change figures for the nine-county region. No percent change figures are calculated for mean county values since these figures change by the same percent as the aggregate figures. Calculation of percent change for the mean county values would be the same as for the aggregate figures within rounding error.

Table Design 3.

Table 3 on page 14 is the most complex design of the three alternatives, but has the most information value. As an example of table design 3, Table 3 is identical to Table 2 in the breadth of time covered (1971-75) and number of governmental units considered (Carroll County, the nine-county Extension Region Three, and the state of Jowa). There are two major differences, however.

Table 3 reports the amount of federal and state dollars available for county benefit expenses and administrative expenses associated with distributing benefits. Data in the Table do not report the total amount of dollars available for the purposes specified. The total amount would include local contributions. However, the figures in parenthesis reported for each geographical unit by year express the percent of the total amount of dollars for benefit payments contributed by federal and state sources. For example, 93.3% of the dollars available to Carroll County in 1971 for benefits, and the administrative expenses thereof, came from federal and state sources. This figure increased to 99.3% by 1975.

Table 3 also contains information beyond percent change that can be used for the analysis of social change. As in Tables 1 and 2, percent change columns are presented in Table 3; however, an additional set of columns are included in Table 3 under the "analysis of social change" section. We refer to these new figures as "change in proportion."

Comparative analysis of the percent change and change in proportion figures can provide some useful findings. For example, we find from Table 3 that between 1973 and 1974 the amount of dollars provided by federal and state services for benefit programs in Carroll County decreased by 23.5%. However, we can raise another important question: Has the contribution made by federal and state funds to the total amount of funds available in Carroll County decreased at the same rate?

Table 3. Federal and state funds for county benefit payments and administrative expenses

,	•		**	•			2	Analysi	s of Soc	ial Cha	nge `		
<u> </u>	y	,	Year	`		*	Perc		*		Chang Proper		
Governmental Unit	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	71-72	72-73	73-74 `	74-75	71-72	72-73	73-74	74-75
Carroll County	591,606 (93.3%) ^a	673,671 (93.0%)	612,742 (91.7%)	468,987 (94.2%)	587,451 (99.3%)	+13.9%	-9.0%	-23.5%	+25.3%	-0.3%	-1.3%	+2.5%	+5.1%
Total for Region Three	7,002,470 (89.3%)	7,560,045 (89.5%)	6,583,060 (88.3%)	5,658,485 (92.5%)	7,542,668 (99.5%)	+8.0%	-12.9%	-14.0%	+33.37		-1.2%	+4.2%	+7.0 2
Mean County Value Median County	778,052	840,005	731,451	628,721	838,074				b a				•
Value	591,606	664,559	576,298	426,769	587.451					•			
Total for Iowa	107,696,391 (87.9%)	117,571,885 (88.1%)	109,248,429 (87.3%)	134,421,309 (94/0%)	181,820,925 (99.7%)	+9.2%	-7.1%	+23.0%	+35.3%	+0 -2%	-0.8%	+6.7%	+5.7%
Mean County Value	1,087,842	1,187,595	1,103,519	1,357,791	1,836,575					,			

Figures in parenthesis represent the federal and state funds for county benefit payments and administrative expenses as a percent of the total funds for county benefit payments and administrative expenses.

Source: Towa Department of Social Services.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the amount of federal and state funds adjusted for change in the total funds for county benefit payments and administrative expenses.

Dollar figures represent funds for the respective fiscal year ending June 30.

d State total includes dollars allocated to the district offices of the Department of Social Services.

hata reported for "change in proportion" show that the contribution of federal and state funds to the total amount of funds available actually increased by 2.5% between 1973-74.

"Change in proportion" figures make a more accurate evaluation of percent thange figures possible. This contribution is particularly useful when analyzing budget figures. In a time when budgets often increase at rapid rates due to inflation and other factors, it is not unusual to find a 50% or perhaps 100° increase in the dollars allocated for certain services over a short time span. However, one should view these figures in proper perspective and ask whether this increase in allocation actually means a greater proportion of the total budget is expended for the service or services under scrutiny. Analysis of the "change in proportion", figures for the data presented in the report will make this type of judgment possible.

LIMITATIONS OF THIS REPORT

The major limitation of the report relates to problems associated with data. Three interrelated problems appear significant: 1) the problem of obtaining the most recent data possible; 2) the problem of data comparability; and 3) the problem of mobilizing data from a wide variety of sources.

Mobilizing recent data

We mentioned that data for this report were taken from a variety of public and private sources. These sources include reports published by the U.S. Census Bureau and annual reports filed by state agencies. The major problem confronted in this regard is that the period of time between data collection, publication, and circulation is often very lengthy. This problem is particularly acute when dealing with federal agency reports.

'Since the process of collecting and publishing data on a regularly scheduled basis can be extremely expensive, various agencies of the federal government often provide important data that are rarely collected on a routine basis by other groups. For example, many key variables measuring the social and economic characteristics of the population are collected only once every ten years through the decennial census. However, most of the data collected in the 1970 census were not widely available until 1972. An even more significant question can be raised: How useful are 1970 data to decision makers in 1977? This problem is not reserved to the general census. For example, data for the 1974 U.S. Census of Agriculture were not available until late 1976, and the 1974 report on "County Business Patterns" for Iowa counties will not be distributed until 1977.

The above discussion is not an indictment against federal

data collection and processing agencies—it takes a great amount of time to carefully prepare data for wide distribution. It is only meant to alert the reader to a serious problem. Decision makers should have the most recent data possible at their disposal. Unfortunately, the luxury of having a variety of current data for decision—making purposes is, in most cases, unlikely to become a reality for some time to come.

Data comparability

Organizations often change the definition of variables for which they collect data. For example, the definition of what , , constitutes a "farm unit" in 1950 was changed in 1960 by the U.S. Census Bureau. Consequently, if one wants to know how much change has occurred in the number of farm units or in the number of rural farm families between 1950 and 1960, it would not be appropriate to compare 1950 with 1960 data if the definitions of "farm unit" are different for whe two points in time.

Another source of difficulty regarding data comparability occurs when data collection agencies redefine variables by merging similar variables. For example, data reported in 1971 on "federal funds for county benefit payments" and "state funds for county benefit payments" were merged in 1972 to create a new variable--"federal and state funds for county benefit payments" in the Department of Social Services "Annual Report." This again creates problems when one is trying to mobilize comparable data over time.

One final data comparability problem must be discussed. If two or more agencies collect the "same" type of data, one must insure that the definitions of the variables for which you are seeking data are identical. Otherwise, the data are not comparable. For example, suppose one is trying to collect data on "taxable payrolls of industries at the county level" for two points in time. Data are reported by Agency A involving the amount of the taxable payrolls in 1974, but Agency A will not publish data for this variable again until 1979. In the meantime, we discover Agency B also publishes data on taxable payrolls for 1975. At least two definitional questions must be resolved before the data can be considered comparable: 1) Do both agencies define "taxable payroll" in the same manner, and 2) Do both agencies derive their taxable payroll figures from the same industries?

Quite obviously, when data are not comparable over time, the depth and breadth of the data base will suffer as a consequence. Furthermore, much high-quality data may have to be dropped altogether.

Data not obtained

The reader has probably recognized that a great deal of data is required in order to measure quality of life in the way discussed in this report. Perhaps the reader also realizes that no single agency collects and processes all the data needed to measure well-being from the policy perspective we have outlined in this report. This means that the staff had to become acquainted



with the types of data provided by a truly wide variety of agencies and organizations.

The staff has tried to become as knowledgeable as possible regarding what federal, state, and private agencies publish what data and how often these data are published. We were ably assisted in this search by staff of the "Government Documents" section of the Iowa State University library.

However, there may be sources of very useful data which we were not able to locate. For example, we were not able to find much data regarding the accessibility (in terms of cost and distance) of services, and the degree to which services are utilized by all socioeconomic groups in the population. Such data may be very important in analyzing the utilization of the services which are offered. In many instances, data available for the community level were not available for the county level. Therefore, these data could not be presented in the report. Also, data may be available that would be more appropriate indicators than those reported for sections of this report. Therefore, if you know of such data that we were unable to obtain, we would appreciate hearing from you regarding the location of these data.

A SOURCE OF ADDITIONAL ASSISTANCE

Obviously the data presented in this report will not satisfy all the information needs Carroll County decision makers face.
However, not all the data mobilized by the research staff appear

in the following chapters. A considerable amount of additional data for Carroll County are on file in the project research office in Ames.

Perhaps you may be faced with the need for social data that are similar in kind to the data presented in this report but are not specifically published in this volume. If you are confronted with this problem, contact your county extension director, Mr. Roland F. Lickteig. Describe to him the kinds of data you need. Mr. Lickteig, working with Mr. Clarence Rice (your regional Extension Community Resource Development Specialist), will inform the staff of your request. The staff will check their data files and see if the data on hand can help solve your information problem.

We realize we cannot satisfy everyone's information needs in one report, but we would like to help you obtain the information, not included in this report, that you do need. By providing this service, we feel we will be helping you obtain as much information as possible in carrying out your responsibilities. This service will hopefully make a contribution to the task of improving the quality of life in Carroll County.



CHAPTER 2:

HUMAN RESOURCES

	Population Composition					Population Change	•,
	•		Page			•	Page
1.	Total population	•	19	٦	1.	Total population change, 1960-70 and 1970-74	32
2.	Population under age 18		20		2.	Number of births	33
3.	Youth dependency ratio		21		3.	Number of deaths	34
4.	Population aged 18 to 64 years	•	• 22		4.	Natural Increase, 1960-70 and 1970-74	35
5.	Population age 65 and over	•	23		5.		36
6.	Aged dependency ratio		24		6.	Projected population: 1980 and 1990	37
7.	Male population		25			Projected population, 1980 and 1990: children 4	•
8.			26			years of age and under	38
9.	Female population		27	•	8.	Projected population, 1980 and 1990: children 5	
10.	Total number of females 25 years and over		28		•	years of age to 14 years of age	39
	,			`	9.	Projected population, 1980 and 1990: children 15	
	>		*	4	•	years of age to 19 years of age	40
				•	10.	Projected population, 1980 and 1990: population 20	
		,				years of age to 64 years of age	41
		*		ř	11	Projected population, 1980 and 1990: population 65	. 41
						years of age and over	42
	Population Distribution			•		Living Arrangements and Family Structure .	
			Page			N .	Page
1.	Population density		29		1.	Number of families	. 43
2.	Urban population		30		2.	Number of marriages	44
Э.	Rural population		31		3.	Number of marital dissolutions	45
- '	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*			4.	Number of persons living in group quarters	46
					ς.	Number of persons living in boundholds	47



46

* Population Composition

Title: Total population

All many to the second	ne - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	,	•	Analysis of Social Change
	•	Year		Percent c Change
Governmental Unit	1960	1970	1974 ⁸	1960-70 1970-74
Carroll County	23,431	22,912 "	23,300	-2.2% +1.7%
Total for Region Three	196,,449	185,770	183,400	-5,4% -1.3%
Mean County Value	21,828	20,641	20,378	
Median County Value	19,447	17,294	16,700	
Total for Icwa	2,757,537	2,825,041	2,855,000	+2.5% +1.1%
Mean County Value	27,854	28,536	28,838	

a Estimated.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Population Characteristics.

Population Composition

Title: Population under age 18

	•		Analysis of	f Social Change
Governmental	Ye	ar ·	Percent Change	Change in Proportion .
Cnit	1960	1970	1960-70	1960-70
Carroll County	9,404 (40.1%)	9,171 (40.0%)	-2.5%	-0.1%
Total for Region Three	65,443 • (33.1%)	64,691 (34.8%)	-1.1 %	+1.7%
Mean County Value Median County	7,271	7,187		
Value	7,203	5,770	•	,
Total for Iowa	987,268 (35.8%)	974,937 (34.5%)	-1.2%	-1.3%
Mean County Value	9,972	9,848		Pi .

A Figures in parenthesis represent the population under age 18 as a percent of the total population.

Change in proportion represents the change in population under age 18 adjusted for change in the total population.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Population Characteristics.

Population Composition

Title: Youth dependency ratio

Company of the control of the contro	to a second control of the second control of		. 7	Analysis of Social Change
Governmenta. Unit	Үед 1960	1970		Amount of Change 1960-70
Carroll County	<u>8</u> 3.3	85.7.		+2.4
Total for Region Three	65.8	68.6	a s	+2.8
Mean County Value Median County Value		•		
Total for Issa . Mean County . Value	68.5	65.0	•	-3.5

This ratio is computed by the formula: Persons under 18 years of age X 100.

ERIC

52

b Amount of change represents the difference between the youth dependency ratio for 1970 and 1960.

Source: U.S. Pepartment of Commerce, General Population Characteristics.

Population Composition

Title: Population aged 18 to 64 years

•					Analy	sis of Soci	al Change
Governmental		Yea	ar .		Percent Change	•	Change in Proportion
Unic		1960	1970		1960-70		1960-70
Carroll County	•	11,283 (48.2%)	10,704 (46.7%)	*	-5.1%	,	-1.5%
Total for Region Three		99,426 7(50.6%)	94,251 (50.7%)	·	-5.2%		+0.1%
Mean County Value Median County		11,047	10,472				•
Value		9,774	8,890				
Total for Iowa	,,	1,452,295 (52.7%)	1,499,765 (53.1%)	ŕ	+3.3%	ų.	+0.42
Mean County		1				•	
Value		14,670	- 15,149	•			

a Figures in parenthesis represent the population aged 18 to 64 years as a percent of the total population.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Population Characteristics.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the population aged 18-64 adjusted for change in the total population.

Population Composition

Title: Population age 65 and over

	, •		•		Analysis	of Social Change
	•	7	Year	· ·	Percent	Change in Proportion
Governmental Unit	* *	1960	. 7 1970	,	Change :: 1960-70	1960-70
Carroll County	*	2,744 (11.7%) ^a	3,037 (13.3%)		+10.7%	+1.6%
Total for Region Three	•	24,920 (12.7%)	26,828 (14.4%)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+7. 77	+1.7%
Mean County Value	• •	3.769	2,981	*	The state of the s	
Median County Value	•	2,422	2,519	•		, , ,
Total for Iowa		317,974 (11.9%)	350,339 (12.4%)	•	+10.2%	+0.5%
Mean County Value	,	3,212	3,539		•	

a Figures in parenthesis represent the population age 65 and over as a percent of the total population.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Population Characteristics.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the population aged 65 and over adjusted for change in the total population.

Population Composition

Title: Aged dependency ratio

,		Year	Year		Analysis of Social Change Amount of		
Governmental Unit		1960	1970	*	Change 1960-70		
Carroll County	•	28.4	24.3		-4.1%		
Total for Region, Three		25.1	28.5		+3.4%		
Mean County Value Median County Value		• \	*		,		
Total for lowa		22.7	23.4		+0.7%		
: Mean County Value			•		•		

This ratio is computed by the formula: Persons over 65 years of age X 100.

Amount of change is calculated by the difference between the aged dependency ratios for 1970 and 1960.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Population Characteristics.

Population Composition

Title: Male population

	•			Analysi	s of Socia	al Change
Governmental ,	Yea	ar		Percent Change		Change in Proportion
Unit -	1960	1970	``	1960-70		1960-70
Carroll County	11,449 (48.9%)	11,098 (48.4%)) -3.1%		-0.5%
Total for Region Three	96,393 (49.1%)	89,616 (48.2%)	`	-7.0%	• .	-0.9%
Mean County Value Median County	10,710	9,957	*.			
Value	9,645	8,477	<u>, </u>	• • •		•
Total for Towa	1,359,259. (49.3%)	1,372,431 (48.6%)	•	+1.0%	,	-0.7%
Mean County Value	13,730	13,863		· ·		

a Figures in parenthesis represent the size of the male population as a percent of the total population.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Population Characteristics.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the size of the male population adjusted for change in the total population.

Population Composition

Title: Total number of males 25 years old and over

		Year		Analysis of Social Change Percent Change			
Governmental Unit	1950	1960	1970		1950-60	1960-70	
Carroll County	6,380	5,899	5,644	,	-7.5%	-4.3X_	
Total for Region Three	55,405	53,283	49,310		-3.8%	-7.5%	•
Mean County Value	نم 6 , 156	5,920	5 ,479		•		•
Median County Value	5,560	5,323	4,846				
Total for Iowa	754,640	745,604	727,522		-1.2%	-2.4%	
Mean County Value	7,622.6	7,531.4	7,348.7		•		

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Social and Economic Characteristics.

Population Composition

Title: Female population

			Analysis of Social Change			
	Yea	r	Percent Change	Change in Proportion		
Governmental Unit	1960	1970	1960-70	1960-70		
arroll County	11,982 (51.1%)	11,814 (51.6%)	-1.4%	+0.5%		
otal for Region Three	100,056 (50.9%)	96,085 (51.7%)	-4.0%	+0.8%		
Mean County Value Median County	11,117	10,676	•			
Value	,/ 9,802	8,817		•		
otal for lows	1,398,278 (50.7%)	1,451,945 (51.4%)	+3.8%	+0.7%		
Mean County Value	14,124	14,666	•*	•		

A Figures in parenthesis represent the size of the female population as a percent of the total population.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Population Characteristics.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the size of the female population adjusted for change in the total population.

Population Composition

Title: Total number of females 25 years and over

1		·	*			Analysis of Social Ch	ange
Governmental Unit		1950	Year 1960	1970		Percent Change 1950-60 1960-7	70
Carroll County	*	6,685	6,535	6,506	4 4	-2.2% -0.4%	, ` ,
Total for Region Three		58,235	57 _x 549	56,337		-1.2% -2.1%	;
Mean County \ Value	ţ	6,471	6,394	6,260	-		
Median County Value		5,635	5,698	5,414			
Total for lows	*	784,545	795,729	813,066	,	+1.4% +2.2%	
Mean County Value		7,924.7	8,037.7	8,212.8	•	`	

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Social and Economic Characteristics.

•

Area: Human Resources

Fogulation Distribution

Title: Population density

Account of the control of the contro					•	\ `.`	. ,	Analysi	s of Social	Change	
			Yea.	r	•	1			Percent		
Governmental Cair	:	1940	1950	1960	1.	1970		1940-50	1950-60	1960-70	,
Carroll County	an i ang	39 7	40.2	40.8		39.9	*	+1.3%	+1.5%	-2.2%	•
	•		* • *			ì	•	•		•	
lova .		45.3	46.8	49.2	· • *	50.5	,	+3.3%	+5.1%	+2.6%	

a Population per square mile.

Source: U.S. Pepartment of Commerce, General Population Characteristics.

Area:

Human Resources

Population Distribution

Title: Urban population.

•	,	,			Analysis of S	cial Change
		Ye	ear		Percent	Change in _h
Governmenta: Unit		4 , 1960	1070	x	Change	Proportion
CHIE		1300	1970		1960-70	1960-70
Carrol		(32.8%)	(38.0%)	and an der transfer i den der	come and an extension of the commence of the c	+5.2%
Total for Region Three	•	75,789 (38.6%)	77,796 (41.9%)	*	+2.6%	+3.3%
Mean County Value	•	8,421	8,644	•	•	
 Median County Value 		7,682	7,461	,		
Total for Iowa	,	1,462,512 (53.0%)	1,616,405 (57.2%)	٠, ٠	+10.5%	÷4.2%
Mean County Value	,	14,773	16,327	, <i>p</i>	•	\$ •

Figures in parenthesis represent the size of the urban population as a percent of the total population.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Population Characteristics.



Change in proportion represents the change in the size of the urban population adjusted for change in the total population.

Urban population is defined as persons residing in places of 2,500 population or more.

Area: Human Pescurces

Population Distribution

Title: Rural population C

•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•	Analysis of Soc	ial Change
Governmental Unit		Yea.	1970	Percent Change 1960-70	Change in _b Proportion 1960-70
Carroll County		15,749 (67.2%)	14-196 (62.0%)	-9.9%	-5.2%
Total for Region Three	*	120,660 (61.4%)	107,974 (58.1%)	10.5 %	_3 <u>_</u> 3x
Mean County 4. Value Median County		13,406.7	11,997.1	•	
Value	٠.	14,234.0	12,793.0		
Total for Ioua		1,295,025	1,207,971 (42.8%)	6.7%	-4.2%
Mean County Value		13,081.1	12,201.2	,	

a Figures in parenthesis represent the size of the rural population as a percent of the total population.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Population Characteristics.

Change in proportion represents the change in the size of the rural population adjusted for change in the total population.

Rural population is defined as persons residing in places less than 2,500 population.

Area:

Human Resources

Population Change

Title: Total population change, 1960-70 and 1970-74

•	<i>' '</i> **	•	,	Analysis of Social Change
Severnmental		Year		Percent Change
Unit	1960-70	1970-74		
Carroll County	-519	+338		
Total for	The state of the s	هر کنند دستید میدسید شده شایده بازند و به این از مهابههای و دستان تا فیکند از اگذم به «سینم» مایده	<u>سانیست بین به محدود اور در شخص به در سینانها</u> میداده میکنید آنهای در در در مدایشتان اندیستان شده میده چ	والمنافق والم
Region Three	-10,769	-2,370		
Mean County	• •		*••	
Value	-1,187	-263		•
Median County Value	-1,441	-292		
Total for lows	+67,504	+29,959		
Mean Townty				
Value	682	303		

a Cannot be computed due to the difference in the time intervals.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Number of Inhabitants and Estimates of the Population of Iowa Counties and Metropolitan Areas.

Area ! Human Resources

Population Change

Title: Number of births

						Ar	alysis of	Social Cha	nge	
		γ .	ear .	•	• `	Percent Change			Changé in	
Governmental Unit	1970	1971	1972	1973	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County	385 (16.9) ⁸	334 (13.7)	318 (13.8)	285 (12.3)	-13.3%	-4.8%	-10.4%	-3.2	+0.1	- 1.5
Total for. Region Three	2,798 (15.1)	- 2,582 (13.5)	2,332 (12.5)	2,130 (11.4)	-7.72	-9.7%	-8.7%	-1.6	-1.0	-1.1
Mean County Value	310.9	286.9	259.1	236.7			•			
Median County Value	240.0	221.0	174.0	181.0	•			•		
Total for Iowa	48,406 (17.1)	45,171 (15.8)	40,860 (14.2)	38,898 (13.4)	-6.7% /	-9.5%	-4.8%	-1.3	-1.6	-0.8
Mean County Value	438.9	456.3	412.7	392.9			•			

 $^{^{\}circ}$ Figures in parenthesis represent the number of births per 1,000 estimated population.

Source: Towa State University, Cooperative Extension Service, Region V Past, Fresent, and Future.

ERIC

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of births per 1,000 estimated population.

Area:

Homan Resources

Population Change

Title: Number of deaths

e un viri i par i i par	Mark to the mark trans many make to a spring and					An	alysis of	Social Cha	nge	
Governmental		` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `	r			Percent Change			Change in	
Puft	1970	1971	1972	• 1973	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County	255 (11.2) a	245 ° (10.0)	252 (10.9)	265 (11.4)	-3.9%	+2.9%	+5.2%	-1.2	+0.9	+0.5
Total for Region Three	2,062 (11.1)	2,078 (10.8)	2,094 (11.2)	2,082 (11.1)	+0.8%	+0.8%	-0.6%	-0.3	+0.4	-0.1
Mean County 🍑 Value Median County	229.1	230.8	232.6	231.3	· •				•	
Value	213.0	191.0	184.0	186.0		,	_			`
Total for towa	29,356 (10.4)	29,475 (10.3)	29,907 (19,4)	29,229 (10.1)	+0.4%	+1.5%	-2.3%	-0.1	+0.1	-0.3
Mean County Value	297	298	302	295	, ,	•		٠		•

A Figure 1000 parenthesis represent the number of deaths per 1,000 estimated population.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the number of deaths per 1,000 estimated population.

Source. Towa State University, Cooperative Extension Service, Region V Past, Present, and Future.

Area: Numan Resources

Population Change

Tirle: Natural increase, a 1960-70 and 1970-74

and the state of t	the province management of the same management and a				Analysis of Social Change
		Yea	r		Percent Change
Governmental Unit		1960-70	1970-74		*
Carroll County	- Colonia (1996) () in the company was successful the secondary	2,976	300		
Total for Region Three		13,669	1,500	The second of th	
Mean County Value		1,522	167		·
Median County Value		827	100	>	
Total for lowa	•	250,431	56,000		
Mean County Value		2,530	566		`

a Births minus deaths

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Components of Population Change, and Estimates of the Population of Iowa Counties and Metropolitan Areas.

Percent change cannot be calculated due to the difference in the time periods.

36

Area: 'Human=Rescurces

Population Change

Title: Net migration: 1960-70 and 1970-74

,		•	• 4	Analysis of S	ocial Change
Governmental	Ye	ar		Percent Change	Change in Proportion
Unit	1960-70	1970-74			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Carroll County	-3,374 (-14,4%) ^a	+311 (+1.4%)	,		
Total for Region Three	(-12.3 %)	-5, 695 (-3.1%)			
Mean County Value	-2,674	· -633		<i>.</i> .	
Median County Value	-2,390	-512			
Total for Iowa	-180,705 (-6.6%)	-23,073 (-0.8%)		•	
- Mean County Value	-1,825	-233		, .	

a Figures in parenthesis represent the percent net migration (net migration/population at beginning of period).

Source: H.C. Chang, Estimates of Net Migration by State and Counties, Towa, 1960-70 and 1970-74

Percent change and change in proportion cannot be calculated due to the difference in the time periods.

37

Area: Human Resources

r Population Change

Title: Projected population: 1980 and 1990

•	•	*	t , '	•		s • •		Ana	lysis of Social	Change	
	,			Ye	ar "*	•			*Percent. Change		
- Governmenta: Unit			k k	19	80 ⁸	1990 ^a	•	,	1980-90		
Carroll County	*	The section of the section of	erani manudi eritera, radhibi unu abanada i dhiga i	` <u>}</u>	2,236	21,534	X	`	-3.2%		
Total for Region Three	**	**	•	17	* '6,973	16 8,6 87		* ,	-4.7%		
Mean County Value		•	· •• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	a , .	9,664	18,743	,	,		•	
Median County Value		,	•	1	.6,508	§ 15,183		•	•		
Total for lows	•	`	•	2,75	0,052	2,751,471	•		. +0.1%		•
Mean County v. Value	*	. 9		. 2	₹,778	27,793	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
A six six a managed trapping in the six.											

Projections are based upon the following assumptions:

- ,1. 1950-60 migration rates will occur.
- 2. Completed artility rates = 2.45 children.

Scurce: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Population Characteristics; and H.C. Chang, Population Projections by Age and Sex for State and Counties of Iowa.

Area: Human Resources*

Population Change

Title: Projected population, 1980 and 1990: children 4 years of age and under

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	•	Analysis of Social	Change
Governmental '	1980	1990	Percent Change 1980=90	Change in Proportion 1980-90
Carroll County Total for	2,3% (10.7%) ^a 15,946	2,518' (11.7%) 15,965	+6.0%	+1.0%
Region Three Mean County Value	1,771.8	(9.5%) 1,773.9		+0.5%
Median County Value	1,420.0	1,325.0	•	
Total for lowa Mean County Value	* 248,801 * (9.0%) 2,513.2	261,544 (9.5%) 2,641.9	+5.1%	+0.52

Figures in parentheses represent the projected population, age 4 and under, as a percent of the total projected population.

Source: H.C. Chang, Population Projections by Age and Sex for State and Counties of Iowa.

Change in proportion represents the change in projected population, age 4 and under, adjusted for change in the total projected population.

C. Projections based upon the following assumptions:

^{1. 1950-60} migration rates will occur.

^{2.} Completed fertility rates = 2.45 children.

Arga: J Human Resources

Population Change

Title: Projected population, 1980 and 1990: children 5 years of age to 14 years of age

	*	*		• <u>A</u> r	ocial Change	
ŧ	Yea	r		Percent	4	Change in
Covernmental Unit	1980	1990		Change 1980-90		Proportion 1980-90
Carroll County	4,205 (18.9%) a ·	4,371 (20.3 Z)	, ,	+4.0%	, , ,	+1.4%
Total for Region Three	29,497 (16.7%)	29,572 (17.5%)	. `	+0.3%	•	+0.82
Mean County Value	3,277.5	· 3,285.8	•	,	* " "	
Median County Value	2,680.0	2,550.0			• •	
Total for lova	443,027 (16.0%)	¥ 478,289 (17.4%)	•	+8.0%		+1.4%
Méan County Value	4,475.0	4,831.2	*	•	, ,	

A Figures in parentheses represent the projected population, age 5-14, as a percent of the total projected population.

Source: H.C. Chang, Population Projections by Age and Sex for State and Counties of Lows.

b Change in proportion represents the change in projected population, age 5-14, adjusted for change in the total projected population.

c Projections based upon the following assumptions:

^{1. 1950-60} migration rates will occur.

^{2.} Completed fertility rates = 2.45 children.

Area: Human Resources

Population Change

Title: Projected population, 1980 and 1990: children 15 years of age to 19 years of age

•	•		•		Analysis of	Social Change
Governmental Unit		Yea	1990-	•	Percent Change 1980-90	Change in Proportion 1980-90
Carroll County Total for Region Three		2,560 (11.5%) ^a 16,386 (9.3%)	1,615 (7.5%) 11,770 (7.0%)		-36.9% -28.2%	-4.0x -2.3x
Mean County Value Median County		1,820.7	1,307.8	``.	1)	-2.3%
Value * Total for lowa	•	1,429.0 261,112 (9.4%)	1,038.0 200,065 (7.3%)	* .	-23.4%	, ,
Mean County Value		2,637.5	2,029.9	. .		-2.1%

Figures in parentheses represent the projected population, age 15-19, as a percent of the total projected population.

Source: H.C. Chang, Population Projections by Age and Sex for State and Counties of Iowa.

b Change in proportion represents the change in projected population, age 15-19, adjusted for change in the total projected population.

c Projections based upon the following assumptions:

^{1. 1950-60} migration rates will occur.

^{2.} Complered ferrality rates = 2.45 chldren.

41

Area: Human Resources

Population Change

Title: Projected population, 1980 and 1990: population 20 years of age to 64 years of age

A compression of the contract	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and the last management of the second of the second second second second second second second second second se			Analysis	of Social Change
		Ye	ear ·		Percent Change	Change in Proportion
Covernmental Unit		1980	/ 1990	and the second s	1980-90	1980-90
Carroll County	. •	10,145 (45.6%)	9,991 (46.4%)		-1.5%	+0.8%
Total for Region Three	•	88,938 (50.3%)	84,678 (50.2%)	,	-4.8%	-0.1%
Mean County Value	*	9,982	9,409		•	•
Median County Value		8,559	7,811	•	•	,
lotal for lowa		1,436,160 (52,2%)	1,445,139 (52.5*)	•	+0.6%	+0.3%
Mean County Value	,	14,507	14,597	,	**	•

I figures in parenthesis represent the projected population, age 20-64, as a percent of the total projected population.

Projections based upon the following assumptions:

- 1. 1950-60 migration rates will occur.
- 2. Completed fertility rate = 2.45 children.

Source: H.C. Chang, Population Projections by Age and Sex for State and Counties of Iowa.

92

b. Change in proportion represents the change in the projected population, age 20-64, adjusted for change in the total projected population.

Area: But in lesconders

Population Change

Title: Projected population, 1980, and 1990: population 65 years of age and over

a t					`		,	Anal	ysis of So	cial Change
Governmental	•			Year				Percent Change		Change inb Proportion
Unit			1980		1990		. \	1980-90		1980-90
Carroll County	•		2,950 (13.3%) ^a		3,039 (14.1%)			+3.0%	•	+0.87
Total tor Region Three			26,206 (14.8%)		26,702 (15.8%)	•	1	+1.97	•	+1.0%
Wean County Value Median County	e se e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		2,912		2,967	•	•	#		•
Value			2,420		2,459					
Total for Irra	,		350.952 (12.8%)	•	366,424 (13.3%)	•		+4.4%		+0.5 4
Mean County Value		٤.	3,545	•	3,701	#		•	\	•

a Figures in parenthesis represent the projected population aged 65 and over as a percent of the total projected population.

Source: H.C. Chang, Population Projections by Age and Sex for State and Counties of Iowa.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the projected population aged 65 and over adjusted for change in the total projected population.

^{*} Projections are based upon the following assumptions:

^{1. 1950-60} migration rates will occur.

^{2.} Completed Sertility rate = 2.45 children.

Area: Human Wespurces

Living Arrangements and Family Structure

Title: Number of families

			<i>y</i>	Analysi:	s of Social Change
	•	Year	.	*	Percent Change
Covernmental · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1950	1960	1970 ***	1950	No.
Carroll County	5,855	5,679	5,380	-3.0)X -5`.3X
Total for • /	•	*,	من د		*
Region Three	5,0,355	50,904	47,927	+1.3	-519%
Mean County	•	•	* *	***	` ` `
. Value	5,595.0	5,656.0	5,325.2	•	
- Median County / Value	5,215.0	5,189.0	4,657.0		, S
Total for Ima	. 686,785	711,716	717,776	+3.	5% +0.9%
Mean County Value	6,937	7,189	7,250	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Source: E.S. Department of Commerce, General Social and Economic Characteristics.

44

jrea - Buman Resourges

Living Arran Coments and Family Structure

Sittle: Number of marriages

,								An	alysis of	Social Cha	nge ·	,
•		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Year .	**	×.		Percent Change			Change in	
overnmental Teit		191.9	1971	1973	1975	•	.1969-71	1971-73	1973-75	1969-71	1971-73	1973-75
Carroll County	•	· 204 (8.5) ^a	205 (8.9)	223 (9.6)	. 229 (9.8)	•	+0.5%	+8.8%	+2.7%	+0.4	+0.7	+0.2
Tal for Region Three		1,574 (8.3)	,1,692 (9.1)	, 1,736 (9.3)	1,659 (9.1)	*	+7.5%	+2.6%	-4.4%-	+0.8%	+0.2	-0.2
Year County Value		175 *	188	193	184					ŧ		
Median County. Value		. 142	162	174	155		•		•	•	•	
total for lowa	* .	. 34,686 (910)	25,819 (9.0)	27,544 (9.5)	25,616 (8.9)	•	+4.6%	+6.7%	-7.0%	. 0.0	+0.5	-0.6
Year County Value	*	249	26)	278	259			•				

a Figures in parenthesis represent the number of marriages per 1,000 estimated population.

Source: Towa State Department of Health, Iowa Detailed Report of Vital Statistics.

hadge in proportion represents the change in the number of marriages per 1,000 estimated population.

Area: Fuman R sources

Five g Arrangements and Family Structure

Hicke: Number of marital dissolutions

	*		,	n de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la co			. Analysis of	Social Cha	inge	•
	•	•	Ye	ar '		•	Percent Change		Change in	
Governmental for Unit,		1969	1971	1973	1975	1969-71	1971-73 1973-75		1971-73	
Carroll County	*** *	(0.9) ^a	25 (1.1)	^ 29 (1:3),	30 (1.3)	+19.1%	+16.0% (+3.5%	+0.2	+0.2	0.0
Total for Region Three	?	378 (2.0)	393 / (2.1)	408 (2.2)	506.	+4.0%	+3.8% +24.0%	+0.1	+0.1%	,+0.6
Mean County Value		42	44 ,	45	. 56	,			•	•
Median County Value	٠.	29	25	29	. 38		A		, `	
local for idea	`•	6,995 (2.5)	7,772 (2.7)	9,151 (3.2)	10,304 (3.6)	+11.1%	+17.8% +12.6%	+0.2	+0.5	+0.4
Mean County Value		71	79	92	. 104				•	

^{*} Figures in parenthesis represent the number of marital dissolutions per 1,000 estimated population.

Source: Total Mate Pepartment of Health; Iowa Detailed Report of Vital Statistics;

b Change in proportion represents the change in the number of marital dissolutions per 1,000 estimated population.

Area: Human Resources.

Living Arrangements and Family Structure.

. Title: Number of persons living in group quarters (e.g., inmates in institutions or unrelated individuals living in same unit)

			The second secon				Anal	lysis of Social	Change.	
	•	1	Ye	ear			Percent Change	•	Change inb Proportion	*
Governmental:	•		1960	1970	* •		1960-70		1960-70	
Carroll County	,	•	333a - (1.4 2) a	390 (1.7%)	*		+17.1%		+0.3%	
Total for Region Three	· . •	•	3,844 (2.0%)	4,602 (2.5%)	•	• • •	+19.7%		+0.5%	· •
Mean County Value	•	•	427	511	•	•				
Median County Value	ż	•	111	296	•		•	,	,	
Total for Iowa	•	•	70,196 (2.57)	90,677	•	, ,	+29.2%	•	+0.7%	, ,
Mean County Value		•	709	916	· · ·		•	· · ·		•

Figures in parenthesis represent the population living in group quarters as a percent of the total population.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the population living in group quarters adjusted for change in the total population.

Source: U.S. Pepartment of Commerce, General Social and Economic Characteristics.

Area: Buman Besources

Classes Arrangements and Family Structure

Fittle: Number of persons living in households

		•			•	• Analys	is of Social (hange
Covernment of	, ju	Year 1960 .	1970	•		Percent Change 1960-70		Change in Proportion 1960-70
Carroll County		23,098 (98.6%)	22,522 (98.3%)	*		-2.5%		-0.3%
Total for Region Three	•	192,605 (98.0%)	181,099 (97.5%)	•	•	-6.0%		-0.5%
Mean County Value		21,401	20,122	•	•			•
Median County * Value*	•	19,380	16,922			•	•	•
Total for lowa		2,687,341	2,733,699 (96.8%)		1	+1.7%	•	1 -0.7%
Mean County Value	•	27,145	27,613	٤.	•		`	

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of persons living in households as a percent of the total population.

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of persons living in households adjusted for change in the total population.

Sparce: T. . Department of Commerce, General Social and Economic Characteristics.

CHAPTER 3:

GEOGRAPHICAL/PHYSICAL RESOURCES

Natural Resources

	Page
. Value of mineral production (in thousands of dollars)	49
. Number of sand and gravel mines	50
. Quantity of sand and gravel sold or used by producers	30
(In thousands of short tons)	
	51
. Value of sand and gravel sold or used by producers (in	F 2
thousands of dollars	52.
, ' · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2014	
Climatic Conditions	
Na.	Page
Total and taken a	
. Total precipitation	53
. Highest temperature	54
. Lowest temperature .	55
. Annual average temperature	56
Organization of the Land	•
	Page
l. Land area in acres	57
2. Acres of non-farmland	58
3. Acres of land in harvested cropland	59
Acres of woodland including woodland pasture	60

Grout Geographical and Physical Resources

Satural Resources

Title: Value of mineral production (in thousands of dollars)

		•			•			Analys	s of Socia	1 Change	•
Governmental Unit	1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 /	1868 }	Yea . 1970	ar 1971	1972	1973	196	8-69 1969 - 70	Percent Change 1970-71	1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County	136	215	301.	223	Wa	W ,	+58	+40.0%	-25.9%	z b	. % ^b .
Total for Iowa	117,297	119,930	\$120,822	.127,821	134,496	\$158,800	+2	40.7%	+5.8%	+5.2%	+18.1%
Mean County Value	1,185	. 1,211	1,220	1,291	1,359	1,604			•		

We Withheld to avoid disclosing individual company confidential data,

Percent change cannot be computed.

Source: [Threed States Department of Interior, Minerals Yearbook.

Arman December of Physical Resources

fille: Sumber of sand and gravel mines

					Analysis of Social Change				
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Yea	er ,	₹ . ;	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Percent Change	*	
Passerimentai Shit	1970	1971	1972	1973	•	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	
Carroll County	6	·	a	. A # ### ##### A # #### # ##### ########	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	-50.0%	x ^b	z b	
Potal tor i wa	423	190	278 .	300	•	-31.4%	-4.1%	+7.9%	
Mean County Value	4.3	2.9	2.8	3.0	,	•	٠.		

a bata in included in undistributed category.

b Percent change cannot be computed.

Source: United States Department of Commerce, Minerals Yearbook.

away - secondabibil and Provide See at S

** Autoral Resources

Title: Quantity by sand and gravel sold of sector producers (in thousands of short tons)

	•		•	,	Analysis of Social Grange	
	•	Year		,	Percent * Change	•
evernmental Unit	1969	1970	1971	and the company of th	1969-70 1970-71	
Carroll County	290	341	250		+17.6% -26.7%	
istal for lowa	18,391	21,058	18,279	•	+14.5% -13.2%	,
Mean County Natur	185.8	212.7	184.6		***	
					The second secon	

Source: United States Department of Interior, Minerals Yearbook.

Areal Recorapitical and Physical Resources

Natural Resources

Title: Value of sand and gravel sold of used by producers (in thousands of dollars) .

m. 6.1115 . m.			The second secon	Analysis of Social Change
•		Year		Percent \tag{Change}
www.nmental Unit	1971	1972	1973	1971-72 1972-73
Carroll County	223	B.	a .	$\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{b}}$ $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{b}}$
lotal for lowa	\$20,530	\$20,140	\$25,541	-1.9% +26.8%
Mean County Value	207,4	203.4	258.0	

a Negligible (less than 1).

Source: United States Department of Interior, Minerals Yearbook.

b Percent change cannot be computed.

Area: Geographical and Physical Resources

Cilmatic Conditions

Title: Total precipitation

	٠	•	*				Analysis of	Social Change
*	`	,	" n	Year	•		Percent Change	Change in Proportion
Governmental Unit		1968	\checkmark	1970		1972	1968-70 1970-72	1968-70 1970-72
Carroll County c		35.14 (6.33) ^a		26.09 (-2.72)	**************************************	38.21 (9.40)	-25.8% +46.5%	-9.05 +12.12

Itigures in parenthesis represent departure of the annual total precipitation from the normal. Normals for all stations are climatological normals based on the period 1931-60.

b thange in proportion represents the change in the departure of the annual total precipitation from the normal.

C Reporting station is Carroll.

Area:

Geographical and Physical Resources

Climatic Conditions

litle: Highest temperature

	****	•	• •	Analysis	of Social Change	
Governmental 5	1968	Year 1970	1972		Percent . Change 0 1970-72	,
Carroll County	97	100	96	+3.1%	-4.0%	"11.4

Reporting station is Carroll.

Arca:

Geographical and Physical Resources

Climatic Conditions

Title: Lowest temperature

		Year		Analysis of Social Change Percent	į
Governmental Unit	1968	1970	1972	Change 1968-70 1970-72	·
Carroll County	` -23	-32	-21	-39.1% +34.4%	*

a Reporting station is Carroll.

Area: Geographical and Physical Resources

Climadic Conditions

Title: Annual average temperature

	`	•			Analysis o	f Social Change	*
- ⊝overnmentai	٠	Year		Per Char	cent nge	Chan • Propo	gè in " rtion"
Vuit	1968	1970	1972	1968-70	1970-72	1968-70	€ 1970-72
Carroll County	48.0 (-0.8) ^a	· (-1.4)	46.0 (-2.8)	-1.3%	-0.6%	-3.0	-1.4

Figures in parenthesis represent departure of the annual average temperature from the normal. Normals for all stations are climatological normals based on the period 1931-60.

thange in proportion represents the change in the departure of the annual average temperature from the normal.

c Reporting station is Carroll.

Area: , Geographical/Physical Resources

Organization of Land

Title: Land area in acres

			Year
Governmental Unit	•	.,	1974
Carroll County			367,296
Total for Region Three	¥		3,311,744
Mean County Value Median County	ı	•	367.,972
Value		, N *	367,296
Total for lowa			35,802,240
Mean County Value		•	361,639

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Agriculture.

Area: Geographical/Physical Resources

Organization of Land

Title: Acres of non-farmland

to the speciments of the second secon	ar is also the desire to make the processing that the desire to					
				*	Year	
Sovernmental Unit	-		*•	•	1974	
Carroll County	The state of the s		A COMPANY TO A COM		b	
Total for Region Three	, , , ,			• \	114,958 (4.0%) ^a	
Mean County Value * Median County			, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	12,773	
Value	7	N.,	200		14,623	•
Total for lowa		*	• •		1,432,090 (4.0%)	a.
Mean County Value	•	•			28,931	1,

a Figures in parenthesis represent acres of non-farmland as a percent of the total land area.

Source: U.S., Department of Commerce, Census of Agriculture.

Negligible (less than 17).

Area: Geographical/Physical Resources

Organization of Land ...

Title: Acres of land in harvested cropland

* **	*	•		Year	
Governmental Unit		•		1974	`
Carroll County	i and an in the second second	A STATE OF THE STA		· 286,491 (78.0%)	•
Total for Region Three		,	•	\$ 2,673,715 (81.0%)	
Mean County, Value	• .	18		297,079	
Mèdian County Value	* ¥	•		295,629	
Total for Iowa			•	22,913,434 (64.0%)	*
Mean County Value	,	` .		231,449	

^{*} Figures in parenthesis represent acres of land in harvested cropland as a percent of total land area.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Agriculture.

Area: Geographical/Physical Resources

Organization of Land

Title: Acres of woodland including woodland pasture

<i>t</i> .	Year	•
Governmental Unit	1974	
Carroll County	3,673 (1.0%) ^a	•
Total for Region Three	. 71,671 (2.0%)	
Mean County Value	7,963	
Median County Value	7,391	•
Total for Iowa	1,432,090 (4.0%)	
Mean County 4 Value	14,466	

^a Figures in parenthesis represent the number of acres of woodland as a percent of the total land area.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Agriculture.

CHAPTER 4: ECONOMIC RESOURCES

Agricultural Sector Government Sector Page Page 62 82 General revenue of county governments Number of farms 83 63 2. Total agricultural land (in acres) Intergovernmental revenue of county governments 84 64 Average size of farms (in acres) Tax revenue of county governments Total county tax dollars levied 65 Final adjusted taxable value of agricultural land 85 Net millage rate, total mills for county 66 and buildings 67 5. Average taxable value per acre of agricultural land Net millage rate, school mills Net millage rate, road mills and buildings 86 6. Value of all agricultural products sold 87 General) expenditures (all functions) of county 88 69 Total farm production expenses governments 89 Farms with sales between \$1,000 and \$2,500 Expenditures by county government in financial 70 90 administration Corn yield per acre (in bushels) Soybean yield per acre (in bushels) 91 Expenditures by county governments on general control 71 72 Number of hogs marketed (in thousands) Capital outlay of county governments 92 Number of grain-fed cattle marketed (in thousands) Federal outlays (in thousands) 73 93 Number of grain-fed sheep and lambs marketed (in Total debt outstanding for county governments thousands) · 94 Commercial and Industrial Sector Transportation and Communication Sector Page Page 1. Final adjusted taxable value of industrial and Number of automobile registrations 75 95 Occupied housing units with no automobile available 76 manufacturing land 2. Number of wholesale trade units 96 3. Expenditures of county governments on highways 77 97 Expenditure of local governments on highways 78 Number of retail trade units 5. Secondary road fund operations, total to account for 79 Retail sales (in thousands of dollars) 98 99 Occupied housing units with no television set 80 Taxable payroll of retail trade units 100 Occupied housing units with no telephone available Number of service sector units . 81 Taxable payroll of service sector units 101 102 Taxable payroll of financial sector units 103 Taxable payroll of contract construction units 104 10. Taxable payroll of manufacturing units



Area: Economic, Resources

Governmental Sector

Title: General revenue of county governments a,b,c

and the commence of the commen				Year	*		,	Analysis of Social Change Percent Change	
Governmental Unit			1961-62	1966-67	1971-72			1962-72	
Carroll County	and the second s	. Had a common down	\$ 1,226,000	\$ 1,721,000	\$ 2,651,000			+116.2%	*
Total for Region Three	*	•	14,000,000	14,681,000	26,418,000		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	+88.7%	45
Mean County Value Median County	1.		1,556,000	, NA ^d	2,935,000	.•		•	*
Value			1,263,000	↑ NA	2,651,000	٠	,	••••	
Total for Iowa		•	160,403,000	209,219,000	328,923,000			+105.1%	
Mean County Value		, ,	1,620,000	NA	3,322,000	ı		•	·

a Represents revenue from all sources--local, federal, and state.



b Analysis of social change computed for 1962-72 only due to missing data for Webster County for 1966-67.

Ceneral revenue of county governments represents the total revenue received by the county government. Figure includes intergovernmental revenue, tax revenue, and special charges. Special charges include fees for special services, special assessments, the sale of government property, and interest earnings.

d NA: Data not available.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Area: Economic Resources

Governmental Sector

Title: Intergovernmental revenue of county governments c,d

,		,			Analysis	of Social.	Change
Governmental Unic	1961-62	Year 1966-67	1971-72	· ·	Percent Change 1962-72	,	Change in Proportion 1962-72
Carroll .	.\$ 318,000 (25.9%)	\$ 569,000 (33.1%)		• • .	+121.7%	••	+0.7%
Total for . Region Three	2,938,000 (21.0%)	3,827,000 (26.1%)	7,071,000 (26.8%)	,	+140.7%		+5.8%
Mean County Value Median County Value	326,000 319,000	na ^e Na	786,000 756,000	,	*	•	
Total for lowa	40,868,000 (25.5%)	59,758,000 (28.6%)	95,912,000 (10.3%)	۰,	+134.7%	*	-15.2%
Mean County : Value	413,000	ŠĀ *	969,000	,	,	•	

Figures in parenthesis represent intergovernmental revenue of county governments as a percent of general revenue.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.



Change in proportion represents the change in the intergovernmental revenue of county governments adjusted for change in general revenue.

C Analysis of social change computed for 1962-72 only due to missing data for Webster County for 1966-67.

Intergovernmental revenue comprises payments from one government to another as grants-in-aid, shared revenues, payments in lieu of taxes, or reimbursements for governmental services.

⁸ NA: Data not available.

64

Area: Economic Resources
Governmental, Sector

Title: Tax revenue of county governments

. The material control of the contro	., .			Analysis of Soc	al Change C
Cavanna		Year	ŧ	Percent Change	Change in Proportion
, Governmental Unit	1961-62	1966-67	1971-72	1962-72	1962-72
Carroll County	\$ 830,000 (67.7%)	\$ 968,000 (56.2%)	\$ 1,620,000 (61.1%)	+95.2% →	-6.6%
Total for Region Three	8,414,000 (60.1%)	7,082,000 (48.2%)	11,531,000 (43.6%)	+37.1%	-16.5%
Mean County Value	935,000	$NA^{\mathbf{d}}$	1,281,000		,
Median County 2000 Value	825,000	NA	ì,202,00ò		•
Total for Iowa-	97,131,000 (60.6%)	112,096,000 (53.6%)	* 166,441,000 (50.6%)	+71.42	-10.0%
Mean County Value	981,000	NA.	1,681,000		,

Figures in parenthesis represent tax revenue of county government as a percent of total general revenue of county government.

ERIC

Full Text Provided by ERIC

Change in proportion represents the change in tax revenue of county government adjusted for change in the total general revenue of county government.

C Analysis of social changemis for 1962-72 only due to missing data for Webster County for 1966-67.

NA: Data not available.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Governmental Sector 3

Title: Total county tax dollars levied

a the state of the states of the said of t					v	Analysis of Social Change
	,	4	Year 🌶			Percent \ Change
Governmental Unit	•	1970		1973		1970-73
Carroll County		\$ 4,746,576		\$ 8,142,841		+71.6%
Total for Region Three		50;692,716		80,668,646		+59,1%,
Mean County Value		5,632,524		8,963,183	•	
Median County Value	•	4,746,576		8,142,841	N .	
Total for Iowa		747,140,270		1,174,073,169		+57.1%
Mean County Value	*	7,546,871		11,859,325		`

Data are for taxes levied in the respective years 1970 and 1973 and payable during 1971 and 1974, respectively.

Source: Iowa Department of Revenue.

Area: Econo

Economic Sources

Governmental Sector

Title: Net millage rate, total mills for county

A Commence of the Commence of				•		Ana	lysis of Social	Change	
			Year			\	Percent Change	ž	
Governmental Unit		1970		1973	•		1970-73	<u> </u>	
Carroll County		68.794	•	" 108.240		*	+57.3%	7 = 16 k	
Total for Region Three		677.244	7	995.122			+46.9%	`	
Mean County	*	75.249	•	110.569					
Median County Value		74.983)	109.190		•			•
Total for Iowa	•			ेतु 142 472			r41.6%	•	•
Value		101.313	•	143.472		···			

a Data are for taxes levied in 1970 and 1973 and payable in 1971 and 1974, respectively.

Source: . Iowa Department of Revenue.

67

Area: Economic Resources

Governmental Sector

litle: Net millage rate, school mills

				·		Analysis of Social Change
Governmental			Year			Percent Change
. Unit	,	197.0	•	1973 `	·	1970-73
Carroll County	•	38.155		56.385	``	+47.8%
Total for Region Three		436.632	,	605.684	,	+38.7%
Mean County Value Median County	C.	48.535		67.298		
Value		50,030	· ·	67:.656	•	,
Total for Iowa			ů,	· ·	•	,
Mean County Value	ì	61.742		.81.621	, .	+32.2%

Bata are taxes levied in the years 1970 and 1973 and payable during 1971 and 1974, respectively.

Source: Iowa Department of Revenue.

138

Governmental Sector

Title: Net millage rate, road mills

e.		•		Ye	ar .	,	\$	•, •	Analysi	s of Social Change Percent Change
Governmental Unit			1970	;	3	1973		,	, , , ·	1970-73
Carroll County			5.412	٠		8.141				+50.4%
Total for Region Three	,		52.662	•	•	78.566				+49.2%
Mean County Value		•	5.851		• .	8.730	•		,	`
Median County Value,			5.412		· , · ,	8.141	٥	<u>.</u> ·		
Total for lowa		× .								•
Mean County Value		<	6.093			8.715	• \		•	10.3% T40.3%

a Data are taxes levied in 1970 and 1973 and payable in 1971 and 1974, respectively.

Source: Iowa Department of Revenue.

Area: Remomic Resources

Governmental Sector

fittle: General expenditures (all functions) of county governments

					*		Analysis of Social Change	
			Ac. 5" 79	Year	.		Percent Change	
Governmental Unit			1961-62	1966-67	1971-72	الله الله الله المساورة على المائد الله المائد الله المائد الله المواجع المائد الله المواجع المائد ا	1962-72	
Carroll County		\$	1,342,000	\$ 1,918,000	\$ 2,462,000		+83.5%	
Total for Region Three ·			13,737,000	15,067,000	27,496,000	•	+100.2%	*
Mean County Value			1,526,000	NA.C	3,055,000			
Median County Value	X.	*	1,342,000	NA	2,483,000	•	·	
Total for lowa		1	61,198,000	209,395,000	319,616,000	.	+98.3%	
Mean County Value)		1,628,000	NA	3,228,000	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

a. Represents expenditures for all functions.

Source: *U.S. Department of Commerce, Census or Governments.

b Analysis of social change for 1962-72 only due to missing data for 1966-67 for Webster County.

C NA: Data not available.

Sovernmental Sector

Title: Expenditures by county government in financial administration d

e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Control of the second s		1 to		Analys	is of Soc	ial Change
Governmental Unit	1961-62	Year 1966-67	1971-72	,	Percent Change 1962-72		Change in Proportion 1962-72
Carroll County	\$ 92,000 (6.8%)	\$ 106,000 (5.5%)	\$ 181,000 (7.4%)	` •	+96.7%	,	+0.6%
Total for Region Three	613,000 (4.5%)	705,000 ((4.7%)	1,325,000 (4.8%)	•	+116.2%	``	+0.37
Mean County Value	68,000	ΝΑ ^e	147,000				
Median County Value	63,000	, NA	140,000			*	
Total for Iowa	7,960,000 (4.9%)	10,901,000 (5.2%)	16,385,000 (5.1%)		+105.9%	,	. +0.2%
Mean County Value	80,000	NA	166,000			** 9 · ·	

a Figures in parenthesis represent expenditures by county government in financial administration as a percent of total general county expenditures.



Change in proportion represents the change in expenditures by county government in financial administration adjusted for change in the total general county expenditures.

Analysis c' social change for 1962-72 only due to missing data for Webster County for 1966-67.

Tinancial administration activities include the activities of officials and agenties concerned with tax assessment and collection, accounting, inditing, judgeting, purchasing, custody of funds, and other central finance activities.

NA: Data not available.

Source: "D.s. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Governmental Sector

Title: Expenditures by county governments on general control

arge in the garage and the second in the sec	ne vitalis (v		. \$/		Analysis of	Social Change C
Governmental		` Year		*	Percent- Change	Change in Proportion
Unit	1961-62	1966-67	1971-72		1962-72	1962-72
Carroll County	\$ 101,000 (7.5%)	\$ 139,000 (7.2%)	\$ 179,000 (7.3%)		+77.2%	-0.2%
Total for Region Three	636,000 (4.6 %)	755,000 (5.0%)	1,603,000 (5.8%)		+152.1%	+1.2%
Mean County Value	71,000	. NA ^e	178,000			•
Median County Value	61,000	NA	160,000			
Total for Jowa	8,506,000 (5.3%)	12,006,000	18,940,000 (5.9%)	٠	+122.7%	+06%
Mean County Value	86,000 -,	NA	191,000		•	•

^a Figures in parenthesis represent expenditures by county government on general control as a percent of general county expenditures.

b Change in proportion represents the change in expenditures by county government on general control adjusted for change in the general expenditure by county governments.

Analysis of social change for 1962-72 only due to missing data for Webster County for 1966-67.

Expenditures on general control include expenditures on governing bodies, courts, offices of chief executives and central staff services, and expenditures on agencies concerned with such accivities as personnel administration, law, recording, planning, and coming.

C NA: Data not available.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Governmental Sector

Title: Capital outlay of county governments

•	4	•		Analysis of	Social Change C
Governmental		Year		Percent Change	Change in Proportion
Unit	1961-62	1966-67	1971-72 ·	1962-72	1962-72
Carroll County	\$ 59,0 0 0 (4.4%)	\$ 524,000 (27.3%)	\$ 502,000 (20.4%)	+750.9%	+16.0%
Total for Region Three	2,566,000 (18.7%)	2,825,000 (18.7%)	4,142,000 (15.1%)	/ +61.4%	-3.6%
Mean County Value Median County	285,000	, ИА ^е	460,000	3	
Value	321,000	NA	453,000		•
lotal for lown	28,682,000 (17.8%) ,	38,547,000 (18.4%)	41,941,000 ((13.1%)	+46.2%	-4.7%
Mean County Value	290,000	ÑΑ	424,000	•	•

Figures is parenthesis represent the capital outlay of county governments as a percent of total general expenditures of the county.

Change in proportion represents the change in capital outlay of county governments adjusted for change in the total general expenditures of the county.



Analysis of social change for 1962-72 only due to missing data for Webster County for 1966-67.

dipital gutlay includes direct expenditure for contract or force-account construction, for purchase of equipment (including replacements), and for purchase of land and existing structures. Construction comprises production of fixed works and structures and of additions, replacements, and major literations, including designs, site improvement, and provision of facilities that are integral parts of a structure.

e NA: Tata not available.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments

7.3

Area: Foreign Resources :

Movernment Sector

Ittle: Federal outlays (in thousands)

			, •		•		Analysi	s of Social Change	£ 🛴
•	•	1		iear	•		t ,	Percent Change	
** vernmental			t 52.61	1975 ^b	*			1973-75	
Carroll County	" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	•	\$ 19,622,000	\$ 18,948,000				-3.4%	,
Potal Por Region Three			199,897,000	182,947,000	• . • .	,		-8.5%	
· *Mean County Value	e de la companya de l	· ·	22,211,000	20,327,000		•		• •	*
Median County * Value		, ,	19,622,000	18,314,000	· .			•	*
test for lowa		•	2,910,767,000	2,958,782,000	•	•	1	+1.6%	
Mean County Value			29,402,000	29,887,000		, š		•	

Sepresents all outlays of federal funds other than those for which publication would constitute a hazard to the pational security. Federal outlays represent the total amount of funds allocated by the executive branch of the federal government to counties. In some instances, the contlays pass through state governments, or their intermediaries, such as prime contractors, before reaching the ultimate recipient.

Fiscal vear.

150

Separce: U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service.

Covernmental Sector

Title: Total debt outstanding for county governments

and the second s		, which has a second of a second of the seco	and any manager of the control of th		And the state of t	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Analys	is of Social Ch	ange .
•			Year					Percent Change	,
Governmental Unit		1961-62	1966-67	1971-72	,		•	1962-72	
Carroll County	to the second se	\$ 0	\$ 705,000	\$ 590,000	an die Brau Minden Mit verste w. 16. Million Mi			%c	
Total for Region Three	`	603,000	2,396,000	3,268,000				+442.0%	
Mean County Value		67,000	b _{AK}	. 363,000				` · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8
Median County Value	,	23,000	NA	200,000	• .		,		b .
Total for low's	•	12,,939,000	23,888,000	26,892,000			•	+107.8%	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Mean County Value	*	131,000	NA	272,000		÷ .			,

a Analysis of social change computed for 1962-72 only due to missing data for 1966-67 for Webster County.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

bebt includes all long-term credit obligations of the government and its agencies, and all interest-bearing short-term (i.e., repayable within, one year) credit obligations. Figures include judgments, mortgages, and "revenue" bonds, as well as general obligation bonds, notes, and interest-bearing wagrants.

C Percent change cannot be computed.

d NA: Data not available.

teat - Economic Resources

Transportation/Communication Sector

inte: Number of automobile registrations

				·		<u>An</u>	alysis of S	ocial Chang	<u>e</u>
			Year	· ·	•		Perc		•
Governmental Unit	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74	1974-75
Carroll County	10,757	11,042	11,554	11,803	11,883	+2.6%	+4.6%	+2.2%	+0.7%
total for Region Three	94,731	96,202	97,742	9,9 ,282	100,285	+1.6%	+1.6%	+1.6%	+1.0%
Mean County Value	10,525.7	10,689.1	10,860.2	11,031.3	11,142.8	•		t.	
Median County Value	9,078.0	9,169.0	9,272.0	9,233.0	9,296.0				•
istal for lowa	1,416,726	1,442,150	1,473,312	1,497,497	1,528,511	+1.8%	+2.2%	+1.6%	+2.1%
Mean County () Value	14,310.4	14,567.2	14,881.9	15,126.2	15,439.5				

source: Iowa Department of Transportation.

Area: Feonowie Resources

Transportation/Communication .

Title: Occupied housing units with no automobile available

•	and the state of t	ing exceptions to the part of the transfer of the many terms of the transfer o	Analysis	of Social Change
	Yea	r	Percent Change	<pre>Change in Proportion</pre>
Gevernmental Unit	1960	1970	1960-70	1960-70
Carroll County	502 (7.6%)**	683 (10.1%)	+36.1%	+2.5%
Total thr Region Three	6,603 (10.9%)	6,447 (10.8%)	-2,4%	-0.17
Mean County Value	734	716	•	s.
Median County Value	502	¥ 48 9		, e
total for Towa	115.695	107,383 (12.0%)	-7,2%	-1.8%
Mean County Value	1,169	1,085	•	

Figures to parenthesis represent occupied housing units with no automobile available as a percent of all occupied housing units.

thange in proportion represents the change in the number of occupied housing units with no automobile available adjusted for change in the total number of occupied housing units.

Source: 2.3. Department of Commerce, Census of Housing.

77

Area: Economic Resources

Transportation/Communication Sector

fitle: Expenditures of county governments on highways

The same of the same of the same of	en rangemen	a management of the second	rate per der vir i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	neter many state (to a partie). A company of the state of	to the activities desired and an immediately and impediate	Analysi	s of Socia	L Change
83			Year		•	Percent Change	•	Change in Proportion
Covernmental Unit		1962	1967	1972		1962-72		1962-72
Carroll County		\$ 688,000 (51.3%)	\$ 844,000 (44.0%)	\$ 1,042,000 (42.3%)	•	+51.5%		-9.0%
Total for Region Three	,	5,,795,000 (42.2%)	6,302,000 (41.8%)	9,244,000 (33.6%)		+59.5%	•	-8.6%
Mean County Value Median County		644,000	NAC .	1,927,000		,		
Value		602,000	NA .	/ 974,000	y			1 .
Total for Towa		70,007,000 (43,4%)	81,394,000 (38.9%)	104,375,000	K	+49.1%	•	-10.7%
Mean County - Value	~ `	707,000	NA as t	1,054,000			•	

Figures in parenthesis represent expenditure of county government on highways as a percent of the general expenditures allocated by the county government.

thange in proportion represents the change in the expenditure of county governments on highways adjusted for change in the expenditures affocated by the county government for all purposes.

[&]quot; MAx Cata not available.

d Analysis of social change is for 1962-72 only due to missing data for Webster County for 1966-67.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Transportation/Communication Sector

little: Expenditure of local governments on highways (local governments include county, municipal, and township governments, and school and special districts)

		· ·					Analysis of Social Change					
			Year				Per Cha	cent nge		ige in bortion		
Covernmental '		1962	1967 .	1972		3	1962-67	1967-72	1962-67	,1967-72		
Carroll County	in the second se	\$ 823,000 (26,3%)	\$ 1,075,000 (24.0%)	\$ 1,782,000 (21.9%)	ì		+30.6%	+65.8%	-2.3%	-2.1%		
lotal for Region Three	*	7,718,000 (21.4%)	8,841,000 (16.2%)	13,479,000 (14.8%)	•		+14.6%	+52.5%	-5.2%	-1.4%		
Mean County - Value		858,000	982,000	1,498,000	•					•		
Yedian County Value		790,000	1,000,000	1,277,000				•	•			
Total for lowa	•	164,144,000 (18.4%)	133,286,000 (16.44%)	171,363,000 (12 7%)	,	*	+28.0%	+28.6%	* -2.0%	-3.7%		
Mean County Value		1,052,000	1,346,000	1,731,000			•	•	•	•		

Pigures in parenthesis represent expenditure of local governments on highways as a percent of the total direct general expenditure allocated for highways.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

b change in proportion represents the change in expenditure of local governments on highways adjusted for change in the total direct general expenditure allocated for highways.

Transportation/Communication Sector

Title: Secondary toad fund operations, total to account for

	,	·Ye	ar	•	Analysi	Analysis of Social Change Percent Change		
Government al Unit	1970	1971	1972	1973	1970+71	1971-72	1972-73	•
Carroll County	\$ 1,038,072	\$ 1,126,301	\$ 1,191,856	\$ 1,855,355	+8.5%	+5.8%	+55.7%	•
Total for Region Three	9,984,602	10,324,525	10,503,792	13,205,606	+3.4%	+1.7%	+25.7%	`
Mean County Value	1,109,400	1,147,169	1,167;088	1,467,290	,	,		
Median County Value	1,038,072	1,123,410	1,169,962	1,384,913	•			
Total for lowa	419,855,578	123,593,837	128,986,060	148,698,271	+3.1%	+4.4%	+15.3%	`
Mean Joanty • Value	1,210,662	1,248,423	1,302,889	1,502,003				

Source: Auditor of State of Towa, Biennial Report of County Finances.



Transportation/Communication Sector

Title: Occupied housing units with no television set

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·)		4		•	Analysis	of Social Change
Covernmental		1960	Year	1970~ `*	ر.	•	Percent Change 1960-70	Change in Proportion 1960-70
Carroll County		496 (7.5%)		1427	*	4	-71.0%	¹ -5.4 %
Total for Region Three		6,041 (10.0%)	nicon subbilion y nicolo. — suntanicalis secondistibilis	1,470 (2.5%)	ne manne sake dakti one herbakkeren diren franjering sparage da	g Turkenska simmer kingan seppa tin ki kinganga a 19 away at 18 awa ka kinganga a	-75.7%	-7.5%
Mean County Value Median County Value		671 569		163 112	*		and the state of t	
Total for lowa	•	91,124 (iú.8%)	•	32,546 (3.67)		·	-64.3%	-7.2X
Mean County Value		920		329				

Figures in parenthesis represent occupied housing units with no television set as a percent of all occupied housing units.

thange in proportion represents the change in the number of occupied housing units with no television set adjusted for change in the total for purpose and the desired housing units.

Source: U.S. Separtment of Commerce, Census of Housing.

transportation/Communication Sector

Title: Occupied housing units with no telephone available

•	4	•			Analysis o	f Social Change
Governmental	·		Year		Percent Change	★ Change in Proportion
Unit	4-	1960 .	, 1970	4.7	1960-70	1960-70
Carroll County	•	(6.3%) ^a	(4.7%)		-23.3%	-1.6%
fotal, for Region Three		5,553 (9.2%)	3,410 (5.7%)	uotida. Yrbod alla ora, librikalala daabala ini oli in kuuluusi kalki ka	-38. 6X	-3.5%
Mean County Value		617	. 379		,	
Median County Value	٠	526	269		, t	*
Total for lowa	X	91,127 (10.8%)	58,049 (6.5%)	• •	-36.3%	-4.3%
Mean County · · · Value		920	. 586	•		

a Figures in garenthesis represent occupied housing units with no telephone available as a percent of all occupied housing units.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Housing.

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of occupied housing units with no telephone available adjusted for change in the total number of occupied housing units.

Agricultural Sector

Title: Number of farms

in the second se	#	And the second s		• 🗽		Analysis of S	ocial Change	
,		•	Year	,		Per c		
Governmental Unit		1970	1972	1973		1970-72	1972-73	
Carroll County	a) to the second	1,530	1,488	1,456		-2.8%	-2.2%	
Total for Region Three	•	12,016	11,757	11,591		-2.2%	-1.4%	
Mean County Value		1,335	1,306	1,288		,	•	
Median County Value		1,319	- 1,300	1,294	× .		,	
Total for lowa	`	135,264	132,610	130,898		-2.0%	-1.3%	4
Mean County Value	*	1,366	1,339	1,322		·	•	

A farm is defined as a tract of land of 3 acres or more, used for agricultural purposes and operated by one individual with or without the assistance of family and hired labor.

Source: Iowa Department of Agriculture, Iowa Annual Farm Census.

Agricultural Sector

Title: Total agricultural land (in acres)

ggi, siran, manin ara , richaraman a	ه به همه همه این این است.				-	•	Analysis of	Social Change
			Year	· ·			Per Cha	cent nge
Governmental Unit		1970	.1972	1973			·1970-72	1972-73
Carroll County	•	361,719	360,442	360,407			-0.4%	+0.01%
Total for Region Three	n vi anglisara damanah magapasa dakkan ingga	3,204,772	3,201,103	* 3,203,011			-0.1%	+0.06%
Mean County Value		356,086	355,678	355,890		۳,		
Median County Value	*	359,747	359,856	359,856	,			
Total for Iowa	1.5	33,689,913	33,710,604	33,705,189			+0.06%	-0.02%
Mean County Value	, #	340,302	340,511	340,456				

Source: Iowa Department of Agriculture, Iowa Annual Farm Census.

170

Agricultural Sector

Title: Average size of farms (in acres)

	•	•	v .	Analysis of S	Social Change	
Governmental		Year	•	Pero Char		
Unit	1970	1972	1973 .	1970-72	1972-73	
Carroll County	236	242	248.	+2.15%	+2.5%	\ \ \
Lowa	249	254	257	+2.0%	+1.2%	
		. .			•	

Source: Iowa Department of Agriculture, Iowa Annual Farm Census.

Area: Fconomic Resources
Agricultural Sector

Title: Final adjusted taxable value of agricultural land and buildings

en e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		n ja ka aman amena serimpinannin 1989 No us as Mikilandi. Arabikisan katalandisan katalandisan katalandisan ka K		`,\	Analysis of Social Change
			Year	·	Percent Change
Governmental Unit		1970	1974		1970-74
Carroll County		\$ 36,275,542	\$ 38,236,554		+5.4%
Total for Region Three	٠	371,553,441	408,395,552		+9.9%
Mean County Value		41,283,716	45,377,284		
Median County Value	•	41,857;644	45,548,986	,	
Total for Iowa		2,945,045,743	3,276,976,581		+11.3%
Mean County Value		29,747,937	33,100,774		•

a On farms of 10 or more acres.

Source: Iowa Department of Revenue, Taxable Values of Real Personal Property, Including Moneys and Credits (1970 Taxes Collectable in 1971) and 1974 Property Valuation Report for Taxes Levied in 1975 Against the 1974 Assessment.

Agricultural Sector

Title: Average taxable value per acre of agricultural land and buildings

*		n man (man () in a (,		Analysis of Social Change
			Year	C	Percent Change
Governmental Unit	`	1970	19	174	1970-74
Carroll County		\$103.67	\$109	.73	+5.8%
			alle en em com comple, som è algebre e parque de come e come estan che e e come e calca che e e e e e e e e ca	ه بيون دون دون دو ده در دون در دون	The second secon
Iowa		87.38	97	.73	+11.8%
*					

^a Townships and unincorporated villages only.

Source: Town Department of Revenue, Taxable Values of Real Personal Property, Including Moneys and Credits (1970 Taxes Collectable in 1971) and 1974 Property Valuation Report for Taxes Levied in 1975 Against the 1974 Assessment.



Sa.

Area: Economic Resources
Agriculture Sector

fitte: Value of will agricultural products sold

	ù			in the second se	and the second s		Analysis of Social	Change
	,	•	Year		•	•	Percent Change	• •
Governmental (1, 1969		1974	*	k	1969-74	· sales in the second con-
Carroll County	Company Selection (Control of Control of Con	\$ 59,738,000	e combanda e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	\$ 102,256,000	٠. •		+71.2%	•
Total for Region Three	4 M	371,6791000	** **	743,387,000			+100.0%	
Mean County , Value		41,298,000	*	82,599,000		*		
Median County Value	* •	38,714,000		79,423,000	* 13	•	5	•
Total for Iowa		3,655,512,000		6,276,265,000		,	+71.7%	
Mean County - *		36,924,000*		63,397,000	•			· ·

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Agriculture.

Area: Fromomic Resources

Agricultural Sector

Title: Total farm production expenses

	•		*	*		Analysis of Social Change	
•		•	Year		•	Percent Change	•
Governmental Unit		1969		1974		1969-74	
Carroll County		\$ 47,867,000	•	\$ 77,907,000		+62.8%	
Total tor Region Three		272,796,000	1	443,467,000		+62.6%	
Mean County Value		30,311,000	•	49,274,000	•		
Modian County Value	•	27,560,000		45,327,000			
Total for Towa	Į.	2,790,717,000		4,246,195,000	,	+52.2%	
Mean Count∀ Value	•	28,189,000		42,891,000			

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Agriculture.

Area: Ed

Economic Resources

Agricultural Sector

Title: Farms with sales between \$1,000 and \$2,500

				*	Analysis	of Social Change
** Governmental Unit	1	1969	Year 1974		Percent Change 1969-74	Change in Proportion 1969-74
Carroll County		\$ 34 (2.2%) ^a	\$ 66 · (4,4%)		+94.1%	+2.27
Total for Region Three	•	475 (3.9%)	. 526 (4.6%)	She:	+10.7%	+0.7%
Mean County Value Median County Value	t	52.8 40.0	58.4 57.0	e de la companya de		
Total for Towa	,	8,607 (0,52)	9,427 (7.5%)	<u> </u>	+9.5%	+1.0%
Mean County Value	•	86,9 .	95.2	, r		

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of all farms with sales between \$1,000 and \$2,500 as a percent of the total number of farms.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Agriculture.

192

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of farms with sales between \$1,000 and \$2,500 adjusted for change in the total number of farms.

Area:

Economic Resources

Agricultural Sector

litle: Corn yield per acre (in bushels)

•		•			Analys	is of Social Ch	ange
		Ye	ar	•		Percent Change	
Governmental Unit	1968	1970	1972	1974	1968-70	1970-72	1972-74
Carroll County	100	83	111	75	-17.0%	+33.7X €	-32.5%
	ì			*		**	
lowa	92	1 86	111	80	-6.5%	+29.1%	-27.9%

Source: Iowa Department of Agriculture, Iowa Annual Farm Census.

154

. 91

Area: Economic Resources

Agricultural Sector

Title: Soybean yield per acre (in bushels)

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	in a subminum of the second of	annani esteriorismos esteriori	and the second s	And the second second desired and the second second second	_,		Analy	sis of Social C	nange
A	,		Ye	ar		•	\ F	Percent Change	
Sovernmental Unit		1968	1970	1972	1974	je de la companya de	1968-70	1970-72	1972-74
Carroll County	no no. Il il laterer magnetario della c	34	32.	39	27	•	-5.9%	+21.9%	-30.8%
lowa		32	33	36	28	r ^a tor	+3.1%	+9.1%	-22.2%
			`		•				

Service: Iowa Department of Agriculture, Iowa Annual Farm Census.

92

Area: Economic Resources

Agricultural Sector

Title: Number of hogs marketed (in thousands)

				•			Analys	is of Social	Change
	•		Yes	ir ·		•		Percent Change	•
Novernmental (Intr		. 1968	1970	1972	1974		1968-70	1970-72	1972-74
Carroll County		279.8	299.5	319,3	345.3		+7.0%	+6.6%	+8.1%
·Intal tor Region Three		1,392.8	1,444.8	1,474.5	1,540.1	·	+3.7%	+2.1%	+4.4%
Mean County Value		154.8	160.5	. 163.8	171.1				
Median County Value	•	149.8	146.0	140.6	145.4		\$		
total for lowa		17,329.1	17,894.9	17,781.4	18,987.0		+3.3%	-0.6%	+6.8%
Mean County Value	*,*	175.0	180.8	179.6	191.8		t .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Source: Iowa Department of Agriculture, Iowa Annual Farm Census.

193

93

Arma: Economic Resources

Agricultural Sector

Fitle: Number of grain-fed cattle marketed (in thousands)

× ,			*	<i></i>	•		Analysis of Social	Change
Covernmental			Yea	r	•	•	Percent Change	
Unit	•	1968	1970	1972	1974		1968-70 . 1970-72	1972-74.
Carroll County		100.2	110.5	104.8	80.9		+10.3% * -5.2%	-22.8%
Total for Region Three		423.0	401.0	372.9	25,3.0		-5.2% -7.0%	-32.2%
Mean County Value Median County	,	- 47.0	44/6	41.4	28.1	. •	4.	*
Value		. 45.8	39.8	39.0	23.1			
Total for Iowa		4,164.7	3,999.7	3,736.0	3,097.0	`	-4.0% -6.6%	-17.1%
Mean County Value		42.1	40.4	37.7	31.3 :	,		è .

Source: lowa Department of Agriculture, Iowa Annual Farm Census.

Agricultural Sector

Title: Number of grain-fed sheep and lambs marketed (in thousands)

							•	Analysis of	Social Change	
		•	•	Year	***				cent	
Governmental . Unit			1968	× 1970	1973			1968-70	1970#73	
Carroll County	* * * *** ****************************	*	2.5	1.8	1.4	•		-28.0%	22.2%	
Total for Region Three	*		64.5	70.4	_ 40.4	•	•	+9.1%	-42.6%	
Mean County Value		•	7.2	7.8	4.5		,		•	
Median County *Value	76		5.7	5.7	3.7	4	•		•	
Total for Iowa			600.1	563.0	, 385.5	> .		-6.2%	-31.6%	
Mean County Value		• •	6.1	5.7	3.9		•		·	

Scarce: Loya Department of Agriculture, Iowa Annual Farm Census.

Commercial/Industrial Sector.

little: Final adjusted taxable value of industrial and manufacturing land

				-	Analysis of Social Char	nge -
overnmental		Yеат >			Percent Change	•
Unit	. 1970	. 1974			1970-74	. ,
Carroll County.	\$ 1,319,538	\$ 2,263,085		এ ণ	+71.5%	
Total tor. Région Three	16,139,339	18,656,577		,	+15.6% /	
Mean County Value 1 Median County	1,793,260	2,072,953			•.	•
Value	1,020,476	1,409,056	•	•	•	A
Total for lows	370,507,877	459,315,946			+24.0%	
Mean County Value	3,742,504	4,639,555	<i>.</i>			

 $\int_{0}^{t} Also includes plants, lots and buildings, and machinery assessed as real estate.$

Sobrce: Iowa Department of Revenue, Taxable Values of Real Personal Property, Including Moneys and Credits (1970 Taxes Collectable in 1971) and, 1974 Property Valuation Report for Taxes Levied in 1975 Against the 1974 Assessment.

Commercial/Industrial Sector

Title: Number of wholesale trade units (as of March 15)

•			*	Analysis of Social Change							
Communication	•	Yea	ar			٠	Percent Change			Change in	
Governmental # Upit	1970	1971	1972	. 1973	•	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County	(7.2%) ⁸	40 · (6.9%)	41 (7.1%)	45 (7.6%)		-4.8%	+2.5%	+9.8%	-0.3%	+0.2%	+0.5%
Total for Region Three	327 (7.9%)	314 (7.7%)	307 (7.5%)	308 (7.9%)		-4.0%	-2.2%	÷0.3%	-0.2%	-0.2%	+0.4%
Mean County Vertue	36	35	. 34	34	•	. }	٠,	•			
Median County Valu e	26	26	24	25			•				
Total for lowa	4,937 (8.6%)	4,851 (8.5%)	4,806 (3.4%)	4,699 (8.1%) ^	*	-1.8%	-0.9%	-2.2%	-0.1%	- 0.1 2.	-0.3%
Mean County Value	. 50 .	. 49	49	47				-	. •	•	

A Figures in parenthesis represent the number of wholesale trade units as a percent of total number of commercial, industrial, and agricultural units.

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of wholesale trade units adjusted for change in the total number of commercial, industrial, and agricultural units.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, County Business Patterns.

Commercial/Industrial Sector

little: Number of retail trade units (as of March 15)

e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		bear from a 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			*			An	alysis of	Social Cha	nge	
•		يسي	Yea	r	•		, ,,	Percent Change			Change in roportion	
Governmental Unit		1970	1971	1972	. 1973		1970 \$71	1971-72	1972-73	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County	*	247 (42.1%)	239 - (41.1%)	233 (40.0%)	288 (38.7%)	`	-3.2%	-2.5%	-2.2%	-1.0%	-1.1%	-1.3%
Total for Region Three	,)	1,590 (38.4%)	1,540 (37.9%)	1,545	1,571 (40.0%)		-3.2%	+0.3%	+1.7%	-0.5%	0.0%	+2.1%
Mean County Value		. 177	171	172	175							
Median County Value		155	151	154	160				•		•	•
Fotal for Iowa		19,939. (34:7%)	19,657 (34.5%)	19,648 (34.4%)	19,734 (34.0%)	,	-1.4%	-0.05%	+0.4%	0.2%	-0.1%	-0.4%
Mean County Value		201	199	198	199		•	•	•	. •		

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of retail trade units as a percentuof total number of commercial, industrial, and agricultural units.

bange in proportion represents the change in number of retail trade units adjusted for change in the total number of commercial, industrial, and agricultural units,

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, County Business Patterns.

Commercial/Industrial Sector ~

Title: Retail sales (in thousands of dollars)

and the transfer when the transfer of the second second to		and the second control of the second					Analys	ls of Social	Change
Governmental ;	•			'ear	•		100.00	Percent Change	1970-74
Unit		1960	1965	- 1970	1974 .	•	1 960-65	1965-70	13/0-/4
Carroll County	`	\$ 35,870	\$ 41,151	\$ 60,718	\$ 48,604		+14.7%	+47.6%	-20.0%
Total for Region Three		266,493	309,134	406,376	419,635		+16.0%	+31.5%	+3.3%
Mean County . Value		29,610	34,348	45,153	46,626	*	.		
Median County Value	,	28;192	30,292	43,355	31,677		,		
Total for Iowa	•	3,625_524	4,221,702	5,681,253	6,713,293		+16.4%	+34.6%	+18.27
Mean County Value		36,621	42,643	57,386	67,811			×.	<u> </u>

Source: Sales Management Inc., Sales Management, The Marketing Magazine.

259

99

Area: Economic Resources

Commercial/Industrial Sector

Title: Taxable payroll of retail trade units (as of March 15)

e in the same of the same of the same of the same of	and the second s				•	Ar	alysis of	Social Ch	ange	
		Ye:	ar	•	`	Percent Change			Change in Proportion	
Governmental Unit	1970	1971	1972	1973	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County	\$ 1,222,000 (28.1%)	\$ 1,320,000 (28.5%)	\$ 1,305,000 (26.6%)	\$ 1,422,000 (24.9%)	+8.0%	-1.1%	+9.0%	+0.47	-1.9%	-1.7%
Total for Region Three	, 9,336,000 (19.6%)	9,856,000 (20.2%)	10,363,000 (19.9%)	11,651,000 (21.0%)	+5.6%	+5.2%	+12.4%	+0.6%	-0.3%	+1.1%
Mean County Value	1,037,333	1,095,111	1,151,444	1,294,556		ı		,	•	
Median County Value	679,000	717,000	785,000	874,000						
fotal tor Iowa	154,448,000 (15.7%)	160,198,000 (15.9%)	171,669,000 (15.2%)	193,768,000 (15.1%)	+3.7%	+7,2%	+12.9%	+0.2%	-0.7%	-0.1%
Mean County Value	1,560,081	1,618,162	1,734,030	1,957,253				,	•	

Figures in parenthesis represent/taxable payrolls of retail trade units as a percent of total taxable payrolls of all commercial, industrial, and agricultural units.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, County Busienss Patterns.

292

b Change in proportion represses the change in taxable payrolls of retail trade units adjusted for change in the total taxable payrolls of all. commercial, industrial, and agricultural units.

100

wirea: - Economic Resources

Commgretal/Industrial Sector

Title: Number of service sector units (as of March 15)

					•	•	•	Δì	alysis of	Social Cha	inge	•
Governmental		•	Y	ear .	ا ن	•	•	Percent Change			Change in	
Unit	•	1970	1971	1972	1973	_	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County	s series described as an en	(21.5 %)	130 (22.3%)	136 (23.4%)	139 (23.6%)	,	+3.2%	+4.6%	+2.2%	+0.8%	+1.1%	+0.2%
Total for Region Three		\$ 992 (24.0%)	990 (24.3%)	1,002 (24.6%)	990 (25.2%)	•	-0.2%	+1.2%	-1.2%	+0.3%	+0.3%	-0.6%
Mean County Value Median County		110	110	111	110		*	'		•		. •
Value		93	95	92	85	•	-	•				
Total for Iowa		14,413 (25.1%)	14,442 (25.3%)	14,632 (25.6%)	14,732 (25.4%)		+0.2%	+1.3%	+0.7%	+0.2%	+0.3%	-0.2%
Mean County Value	**	146	146	148	149			-	•		•	•

Figures in parenthesis represent number of service sector units as a percent of the total number of commercial, industrial, and agricultural units.

b thange in proportion represents the change in the number of service sector units adjusted for change in the total number of commercial, industrial, and agricultural units.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, County Business Patterns.

Area: Economic Resources

Commercial/Industrial Sector

fittle: Taxable payroll of service sector units (as of March 15)

and the second of the second o	and the second s		•	,		. <u>Ar</u>	alysis of	Social Cha	nge	*
		Yes	ar	,	•	Percent Change	. *		Change in	
Governmental Unit	1970	1971	1972	1973	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County	\$ 808,000 (18.6%)	\$ 926,000 (20.0%)	\$ 985,000 (20.1%)	\$ 1,020,000 (17.8%)	+14.6%	+6:4%	+3.6%	+1.4%	+0.1%	-2.3%
Total for Region Three	5,162,000 (10.8%)	5,608,000 (11.5%)	6,037,000 (11.6%)	6,516,000 (11.7%)	+8.6%	+7.3%	+7.9%	+0.7%	+0.1%	+0.1%
Mean County Value	573,556	623,111	670,778	724,000	* *	*	*			•
Median County Value	269,000	321,000	. 264,000	385,000	,		•			
Total for Iows	119,346,000 (12.1%)	128,353,000 (12.7%)	140,263,000 (12.4%)	157,476,000 (12.3%)	+7.6%	+9.3%	+12.3%	+0.6%	0.3%	-0.1%
Mean County Value	1,205,515	1,296,495	1,416,798	1,590,667	•	•		*		

Figures in parenthesis represent taxable payroll of service sector units as a percent of total taxable payroll of all commercial, industrial, and agricultural units. .

b Change in proportion represents the change in taxable payrolls of service sector units adjusted for change in the total taxable payrolls of all commercial, industrial, and agricultural units.

Area: Economic Resources

Commercial/Industrial Sector

Tirle: Taxeble payroll of financial sector units (as of March 15)

00 00 00 00 - 1 000 - 100 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	**************************************	and the second second	,	Anal	ysis of S	ocial Cha	nge		
	•	Ye	ar	•	Percent Change	•	P	Change in	Ъ
Governmental Unit	1970	1971	1972	1973	1970-71 1971-72 1	972-73	1970-71	1971-72	1972-78
Carroll County	\$ 308,000 a (7.1%)	\$ 303,000 (6.5%)	\$ 340,000 . (7.0%)	\$ 391,000 (6.8%)	-1.6% +12.2% +1	15.0%	-0.6%	+0.5%	-0.2%
Total for Region Three	2,563,000 \(\sqrt{(5.4%)}\)	2,698,000 (5.5%)	2,945,000 (5.7%)	3,271,000 (5.9%)	· +5.3% +9.2% +7	11.1%	+0.1%	+0.2%	+0、2%
Mean_County Value	284,778	299,778	327,222	363,444	•				.
Median County Value	191,000	203,000	232,000	269,000	•				
Total for lowa	65,723,000 (6.7%)	69,824,000 (6.9%)	77,287,000 (6.9%)	85,981,000 (6.7%)	+6.2% /+10.7% +	+11.3%	+0.2%	0.0%	-0.2%
Mean County _ Value	663,869	705,293	780,677	868,495	•		·	,	

Figures in parenthesis represent taxable payroll of financial sector units as a percent of total taxable payrolls of all commercial, industrial, and agricultural units.

Change in proportion represents the change in taxable payrolls of financial sector units adjusted for change in the total taxable payrolls of all commercial, industrial, and agricultural units.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, County Business Patterns.

103

Area: Economic Resources

Commercial/Industrial Sector

Title: Taxable payroll of contract construction units (as of March 15)

to any the state of the state o	# T			,			. An	alysis of	of Social Change		
•		Ye	ar	, ,			Percent Change	•		Change in	
Governmental Unit	1970	1971	1972	1973		1970-71	_	1972-73		1971-72	*
Carroll County	\$ 303,000 (7.0%)	\$ 311,000 (6,.7%)	\$ 334,000 (6.8%),	\$ 437,000 (7.6%)		+2.6%	+7.4%	±30.8%	-0.32	+0.1%	+0.8%
Total for Region Three	2,817,000 (5.9%)	2,710,000 (5.6%)	2,594,000 (5.0%)	3,229,000 (5.8%)		-3.8%	-4.3%	+24.5%	-0.)z	-0.6%	+0.8%
	*				**	. .			_/	ě	
Mean County ' Value	313,000 .	301,111	288,222	358,778	•						
Median County Valo	92,000	211,000	220,000	232,000				•		*	
Total for lowa	59,642,000 (6.1%)	58,230,000 (5.82)	68,342,000 (6.1%)	76,732,000 (6.0%)		-2.4%	+19.47	+12.3%	-0.3%	+0.3%	-0.1%
Mean County Value	602,444	588,182	690,323	775,071	*	x,	*		,		

Figures in parenthesis represent taxable payrolls of contract construction units as a percent of total taxable payrolls of all commercial, industrial, and agricultural units.

bechange in proportion represents the change in the taxable payrolls of contract construction units adjusted for change in the total taxable payroll for all commercial, industrial, and agricultural units.

Area: conomic Resources

Commercial/Industrial Sector

Title: Taxable payroll of manufacturing units (as of March 15)

The strength of the second sec	A CONTRACTOR TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY O					An	alysis of	Social Cha	nge	
,		Yea	r		•	Percent Change	•		Change in	
Covernmental Unit	1970 .	1971	1972	1973	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County	\$ 573,000 (13.2%)	\$ 507,000 (10°.9%)	\$ 503,000 (10.3%)	\$ 791,000 (13.8%)	- 11.5%	-0.8%	+57.3%	-2.3%	-0.6%	+3.5%
Total for Region Three	17,695,000 (37.1%)	16,330,000 (33.5%)	17,820,000 (34.3%).	18,821,000 (33.9%)·	-7.7%	+9.1%	+5.6%	-3.6%	+0.8%	-0.4%
Mean County Value Median County	1,966,111	1,814,444	1,980,000	2,091,222		•				
Value	799,000	662,000	810,000	1,108,000						
Total for Iowa	413,996,000,	408,546,000 (40.5%)	468,037,000 (41.5%)	545,064/000	-1.3%	+14.6%	+16.5%	-1.5%	+1.0%	+1.0%
Mean County Value	4,181,778	4,126,727	4,727,646	5,505,697	*					

Figures in parenthesis represent the taxable payroll of manufacturing units as a percent of the total taxable payroll for all commercial, industrial, and agricultural units.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the taxable payroll of manufacturing units adjusted for the change in the total taxable payroll for all commercial, industrial, and agricultural units.

⁻ Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, County Business Patterns.

CHAPTER 5:

EMPLOYMENT

Financial Resources	1		<pre>Status of Social Well-Being</pre>	
A gay and manufactured and the continues of manufactured and an employed decomposition of the continues decomposition and decomposition an	Page	5	•	Pag
Pata not located for this factor.	106	1.	Annual average resident civilian labor force	109
	*.		Annual average resident civilian labor force,	
	*		employed	110
· ·		3.	Number of wholesale grade employees	111
		4.	Number of retail trade employees	1112
		5.	Number of financial sector employees	113
	t	6.	Number of service sector employees	114
Structure of Services	*	. 7.	Number of contract construction employees	115
* ** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *		8.	Number of agricultural employees	116
	· Page	. 9.	Number of manufacturing employees	117
•	•	.10.	Number of transportation and public utilities	•
Data not located for this factor.	107		employees	118
•		• 11.	Number of local government employees	149 120
Š,		12.	Number of workers working in county of residence	1/20
		13.	Total number of persons needing employment services	/ 121
•	1	14.	Number of persons below poverty level needing	\mathcal{A}
		`	employment services	122
•		15.	Welfare recipients needing employment services	123
Utilization of Services		16.	Veterans needing employment services	124
		· 17.	Minorities needing employment services	125
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Page	18.	Number of disadvantages persons whose labor is	•
A	_		underutilized "	126
Data not located for this factor.	. 108	19.	Number of reported work-related disabling injuries	127



Financial Resources

Data Not Located For This Factor

A Structure of Services

Data Not Located For This Fator

217

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

Utilization of Services

Data Not Located For This Factor

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Annual average resident civilian labor force

	erre er men ermen er er ermenmen en er	a man	-		,		A	nalysis of S	Social Chan	<u>3e</u>
wb	•	Jan	Year	,	•	•		_ Perd Char		
Governmental Enlt	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	•	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74
Carroll County	9,290	9,230	9,340	10,100	10,390	\$	-0.6%	+1.2%	+8.1%	+2.9%
towab.	1,200,200	1,218,400	1,258,200	1,289,900	1,306,800		+1.5%	+3.3%	+2.5%	+1.3%

Includes employed and unemployed individuals. Establishment employment (place of work) data is adjusted for commuting, multiple job holding, and unpaid absence patterns?

b Data for the state is rounded to the nearest hundred.

Source: Research and Statistics Department of the Iowa Employment Security Commission.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Annual average resident civilian labor force, employed

					•	A <u>r</u>	nalysis of S	Social Chan	ge
		`	Year			•	Pero Char		
Governmental Unit	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74
Carroll County	8,910	8,850	8,910	9,760	9,990	-0.7%	+0.7%	+9.5%	+2.4%
iowa ^b	1,155,700	1,167,300	1,213,400	1,253,000	1,267,600	+1.0%	+3.9%	+3.3%	+1.2%

A Includes nonagricultural wage and salary; self-employed, unpaid family, and domestic workers; and agriculture.

Source: Research and Statistics Department of the Iowa Employment Security Commission.

Bounded to the nearest hundred.

Sthtus of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of wholesale trade employees (as of March 15)

and the second of the second o	•• , • • •	•	Approximate space of the state	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR				<u>An</u>	alysis of	Social Chan	<u>3e</u>	`
				Year				Percent Change	•	·	Change in Proportion	
Governmental *Unit		1970	1971	1972	1973	ı	1970-71	·1971-72	1972-73	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County	• • • • • •	278 (7.1%) ^a	286 (7.2%)	304 (7.7%)	354 (8.0%)		+2.9%	+6.3%	+16.5%	+0.1%	+0.5%	+0.3%
Total for Region Three		2,929 (8.0%)	2,966 (8.3%)	(7.7%)	2,571 (6:9%)	•	+1.3%	-5.5%	-8.3%	+0.3%	-0.6%	-0.8%
Mean County Value		. 325	330	- 311	286		,	•	b		`	
Median County Value	***	212	213	244	` " 207		ì		, 3		4	,
Total for Iowa		49,656 (7,43)	49,453 (7.5%)	49,447	51,097 (7.0%)		-0.4%	-0.02%	+3.3%	+0.1%	-0.3%	-0.2%
Mean County Value		502	500	499	516	•	8					

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of wholesale trade employees as a percent of the total number of employees (excluding government employees, self-employed persons, farm workers, and domestic service workers).

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of wholesale trade employees adjusted for change in the total number of employees.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, County Business Patterns.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of retail trade employees (as of March 15)

entry on our or or or or	_	and the second s		4	•	An	alysis of S	ocial Change	<u> </u>	•
	•	Yea	ar	,		Percent Change		. 1	Change in Proportion	
Governmental Unit	1970	1971	1972	1973	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County	1,355 [%] (34.5%) ^a	1,404 (35.3%)	1,309 (33,3%)	1,364 (30.8%)	+3.6%	-6.8%	+4.2%	+0.8%	-2.0%	-2.5%
Total for Region Three	10,086 (27.6%)	10,188 (28.5%)	10,200 (28.2%)	10,946 (29.2%)	+1.0%	+0.1%	+7.3%	+0.9 %	-0.3%	+1.0%
Mean County Value Median County	1,121	1,132	1,133	1,216						N
· Value	782	. 803	841.	844	•	•	•			
Total for Iowa	161,832 (24.0%)	160,859 (24.4%)	164,608 (24.1%)	176,032 (24.1%)	-0.6%	+2.3%	+6.9%	+0.4%	-0.3%	0.0%
Mean County Value	1,635	1,625	1,663	. 1,778		*	•	,	M _A · · ·	

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of retail trade employees as a percent of the total number of employees (excluding government employees, self-employed persons, farm workers, and domestic service workers).

b Change in proportion represents the change in the number of retail trade employees adjusted for change in the total number of employees.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, County Business Patterns.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of financial sector employees (as of March 15)

		*			•	Ana	alysis of	Social Change	<u> </u>		
	,	.	Year		,	Percent Change			ange inb portion	ø	•
Governmenta; Unit	1970	1971	1972	1973	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	
Carroll County	204 (5.2%) a	194 (4.9%)	206 (5.2%)	205 (4.6%)	-4.9%	+6.2%	-0.5%	-0.3%	+0.3%	-0.6%	
Total for Region Three	(4.9%)	1,783 (5.0%)	1,876 (5.2%)	1,918 (5.1%)	+0.3%	+5.2%	+2.2%	+0.1%	+0.2%	-0.1%	
Yean County Value	197	198	208	213					A.		1
Median County Value	139	137	152	163	•	•	•	,			
Total for Icwa	43,194 (6.4%)	43,108 (6.5%)	44\924 (6.6%)	46,640 (6.4%)	-0.2%	+4.2%	+3.8%	+0.1%	+0.1%	-0.2%	*
Yean County Value	436	435	454	471	Ą			•			

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of financial sector employees as a percent of the total number of employees (excluding government employees, self-employed persons, farm workers, and domestic service workers).

b Change in proportion represents the change in the number of financial sector employees adjusted for change in the total number of employees.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, County Business Patterns.

Statul of Social Well-Reing

Title: Number of service sector employees (as of March 15)

_		· .		•	•	· An	alysis of So	f Social Change		
Government al		`	ear	`		Percent Change	•		ange inb	*
Unit	1970	1971	1972	1973	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County	1,012 (25.7%)	1,067 (26.9%)	1,071 (27.2%)	1,200 (27.1%)	+5.4%	+0.4%	+12.1%	+1.2%	+0.3%	-0.1%
Total for Region Three	6,546 (17.9%)	6,694 (18.7%)	7,026 (19.4%)	7,100 (18.9%)	+2.3%	+5:0%	+1.1%	+0.8%	+0.7%	-0.5%
Yean County Value	727	, 744	781	789	.		•	,		
Median County Value	417	430	366	481		•		`		
Total for lowa	121,861 (18.1%)	125,210 (19.0%)	130,574 (19.1*)	138,084 (18.9%)	+2.7%	+4.3%	` +5.8%	+0.9%	+0.1%	-0.27
Mean County Value	1,231	1,265	1,319	1,395					\$, •

Fixures in parenthesis represent the number of service sector employees as a percent of the total number of employees (excluding government employees, self-employed persons, farm workers, and domestic service workers).

hange in proportion represents the chance in the number of service sector employees adjusted for change in the total number of employees.

Source: U.S. Deartment of Commerce, County Business Patterns.

& Status of Focial Well-Being

Title: Number of contract construction employees (as of March 15)

				•		مر			<u>An</u>	alysis of So	ocial Chang	2	
* Gownmental				Year					Percent Change	•		ange in bortion	
unit		1970	1971	1972	1973		,	1970-71	. 1971-72	1972-73	1970-71	1971-72 1972-7	3
Carrell County	•	247 (6.3%) a	256 (6.5%)	* 237 (5.9%)	267 (6.0%)	*.	•	+3.7%	-9.4%	+15.1%	+0.2%	-0.6% +0.1%	,
Total for Region Three		1,887 (5.2%)	1,761 (4.9%)	1,672 (4.6%)	1,813 (4.8%)		* .	-6.7%	-5.1%	+8.4%	-0.3%	-0.3% +0.2%	n _{er} a W
Mean County Value Median County	,	210	196	186	201	•	•	.`	•	,	æ.	ŕ	
Value		136	. 123	131	. 141			•			•	٠.,	
Total for Towa	•	33.452 (5.6%)	30,823	32,934 (4.8%)	34,293 (4.7%)			-7.9%	+6.9%	+4.1%	-0.3%	+0.1%0.1%	` : `
Mean County Value	•	138	311	, 33 3	346	•			* * .			•	v.

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of contract construction workers as a percent of the total number of employees (excluding povernment employees, self-employed persons, farm workers, and domestic service workers).

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of contract construction workers adjusted for change in the total number of employees.

Area: Fryloyment

Scatus of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of agricultural employees (employed in agricultural service activities as of March 15)

					Analysis of Social Change						
	, -	Yea	r			Percent Change	•		ange inboortion	,	
Governmental Unit	1970	1971	1972	1973	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	1970-71		1972-73	
Carroll County	53 (1.4%) ⁸	48 (1.2%)	42 (1.1%)	45 (1.0%)	-9.4%	-12.5%	+7.2%	-0.2%	-0.1%	. +0.1%	
Total for Region Three	, NA ^C	NA .	NA.	NA .						,	
Mean County Value	NA .	NA	NA.	, AK	`			•			
Median County Value	NA	AM	NA.	NA							
fotal for lowa	3,499 (4.5%)	3,184 (0.5%) 1.	3,086 (0.5%)	2,944 (0.4%)	-9.0%	-3.1%	-4.6%	\ o.oz	0.0%	-0.12	
Mean County Value	35	32	31	30	,		•		٠		

A Figures in parenthesis represent the number of agricultural employees as a percent of the total number of employees (excluding government employees, self-employed persons, farm workers, and domestic service workers).

b Change in proportion represents the change in the number of agricultural employees adjusted for change in the total number of employees.

NA: Data not available.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Sumber of manufacturing employees (as of March 15)

						.An	alysis of S	ocial Change	<u>2</u>	
Covernment al		Year				Percent Change			ange inb	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Unit .	1970	1971	1972	1973	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County	380 (9.7%) ^a	313 (7.9%)	323 (8.2%)	476 (10.8%)	-17.6%	+3.2%	+47.4%	-1.8%	-0.3%	+2.6%
/Total for Region Three	9,982 (27.3%)	8,762 (24.5%)	8,878 (24.5%)	9,292 (24.8%)	-12.2%	+1.3%	+4.7%	-2.8%	+0.0%	+0.3%
Mean County Value Median County	1,109	974	986	1,032	•	,	•			
Value	544	433	512	611		•		٠		
Total (or lowa	214,029 (31.7%)	199,511	208,609 (30,5%)	227,710 (31.2%)	-6.8%	+4.6%	+9.2%	-1.5%	+0.3%	+0.7%
Mean County Value	2,162	2,015	2,107	2,300					, ,	

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of manufacturing employees as a percent of the total number of employees (excluding government employees, self-employed persons, farm workers, and domestic service workers).

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of manufacturing employees adjusted for change in the total number of employees.

Status of Social Well-Peing

Title: Number of transportation and public utilities employees (as of March 15)

						An	alysis of S	ocial Change	2	
Governmental		Year		,		Percent Change		Change in Proportion		
Unit	1970	1971	1972	1973	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County	378 (9.6%) ^a	403 (10.1%)	443 (11.3%)	461 (10.4%)	+6.6%	+9.9%	+4.1%	+0.5%	+1.2%	-0.9%
Total for Region Timee	2,637 (7.2%)	2,909 (8.1%)	2,976 (8.2%)	3,006 (8.0%)	+10.3%	+2.3%	+1.0%	+0.9%	+0.1%	~-0.2%
Mean (ounty Value	293	323	331	334						
Median County Value	165	180	181	191	•			•	` .	
Total for two	19,305 (5,3%)	(6.0%) 39,341 '	40,913 (6.0%)	42,516 (5.8%)	+0.1%	+4.0%	+3.9%	+0.2%	0.0%	-0.2%
Mean County Nature	٠ و إ	397	413	429			•		·	à

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of transportation and public utilities employees as a percent of the total number of employees (excluding government employees, self-employed persons, farm workers, and domestic service workers).

237

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of transportation and public utilities employees adjusted for change in the total number of employees.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, County Business Patterns.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of local government employees (local governments include county, municipal, and township governments, and school and special

districts)

			Year		*	•	Analysis of Per	Social <u>Chang</u> cent	<u>;e</u>
Governmental Unit	,	1962	1967	1972			Cha 1962-67	nge 1967-72	
Carroll County		565	582	, 617		**	+3.0%	+6.0%	ŧ
Total for Region Three		6,390	7,110	8,213		<u>,</u>	+11.3%	+15.5%	
n County .		710.0	790.0	912.6	•	*			
Modian County Value		593.0	717.0	744.0			•	-	•
Total for Iowa	•	82,562	99,177	116,125			+20.1%	+17.1%	
Mean county Value		834.0	1,001.8	1,173	•		•	; .	,,

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Area: Implement

. States of Social Well-Enine

Title: Number of workers working in county of residence

.			,	_		Analys	Is of Social	l Change
-		Yea	r	,		Percent Change		Change in Proportion
Covernmental Unit	•	1960	1970	and the second s		1960-70	en and an and an and a second second second second	1960-70
Carroll County		7,275 (91.6%)	7) 232 (88.0%)	ς.	,	-0.6%		-3.6%
Total for Region Three		61:052 (90.2%)	57,612 (84.0%)			-5.6%	٠.	-6.27
Mean County Value	,	6,784	6,401	•		.		
Median Johnson Value		6,039	5,082			,		
Teta' for low.	, et	890,911 (99 30)	900,751 (83.2%)			+1.1%		-6.0%
Mean &comky Value		8,909 °	9.098		*	,	,	

a Figures in parenthesis represent the number of workers working in county of residence as a percent of the total number of workers in the county.

Source: U.S. Debartment of Commerce, General Social and Economic Characteristics.

thanks in proportion represents the change in the number of workers working in country of residence adjusted for change in the total number of workers in the country.

Scalus of Social Well-Reins

Title: Total number of persons needing employment services (estimated) a

	•			`	•		Analysis of	Social Change
			Year				Pero Chai	cent nge
Governmental Unit		1973	1974	1975			1973-74	1974-75
Carroll Count;		2,581	2,124	2,382		٠	-17.7%	+12.1%
aTotal for Region Three		20,584	18,469	r NA _p	• ;	•	-10.32	NA
Mean County Value		2,287.1	2,052.1	NA	•			•
Median (ounty Value		1,811.0	1,607.0	NA .			*	,
Total for lows		320,163	274,455	NA	t		-14.3\$	NA
Mean County Value	& .	3,234.0	2,772.3	ŅA				

^a Estimated by the manpower administration's "Universe of Need" method.

Source: Towa Employment Security Commission, Annual Manpower Planning Report.

b NA: Data not available.

Arese Employment

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of persons below poverty level needing employment services (estimated)

a constant a comment			and the second s	and the first of t	•	,	Analysis of	Social Change	•
			*	Year	•	•	Per Char	cent ngé	`
Governmental Unit	.	• ",	-1973	1974	197,5		1973-74	1974-75	
Carroll County	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	\$	1,075	.391	* 491 *.		-63.6%	+25.6%	
Total for . Region Three		•	8,381	3,278	₩ Ñ¥p	,	-60.9%	" NA į	•
Mean County Value	•	•	931.2	364.2	NA		· a		
'Median County Value	•	•	760.0	296.0	AK	•			
Total for Lowa	•	•	121,902	49,075	AA	•	-59.7%	NA •	
Mean County Value	* ***		1,231.3	495.7	, XA '			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

a Estimated by the manpower administration's "Universe of Need" method.

b NA: Data not available.

Source: Iowa Employment Security Commission, Annual Manpower Planning Report.

Area: | Impleyment

Status of Social Well-Being

Hitle: "Welfare recipients needing employment services (estimated) a

		1		*	Analysis of Social Change
Governmentai Unit	•	Yea 1974	r 1975	, ,	Percent Change 1974-75
Carroll County		51	94		+84.3%
Total for Region Three		713	1,331		+86.7%
Mean Jounty Value Median County	•	79.2	147.9	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
Value		51.0	94.0	•	
stal for Your		12,300	23,887	3	+94.2%
Mean County Value		124.2*	241.3		

Estimated by the manpower administration's "Universe of Need" method.

Source: Iowa Employent Security Commission, Annual Manpower Planning Report.

Scaces of Social Well-Being

Title: Veterans needing employment services (estimated)

	`` *	115-12 20-2 ""Sa		*		Analysi	is of Social Change	
			Ye	ear	v		Percent Change	
Governmental Unit			1976	1975		1	1974-75	
Carroll County	`		257	147			-42.8%	,
Total for Region Three			2,400	2,269		,	-5.5%	
* Mean County Value		* /	226.7	252.1		*.		
Median county Value	•		223.0	128.0		•	under .	
Total for lewa		€`	37,000	38,193	•		+3.2%	`
Mean County Value			373.7	385.8	•		3 - 3	<u>.</u>

a Estimated by the manpower administration's "Universe of Need" method.

Source: Iowa Employment Security Commission, Annual Manpower Planning Report.

A. 150

Status of Sectif Well-Being

Title: Minorities needing employment services (estimated)

Chow finnent a!		. ,	1974	Year 1975		4	Analysis of Social Change Percent Change 1974-75	
Carroll County		Λ.	. 5	8	*		+60.0%	
Total for Region Three	¥		222	185	•	•	-16.7%	
Mean County Value	`	•	24.7	20.6			•	,
Median County Value		•	5.0	7.0	*			
Toral for lowa	<u>-</u>		9,408	3,390			"-64.0 %	٠.
Herr County Value	`.	<i>•</i>	95.0	34.2			Ł.	•

a Estimated by the manpower administration's "Universe of Need" method.

Source: lowa Employment Security Commission, Annual Manpower Planning Report.

251

126

Area: Employment

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of disadvantaged persons whose labor is underutilized (estimated) a

	•	the same with		A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR		Analysis of Social Change
	,		Year			Percent Change.
Governmental Unit	•		1974	1975	m. at which a propagation for \$ 1 minutes required control names from the control for the section of	1974-75
Carroll County		•	231	332		+43.7%
Total for Region Three	*	₩	1,943	2,209		+13.7%
Mean County Value Median Courty	•		215.9/	245.4	•	
. Value		•	175.0	198.0 y 33,338		+16.1%
Fotal for Ional Mean Christy Value			/289.9	336.7		•

Traderutilized as defined by the Iowa Employment Security Commission are persons who are: (1) employed part-time for economic reasons, (2) employed full-time but with family income at or below poverty, and (3) not in the labor force but should be.

Source: Iowa Employment Security Commission, Annual Manpower Planning Report.

Status of Social Well-Peing

Title: Number of reported work-related disables, injuries

V						Analysi	s of Socia	l Change
		Ye	ąr	•		F	Percent	
Covernmental Unit	1971	1972	1973	1974		1971-72	Change 1972-73	1973-74
Carroll County	25	64	67	84		+156.0%	+4.7%	+25.4%
Total for Region Three	423	659 .	826	920	3	+55.8%	+25.3%	+11.4%
Mean County Value	47.0	73.2	91.8	102.2	· ·			
Median County Value	22.0	41.0	49.0	67.0	•	`		
Total for Iowa	8,967	12,683	14,145	16,134	•	+41.4%	+11.5%	+14.12
Mean Count; Value	90.6	128.1	142.9	163.0	Λ.		• .	

Source: Iowa Bureau of Labor, Biennial Report.

128

CHAPTER 6: INCOME

INC

Financial Resources		Utilization of Services	
Market Comment of the	Page	Pa	ge
1. Expenditures of county governments on public welfare	129	1. Total amount of USDA food stamp coupons issued (in dollars)	e
2. Total federal, state, and county funds for county	130	2. Number of aid to dependent children cases 13	
benefit payments and administrative expenses 1. Federal and state funds for county benefit payments	130	3. Adult old-age assistance cases 14	
and administrative expenses	131	4. Adult aid to the blind cases 14.	
4. County funds seed, for county benefit payments and	* * * *	5. Adult aid to disabled cases 14	
administrative expenses.	132		
5. Fotal county administrative expenses for food stamps			
and county relief programs	133		
6. Total social security program payments to or on			
behalf of recipients	134		
7. Payments to or on behalf of recipients in social	•	· ·	•
 security programs: Medical Assistance 			
•	135		
8. Payments to or on behalf of recipients in social			
security programs: Aid to Dependent Children	136		
Structure of Services	•	Status of Social Well-Being	
		20-	
•	Page	, Pa	ge
1. Number of full-time equivalent public welfare		1. Total personal income (in millions of dollars) 14	3
personnel employed by local governments	137	2. Per capita personal income 14	
		3. Median family income 14	
		4. Number of least tes with income under \$4,000	6 '
		5. Number of facts es with income between \$4,000 and	<u>:</u>
•			7 .
•		6. Number of factors with income over \$10,000	8
	•	7. Number of families with income less than poverty	۸
•		level 8. Number of families with income less than 75% of	7
•	×.	poverty level 15	0
		9. Number of families with income less than 125% of	•
	•	poverty level 15	1
		portural, route	_

257

Financial Resources

.Title: Expenditures of county governments on public welfare

and the second s	and the second determinant of the end of the second	and the contract of the contra			Ana	lysis of Socia	1 Change
Governmental Unit	1961-62	Year 1966-67	1971-72	بۇ	Percent Change 1962-72		Change in Proportion 1962-72
Carroll County	\$ 000 (8.3%)	\$ 149,000 (7.8%)	\$ 229,000 (9.3%)		+104.5%	a	+1.0%
Total for Region Three	1,482,000 (10.8%)	1,182,000 (7.8%)	2,602,000 (9.5%)		+75.6%	*	÷1.3% .
Mean County Value Median County	,165,000	NA C	289,000	e e	•	•	
Value	117,000	NA	170,000	*		•	
Total for Jowa	* ************************************	24,047,000	38,101,000 (11.9%)	•	+87.5%	•	-0.7%
Mean County Value	205,000	NA	385,000	·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

Figures in parenthesis represent expenditures of county governments on public welfare as a percent of total general expenditures of the county.

Change in proportion represents the change of expenditures of county governments on public welfare adjusted for change in the total general expenditures of the county.

NA! Data not available.

Source: [W.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Financial Resources

Title: Total federal, state, and county funds for county benefit payments and administrative expenses a, b

4.	•					An	alysis of S	ocial Chang	<u>ze</u>
			Year	•			Pero Chan	•	
Governmental Unit	1971,	1972,	1973	1974	1975	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74	1974-75
Carroll County	\$ 634,011	\$ 7 24,228	\$ 668,452	\$ 497,754	\$ 591,657	men - men of of the John min or	-7.7%	25.5%	+18.9%
Total for Region Three	7,837,983	8,443,113	7,457,089	6,118,484	7,580,399	+7.7%	-11.7%	-18.0%	+23.9%
Mean County Value	870,887	938,124	828,565	679,832	842,267	.	•	*	
. Median County Value	634,011	724,228	653,863	464,787 *	551,814	40			
Total for lowa	122,473,015	133,426,287	125,189,996	142,991,056	182,443,440	+8.9%	-6.2%	+14.2%	+27.6%
Megan County Walue	1,237,101	1,347,740	1,264,545	1,444,354	. 1,842,863	•			•

Dollar figures represent funds for the respective fiscal year ending June 30.

b State total includes dollars allocated by regional offices of the State Department of Social Services.

Financial Resources

Title: Federal and state funds for county benefit payments and administrative expenses

a acque a a con a a con	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		a ale mente de centre de la constante de la co	ara e um maria de capación de la cap	and the state of t	Analysis of Social Change							
	u.			Year .			Percent Change				Change in Proportion		
Governmental . Unit	. 1971	1972	1973	1974 -	.1975	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74	1974-75	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74	1974-75
Carroll County	\$ 591,606 (93.3%)	\$ 673,671 (93.0%)	\$ 612,742 (91.7%)	\$ 468,987 (94.2%)	\$ 587,451 (99.3%)	+13.9%	-9.0%	-23.5%	+25.3%	-0.3%	-1.3%	+2.5%	+5.1%
Total for Region Three	7,002,470 (89.3%)	7,560,045 (89.5%)	6,583,060 (88.3%)	5,658,485 (92.5%)	7,542,668 (99.5%)	+8.0%	-12.9%	-14.0%	+33.3%	+0.2%	-1.2%	+4.2%	+7.0%
Mean County Valué	778,052	840,005	731,451	628,721	838,074					•			
Median County Value	591,606	664,559	576,298	426,769	587,451	٠		£	·		4		
focal for Towa	197,696,391	117,571,885 (88.1%)	109,248,429 (87.3%)	134,421,309 (94.0%)	181,820,925 (99.7%)	+9.2%	-7.1%	+23.0%	+35.3%	+0.2%	-0.8%	+6.7%	+5.7% _.
Mean County Value	1,087,842	1,187,595	1,103,519	1,357,791	1,836;575		, w			•			

a Figures in parenthesis represent federal and state funds for county benefit payments and administrative expenses as a percent of the total funds for county benefit payments and administrative expenses.



b Change in proportion represents the change in federal and state funds for benefit payments and administrative expenses adjusted for change in the total county funds for benefit payments and administrative expenses.

Tollar figures represent funds for the respective fiscal year ending tune 30.

d State total includes dollars allocated to the district offices of the State Department of Social Services.

Source: Iowa Department of Social Services, Annual Reports.

Financial Resources

Title: County funds used for county benefit payments and administrative expenses

				¥s.				Analy.	sis of S	ocial Ch	ange	:	*.
•		Year						Percent Change					
Governmental Unit	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74	1974-75	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74	1974-75
Carroll County	\$ 42,806 (6.8%)	\$ 50,557 (7.0%),	\$ 55,710 (8.3%)	\$ 28,767 (5.8%)	\$ 4,206 (0.7%)	+19.2%	+10.2%	-48.4%	-85.4%	+0.2%	+1.3%	-2.5%	-5.1%
Total for Region Three	835,516 (10.7%)	883,068 (10.5%)	873,931 (11.7%)	459,997 (7.5%)	37,731 (0.5%)	+5.7%	-1.0%	-47.4%	-91, 8%	-0.2%	+1.2%	-4.2X	-7.0%
Mean County Value	92,835	98,119	97,103	51,111	4,192	Š							`
Median County Valae	55,913	55,636	55,710	30,703	2,985								
Total for Iowa	14,776,624	15,854,402	15,941,566 (12.7%)	8,569,747 (6.0%)	622,514 (0.3%)	+7.3%	+0.6%	-46.2%	-92.7%	-0.2%	+0.8%	-6.7%	-5.7%
Megan County Value	149,259	160,145	161,026	86,563	6,288	ø							

Figures in parenthesis represent county funds for benefit payments and administrative expenses as a percent of total federal, state, and county funds for county benefit payments and administrative expenses.

Change in proportion represents the change in county funds for benefit payments and administrative expenses adjusted for change in the total funds for county benefit payments and administrative expenses.

Collar figures represent payments for the respective fiscal year ending June 30.

 $^{^3}$ State total includes dollars allocated by regional offices of the State Department of Social Services.

Financial Resources

Title: Total county administrative expenses for food stamps and county relief programs

a to the control of the second section of the second		a are in the measurement of measurements of the second of		1				Anal	ysts of S	ocial Ch	ange		
			Year			cent	, san haddalanga dalah sah, dan Malika	gan representation to the gallery desirable and a representation		ge in	**************************************		
Governmental Unit	1971	1972	1973	1974	, 1975	1971-72		inge 1973-7	4 1974-75	1971-72	Propos 1972-73		1974-75
Carroll County	\$ 1,420 (4.2%)	\$ 557 (1.6%)	\$ 1,366 (3.2%)	\$ 1,703 (2.9%)	\$ 4,850 (4.3%)	-60.8%	+145.2%	+24.7%	+184.8%	-2.6%	+1.6%	-0.3%	+1.4%
Total for Region Three	39,765 (7.7%)	44,650 (7.3%)	44,863 (6.5%)	49,707 (5-8%)	56,512 (5.0%)	+12.3%	+0.5%	+10.8%	¥13.7%	-0.4%	-0.8%	-0.7%	-0.8%
Mean County Value	4.418	1 ,961	4,985	5,523	6,279				•	•			
Median County Value	4,536	4,539	3,436	2,487	3,415	•			•				**
Total for lowa	1,084,638 (12.50)	1,184,172	897,756 (6.8%)	914,279 (5.6%)	956,215 (4.6%)	+9.2%	-24.2%	+1.8%	+4.6%	-1.8%	-3.9%	-1.2%	-1.02
Mean County Value	10,956	11,961	9,068	9,235	9,659		· e		•	•	*		

Figures in parenthesis represent county administrative expenses for food stamps and county relief programs as a percent of total county administrative expenses for assistance programs.

Change in proportion represents the change in county administrative expenses for food stamps and county relief programs adjusted for change in the total county administrative expenses for selected assistance programs (i.e., expenses for homemakers, categorical assistance, and county relief programs).

Pollar figures represent payments for the respective fiscal year ending June 30.

Financial Resources

Title: Total social security program payments to or on behalf of recipients

	*					<u>Ar</u>	alysis of	ocial Chang	e
d	•	•	Year	,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Per c	ent nge	*
Governmental Unit	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74	1974-75
Carroll County	\$ 599,958	\$ 688,514	\$ 620,827	\$ 427,761	\$ 478,734	+14.8%	-9.8%	-31.1%	+11.9%
Total for Region Three	7,182,172	7,704,631	6,543,881	5,032,365	6,457,253	+7.3%	-15.1%	-23.1%	+28.3%
Mean County Value	798,019	356,070	727,098	559,152	717,473		` *		
Median County Value	599,958	679,997	576,519	366,019	478,734				
Total for lowa	110,537,697	119,596,074	107,130,246	91,115,027	119,022,350	+8.2%	-10.4%	-14.9%	+30.6%
Mean County Value	1,116,542	1,208,041	1,087,124	920,354	1,202,246	•		· .	

⁸ Dollar figures represent payments for the respective fiscal year ending June 30.

Financial Resources

Title: Payments to or on behalf of recipients in social seturity programs: Medical Assistance

		•	•			. Analy	sis of Se	ocial Ch	ange				
*		Year					er	cent		Change in Proportion			
Governmental Unit	1971	1972	1973	197,4	1975	1971-72	€hai 1972-73	•	1974-75	1971-72	_		1974-75
Carroll County	\$ 101,825 (17.0%)	\$ 116,792 (17.0%)	\$ 152,039 ₄ (24.5%)	\$ ·162,433 (38.0%)	\$ 219,333 (45.8%)	+14.7%	+30.2%	*6 .8%	+35.0%	00%	+7.5%	+13.5%	+7.8%
Total for Region Thrèe	1,456,691 (20.3%)	1,529,977 (19.9%)	1,532,346 (23:4%)	1,569,290 (31.2%)	2,242,989 (34,7%)	+5.0%	+0.2%		+42.9%	-0.4%	+3.5%	+7.8%	+3.5%
Mean County Value Median County	161,855	169,997 124,495	170,261	174,366 127,679	249,221 168,838		·	•	٠.		,	<i>₹</i>	
Value Total for Iowa	22,899,590	24,771,175 (20.7%)	25,577,421 (23.9%)	27,567,490 (30.3%)	39,492,303	+8.2%;	+3.3%	+7.8%	+43.3%	0.02	+3.2%	+6.4%	+2.9%
Mean County Value	231,309.	250,214	258,358	78,459	398,912	r	•			*		;	•

Figures, in parenthesis represent payments for Medical Assistance as a percent of total social security payments.

Change in proportion represents the change in payments for Medical Assistance adjusted for change in the total social security program payments.

Pollar figures represent payments for the respective fiscal year ending June 30.

Source: Iowa Department of Social Services, Annual Reports.

Financial Resources

Title: Payments to or on behalf of recipients in social security programs: Aid to Dependent Children

	a manuscula aliferia indica din e sun manuscul più minori	f .		•	<u>,</u>		* 	Analy	sis of S	ocial Ch	ange	-	
Governmental	•	•	Year		•	*	.Perc			Ì	Chan Propo	ge in brition	ţ
Unit	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74	1974-75	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74	1974-75
Carroll County	\$ 155,900 \((26.0\)\)	\$ 194,434 (28.2%)	\$ 207,708 (33.5%)	\$ 194,961 (45.6%)	\$ 259,401 (54.2%)	+24.7%	+6.8%	-6.1%	≯53.Ì₹	+2.2%	+5.3%	+12.1%	+8.6%
Total for Region Three	2,743,815 (38.2%)	3,029,797 · (39.3%)	2,946,666 (45.0%)	3,007,505 (59.8%)	4,214,264 (65.3%)	+10:4%	-2.7%	±2.12	+40.1%	+1.12	+5.7%	+14.8%	+5.5%
Mean County Value Median County	304,868	336,644	327,407	334,167	468,252		 •		•		`	•	•
Value Total for Iowa	46,436,257 (42.0%)	194,434 53,367,903 (44.6%)	207,708 53,520,656 (50.0%)	194,961 56,391,675 (61.9%)	274,008 79,530,047 (66.8%)	+14.9%	+0.37	+5.4%	+41.0%	+2.6%	+5.4%	+11.9%	+4.9%
* Mean County Value	469,053	539,070	540;613	569,613	803,334	•	*		•		·	•	

Figures in parenthesis represent payments for Aid to Dependent Children as a percent of the total social security program payments.

Change in proportion represents the change in payments for Aid to Dependent Children adjusted for change in the total social security program

Dollar figures represent payments for the respective fiscal year ending June 30.

Source: I Department of Social Services, Annual Reports.

Structure of Services

Title: Number of full-time equivalent public welfare personnel employed by local governments (local governments include county, municipal, and township governments, and school and special districts)

***	*,	The second secon			a Anal	ysis of Social Change	
Governmentai			Year	•	Percent Change ,	Change in Proportion	
Unit		. 1967		1972	1907-72	23072	
Carroll County		.(0.5%) ^a	# \$	(1.00)	÷ . +250.0%	+1.1%	,
Total for Region Three	ŕ	(1.7%)	•	133 (2.1%)	+35.7%	+0.4%	•,
Mean County Value		10.9		14.8			•
Medlah County: _Va∫ue	,	7.0		7.0	•		. 4
Total for low		1,261		1;415 (1.6%)	+12.2%	0.0%	·
. Mean County Value	•	12.7	•	14.3	•	•	

Figures in parenthesis represent full-time equivalent public welfare personnel employed by local government as a percent of the total number of full-time equivalent local government personnel.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Change in proportion represents the change in full-time equivalent public welfare personnel employed by local government adjusted for change in the total number of full-time equivalent local government personnel.

Utilization of Services

Title: Total amount of USDA food stamp coupons issued (in dollars)

				-	<u>An</u>	alysis of So	ocial Chang	<u>.e</u>
*		Year	•					
1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1971-72	1972-73.	1973-74	1974-75
\$ 180,195	\$ 205,493	\$ 238,590	\$ 249,098	\$ 301,096	+14.0%	+16.1%	. +4.4%	+20.9%
1,748,694	2,033,193	2,281,6265=	2,562,229	2,994,162	+16.3%	+12.2%	+12.3%	+16.9%
194,299	225,910	253,514	284,692	332,685	, ,	\$ \ \ \	e 6 %	
110,610	132,922	162,540	145,245	198,064	ř			,
30,512,227	33,202,591	35,617,249	40,231,304	48,793,706	+8.8% 🦠	+7.3%	+13.0%	+21.3%
308,204	333,343	359,770	. 406,377	492.866		manuscripture or see city come on on one constraint of the constra		
	\$ 180,195 1,748,694 194,299 110,610 30,512,227	\$ 180,195 \$ 205,493 1,748,694 2,033,193 194,299 225,910 110,610 132,922 30,512,227 33,202,591	1971 1972 1973 \$ 180,195 \$ 205,493 \$ 238,590 1,748,694 2,033,193 2,281,6265 194,299 225,910 253,514 110,610 132,922 162,540 30,512,227 33,202,591 35,617,249	1971 1972 1973 1974 \$ 180,195 \$ 205,493 \$ 238,590 \$ 249,098 1,748,694 2,033,193 2,281,626 2,562,229 194,299 225,910 253,514 284,692 110,610 132,922 162,540 145,245 36,512,227 33,202,591 35,617,249 40,231,304	1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 \$ 180,195 \$ 205,493 \$ 238,590 \$ 249,098 \$ 301,096 1,748,694 2,033,193 2,281,626 2,562,229 2,994,162 194,299 225,910 253,514 284,692 332,685 110,610 132,922 162,540 145,245 198,064 30,512,227 33,202,591 35,617,249 40,231,304 48,793,706	Year 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1971-72 \$ 180,195 \$ 205,493 \$ 238,590 \$ 249,098 \$ 301,096 +14.0% 1,748,694 2,033,193 2,281,626= 2,562,229 2,994,162 +16.3% 194,299 225,910 253,514 284,692 332,685 110,610 132,922 162,540 145,245 198,064 30,512,227 33,202,591 35,617,249 40,231,304 48,793,706 +8.8%	Percon Channel 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1971-72 1972-73 (2014) \$ 180,195 \$ 205,493 \$ 238,590 \$ 249,098 \$ 301,096 +14.0% +16.1% (1,748,694 2,033,193 2,281,626 2,562,229 2,994,162 +16.3% +12.2% (194,299 225,910 253,514 284,692 332,685 (110,610 132,922 162,540 145,245 198,064 (30.512,227 33,202,591 35,617,249 40,231,304 48,793,706 +8.8% +7.3%	Percent Change 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1971-72 1972-73 1973-74 \$ 180,195 \$ 205,493 \$ 238,590 \$ 249,098 \$ 301,096 +14.0% +16.1% +4.4% 1,748,694 2,033,193 2,281,626 2,562,229 2,994,162 +16.3% +12.2% +12.3% 194,299 225,910 253,514 284,692 332,685 110,610 132,922 162,540 145,245 198,064 30.512,227 33,202,591 35,617,249 40,231,304 48,793,706 +8.8% +7.3% +13.0%

Bata are for the fiscal year ending June 30.

Source: Iowa Department of Social Services, Annual Reports.

Ttillration of Services

Title: Number of aid to dependent children cases a, b

व १८ वर्ष विकास ११ व	angan i manganin di gamaga i i gan merimbi mendan menden menden men	the second second	and the second s			An	alysis of S	ocial Chang	<u>te</u>
		•	Year		8		Percent Change		
Governmental Unit	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74	1974-75
Carroll County	82	101 .	100	86	107	+23.2%	-1.0%	-14.0%	+24.4%
Total for Region Three	1,305	1,418	1,326	1,337	1,511	+8.7%	-6.5%	+0.8%	+13.0%
Mean County . Value	145.0	157.6	147.3	148.6	167.9	3			.
Median County Value	82.0	101.0	100.0	86.0	107.0	,	,		*
total for lowa	21,898	24,357	24,030	24,263	27,918 "	+11.2%	-1.3%	+1.0%	+15.1%
Mean County Value	221.2	246.0	242.7	245.1	282.0			,	,

a Data are for fiscal year ending June 30.

Source: Iowa Department of Social Services, Annual Reports.

b Dara are cases continued to next year for 1971-74 and ending cases for 1975.

140

Area: Income

Utilization of Services

Title: Adult old-age assistance cases a, b

en e		Company and the company of the compa		and the same of th		Analysis of S	Social Change	
			Year	•		Pero Char		.
Governmental Unit	. *	1971	1972	1973		1971-72	1972-73	
Carroll County		191	200	94	•	+4.7%	-53.0%	•
Total for . Region Three	٤	1,639	1,623	709	٠	-1.0%	-56.3%	
Mean County Value		182.1	180.3	78.8			,	•
Median County Value		148.0	145.0	66.0				
votal fer leua	1	22,433	21,715	10,496		-3.2%	-51.7%	
Value		226.6	219.3	106.0	4		,	

^a Data are for respective fiscal year ending June 30.

Source: Lowa Department of Social Services, Annual Reports.

b Data are cases continued to next year.

Utilization of Services

Title: Adult aid to the blind cases a,b

		The second secon				Analysis of Social Change
		,	Y e ar	•		Percent Change
Governmental Unit		1971	1972	1973		1971-72 1972-73
Carroll County	· Was said a said	9 ,	9	6		0.0% -33.3%
Total for Region Three		. 83	90	73	W	+8.4% -18.9%
Mean County Value		9.2	10.0	8.1	,	·
Median County Value	ę i ,	• 9.0	8.0	5.0		
Total for lowa		1,175	1,237	976		+5.3% -21.1%
Mean County Value		11.9	12.5	9.9	•	

Bata are for respective fiscal year ending June 30.

Source: Iowa Department of Social Services, Annual Reports.

b Data are cases continued to next year.

Utilization of Service's

Title: Adult aid to disabled cases a, b

•	*	\.				,	Analysis of	Social Change
			Year			•		cent inge:
Governmental • Unit		1971	1972	1973			1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County		. 12	20	. 22	•		+66.7%	+10.0%
Total for Region Three		207 .	225	154		a same	+8.7%	-31.6%
Mean County Value	•	23.0	25.0	17.1		žn.	•	
Median County Value		/ 19.0	20.0	12.0	• • •	*		,
Total for Iowa		3,227	3,541	2,389		, *	+9.7%	-32.5%
Mean County Value	•	32.6	35.8	24.1	•	• • •	,	

a Data are for respective fiscal year ending June 30.

Source: Iowa Department of Social Services, Annual Reports.

b Data are cases continued to next year.

Statustof Social Well-Being

Title: Total personal income (in millions of dollars)

•	* ***		Year	•	•	- Analysis of Social Change Percent Change				
Governmental . Unit 👵		`1969 •	1972	1973		, 1969-72	1972-73			
Carroll County		\$ 71 (629) ^a	\$ 90	\$ 124	•	+26.8%	+37.8%			
Total for Region Three	,	629	787	1,095		+25.1%	+39.1%			
Mean County Value		69.9	87.4	121n 7	•	,				
Median Count Value		61.0	77.0	111.0	<u>.</u>	*				
Total for lowa		9,856	12,443	13,308		+26.2%	+23.0%			
Mean County Value	**************************************		125.7	ີ່ .ຈ ຸ	•					

Squero: U.S. Department of Commerce, Survey of Current Business.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Per capita personal income,

			Year		Analysis of Social Change Percent Change			
Governmental Unit	•	1969	1972	1973		1969-72	1972-73	-1
Carroll County	a specific to the specific per-	\$3,097	\$3,912	\$5,439		+26.3%	+39.0%	•
			•	•				
Iowa	•	3,514	4,315	5,347	,	+22.8%	+23.9%	
•			•		*		,	

 χ Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Survey of Current Business.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Median family income

		,	`	, ,		Analysis of Social Change	
Governmental	۵. ۱	,	Year	•		. Percent . Change	,
· Unit	•	1950	·1960	1970		1950-60 1960-70	
Carroll County		\$3,068	\$4,380	\$7,973	8	+42.8% +82.0%	
	• •	·	•		•		
Iowa	,	3,068	5,069	9,018	4	+65.2% +77.9%	
*	*	•	•		' ,	•	

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Social and Economic Characteristics.

Area:

Income

Without of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of families with income under \$4,000

•	•	-		•	,		Analysis	of Soci	lal Change	•
Governmental	• ,	-		Year	•	Per Cha	cent nge	,	Chan Propo	ge inbrition
'Unit.		1	1950	1960	1970	1950-60	1960-70		1950-60	1960-70
Carroll County	•		3,890 (66.4%)	`2,517 (44.3%)	867 (16.1%)	-35.3%	-65.6%	a	-22.1%	-28.2%
Total for Region Three	•		33,000 (65.5%)	20,151 (39.6%)	7,931 (16.5%)	-38.9%	-60.7% ·	·	-25.9%	-23.1%
Mean County Value	•	•	3,666.7	2;239,0	881.2			•	•	
Median County Value	,		3,365.0	1,998.0	. 800.0		<u>.</u>			•
Total for tour			. 456,510° ° (66.5%)	259,842 (36.5%)	111,466 (15.5%)	-43.17	-57.1%	* .	-30.0*	-21.0%
Mean County Value	• •		4,611.2	2,624.7	, 1,125.9	• .	•			,

a Figures in parenthesis represent the number of families with income under \$4,000 as a percent of the total number of families.

Source: ".S. Department of Commerce, General Social and Economic Characteristics.

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of families with income under \$4,000 adjusted for change in the total number of families.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of families with income between \$4,000 and \$10,000

	`			Analysis of Social Change					
:		Year		Percent Change	Change in Proportion				
Governmental Unit	1950	1960	1970 , ,	1950-60 1960-70	1950-60 1960-70				
Carroll County	\$ 1,470 (25.1%) ^a	\$ 2,659 (46.8%)	\$ 2,660 (49.5%)	. +80.9% ♦ +0.04%	+21.7% +2.7%				
Total for Region Three	13,400 (26.6%)	25,901 (50.9%)	21,600 (45.1%)	+93.32 -16.6%	+24.3% -5.8%				
Mean County Value Median County	1,488.9	2,877.9	2;400.0		•				
, Value	1,285.0	2,647.0	1,881.0		•				
Total for lova	(27.2%)	375、806 (52.8%)	289,776 (40.4%)	+101.4% -22.9%	-12.4%				
Mean Count Value	. 1,884.6	3,796.0	2,927.0	•					

^{*} Figures in parenthesis represent the number of families with income between \$4,000 and \$10,000 as a percent of the total number of families.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Social and Economic Characteristics.

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of families with income between \$4,000 and \$10,000 adjusted for change in the total number of families.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of families with income over \$10,000

	, <u> </u>	A COMMISSION OF THE PARTY OF TH					•	Analysis	of Social Change	
Governmental •	•		Year	5			Per c Char	nge	. Prop	nge in ortion
Unit	e, *	1950	. 1960		1970 .		1950-60.	1960-70	1950-60	1960-70
Carroll County		270 · · · (4.6%) a	503 (8.9%)		1,853 (34.5%)		+86.3%	+268.4%	+4.3%	+25.6%
Total for Region Three	,	1,655 (3.3%)	4,852 (9.5%)		18,466 (38.5%)	v	+193.2%	+280.6%	+6.2%	+29.07
* Mean County' Value		183.9	539.1	• ;	2,051.8	` .		, , ,		, .,
Median County Value		165.0	503.0	•	1,800.0	*	_	•		. 🛶
Total for 12mg	•	18,810 (2.7%)	76,068 - (10.7%)	. /	307.534 (42.9%)		+304.4%	+304.3%	+8.0%	+32.2%
Mean County Value		190.0	768.4	*	3,106.4	•		•	• .	

a Figures in pare thesis represent the number of families with income over \$10,000 as a percent of the total number of

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Social and Economic Characteristics.

thange in proport in represents the change in the number of families with income over \$10,000 adjusted for change in the families.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of families with income less than poverty level

•	*	. 8	Von	•					,
Governmental Unit	٠,		Year 1970	, , , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>					
Carroll County	the same of the same of the same of	•	578 (10.7%) ^a	``		•	•		•
Total for Region Three		•	4,381 (9.1%)	À	,			•	
Mean County Value	****	•	486.8	` "	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	*\$			
*Median County Value			436.0			•	• ,	•	
Total for Towa	. •	,	63,956 (8.9%)	.	٠		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •
Mean County, S	*	. ,	646.0			•		•	•

a Figures in parenthesis represent the number of families with income less than poverty level as a percent of the total number of families.

Source: , U.S. Department of Commerce, Genéral Social and Economic Characteristics.

Persons with income less than the poverty level represent persons with income below the established government standard for poverty. Incomes falling below the poverty level are adjusted for size of family, sex of head of household, number of children under 18 years of age, and farm and nonfarm residence.

Status of Social Well-Reing

Title: Number of families with income less than 75% of poverty level

•	7	Year	
Governmental Unit	•	1970	
Carroll County		319 (5.9%) ^a	
Total for Region Three	•	2,343 (4.9%)	
Mean County Value	•	260.3	
Mèdian County Value .	,	238.0	
Total fow Towa	•	37,164 (5.22)	
Mean County Value	•	375.4	

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of families with income less than 75% of poverty level as a percent of the total number of families

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Social-and Economic Characteristics.

301

Families with income less than 75% of the poverty level represent families whose level of income is at least 25% less than the established poverty line.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of families with income less than 125% of poverby level

`	•	· •	Year ·			· •	Ŷ	,	
Wovernmental Unit	. •		1970						
Catroll County	(Common) (Common)	•	926 . (17.2%) ^a	,		•	• ,		
Total for Region Three	. •		6,853 (14.3%)	9		•		. >	•
Mean County Value		* *	761.4		``	`			
Median County Value			693.0	<i>\$</i> '			•,	•	
. Total for Iowa	•		96,8475 (13.5%)	•	u	*	,	, ,,	
Mean County Value	•	.	978-3						

a Figures in parenthesis represent the number of families with income less than 125% of poverty level as a percent of the total number of tamilies.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Social and Economic Characteristics,

303

Families with income less than 125% of the poverty level represent families whose level of income is at or below the established poverty line multiplied by 1.25. That is, the figure includes families whose income is 25% over the established poverty level.

CHAPTER 7:

HEALTH .

Financial Resources		•		*Utilization of Services	
	Page				Page
1. County mental health and state institutions, fund	•	,	1.	Mean daily inpatients served	167
operations: fund balance January 1	153		2.	Number of hospital admissions	168
2. County hospital funds (per county treasurer),			3.	'Hospital bed occupancy rate	169
property tax receipts	154		4.	Total number in residence at Iowa Soldiers' Home	170
3. County hospital funds (per county treasurer), total	***	•	5.		
to account for	155		•	institutes	171
4. County hospital funds (per county treasurer),			6.	Total number of residents at hospital schools for	
treasurer's fund balance, January 1	156			the mentally retarded	172
5. Expenditures by county governments on health	157		. •	•	
6. Expenditures of county governments on hospitals	158		*		
Ch superior of county governments on appropria	,				
Structure of Services	•			Tatus of Social Well-Being	
	Pase	•			Page
1. Worker of abbedies all over for all broke of			1.	Number of reported pneumonia cases	173
1. Number of physicians, all ages, for all types of	159		2.	Number of reported chicken pox cases	174
practice 2. Number of general-practice physicians for all ages	160		3.	Number of reported flu-like cases	175
3. Number of specialists for all ages	161	٠.	4.	Number of reported rubella (German measles) cases	176
4. Number of licensed nursing health care facilities	162	_	5.	Number of reported syphilis cases	177
5. Licensed bed capacity of licensed nursing health	701	•	6.	Number of reported gonorrhea cases	178
care facilities	163		7.	Number of deaths from all causes	179
6. Number of hospitals	164	· ••	8.		180
7. Number of hospital beds (1973-1975)	165		9.	Number of deaths due to cerebrovascular disease	181
8. Number of hospital beds (1972)	> 166		10.	Number of deaths from pneumonia	182
or homore or manherar ocan (Think	- 200		11.	Number of deaths from suicide	183
			12.	Number of infant deaths	184

Financial Resources

Title: County mental health and state institutions, fund operations: fund balance January 1

A service of the serv	The state of the s	•		Analys	Analysis of Social Change			
	` <u>.</u>	Yea	ir	ing.		Percent Change		
Governmental Unit	1970	1971	1972	1973	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	
Carroll, County	\$ 114,653	\$ 208,996	\$ 277,324	\$ 146,351	+82.3%	+32.7%	-47.2%	
Total for Region Three	1,411,129	1,389,597	1,370,944	936,782	-1.5%	-1.4%	-31.72	
Mean County Value	156,792	,154,400	152,327	104,087	•			
Median County _ Value	79,809	87,028	139,844	85,833	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Total for Iowa	8,947,191	8,715,115	· 14,046,129	12,627,375	-2.6%	+61.2%	-10.1%	
Hean County which	90,376	88,031	, 141,880	127,549			4	

Source: Auditor of the State of Iowa, Biennial Report of County Finances.

347

Firancial Resources

Title: County hospital funds (per county treasurer), property tax receipts

And the second s	, and the second			<i>I</i> · · · ·	Ana	lysis of Social	Change .
		Ye	ar .	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Percent Change	- * ·
Governmental Unit	a 1970	1971	1972	1973~_	1970-7		1972-73
Carroll County	\$ NA ^B	\$ NA	\$ NA	\$ NA .	NA.	NA.	NA J
Total for Region Three	552,308	576,048	506,217	507,610	+4.3	-12.1%	+0.3%
Mean County Value	61,368.0	64,005.0	56,246.0-	56,401.0		,	
Median County Value	. 122,667.5	124,822.0	117,121.5	124,104.0	•		`
Total for Iowa	6,475,509	\6,038,267	6,199,827	7,476,031	-6.1	+2.7%	+20.6%
Mean County Value	65,409	60,993	62,625	, 75,315	· ·		*•

NA: Data not available; regional and state totals and averages based on available data only.

Source: State of Iowa, Auditor of State, Biennial Report of County Finances.

329

Financial Resources

Title: County hospital funds (per county treasurer), total to account for

Company of the Compan	`						Analys	is of Social	Change	
	`	•	Yea	ar ·	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		 Percent Change 		\
Governmental Unit		1970	1971	1972	1973		1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	ا نوسو
Carroll County		\$ NA®	\$ NA	·\$ NA	\$ NA		NA .	NA .	NA	
Total for Region Three	*	`606,266	615,226	509,240	536,947	. •)+1.5%	-17.2%	+51.4%	
Mean County Value	, 1	67,363.0	68,358.0	56,582.0	59,661.0	•	+1.5%	-17.2%	+5.5%	
Median County Value		124,480.0	126,551.5	117,942.0	116,996.0	•	`	•	•	ŗ.
Total for Towa		6,857,228	6,186,533	6,318,726	7,727,499	•	-9.8%	+2.1%	+22.3%	,`,
Mean County Value		69,265	62,490	63,826	` . 7à,036				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

A NA: Data not available; regional and state totals and averages based on available data only.

Source: State of Iowa, Auditor of State, Biennial Report of County Finances.

Financial Resources

Title: County hospital funds (per county treasurer), treasurer's fund balance, January 1

**			•	•			•	Analys	is of Social	Change
Governmental			. 1970	Ye	•	≯ ₁₉₇₃	•.	•1970-71	Percent Change 1971-72	1972-73
Unit ·		and the second	1970	<u> </u>	1972	1973		-,1970-71	1371-72	
Carroll County	*	` `	\$ NAª	\$ NA	\$ NA	, \$ NA	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	^NA _	- NA	NA
Total for Region Three	•		53,958	39,178	3,020	29,155	,	-27.4%	-92.3%	+865.4%
Mean County Value			5,995.0	*4,353.0	336.0	3,239.0		•	•	
Median County Value		` ` `	2,848.5	3,102.0	794.0	1,702.0	*		8	
Total for Iowa			381,719	148,266	118,899	251,468	,	-61.2%	-19.8%	+111.5%
Mean county .Value		•	3,856	1,498	1,201	2,540		•	*	

A NA: Data not available; regional and state totals and averages based on available data only.

Source: State of Iowa, Auditor of State, Biennial Report of County Finances.

Pinancial Resources

Title: Expenditures by county governments on health

E marine is in a resident and the second second	and the second s		•	ģ	Analysis of S	ocial Change
Governmental	•	Year			Percent Change 1962-72	Change in Proportion 1962-72
Unit	1961-62	1966-67	1971-72		1702-72	
Carroll County	\$ 16,000	\$ 21,000 (1.1%)	\$ 114,000 (4.6%)		+612.5%	+3.4%
Total for Region Three	112,000	92,000 (0.6%)	953,000 (3.5%)	•	+750.9%	+2.7%
Mean County Value	12,000	NA ^C	106,000		•	` .
Median County Value	13,000	" NA "	. 47,000	,		
Total for Iowa	1,761,000	(1.0%)	10,875,000 (3.4%)	-	+517.6%	+2.3%
Mean County Value	18,000	NA	110,000	*		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Figures in parenthesis represent the expenditures by county povernments on health as a proportion of the general expenditures of county government.

315

Change in proportion represents the change in the expenditures by county government on health adjusted, for change in the general expenditures of county government.

C NA: Data not available.

Analysis of social change computed for 1962-72 only due to missing data for Webster County for 1966-67.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Financial Resources

Title: Expenditures of county governments on hospitals

	•	V	Analysis of Soc	Analysis of Social Change					
	`	Year		Percent Change	Change in Proportion				
Covernmental Unit	1961-62	1966-67	1971-72	1962-72	1962-72				
Carroll County	\$ 131,000 (9.8%)	\$ 153,000 (8.0%)	\$ 148,000 (6.0%)	+13.0%	-3.8 x				
Total for Region Three	2,776,000 (20.2%)	3,466,000 (23.0%)	7,103,000 (125.8%)	+155:9%	+5.6%				
Meàn County Value	308,000	NA.C	789,000						
Median County Value	143,000	NA	254,000	•					
Total for Iowa	28,301,000 (17.5%)	44,140,000 (21.1%)	67,127,000 (21.0%)	+137.2%	+3.4%				
Mean County Value	286,000	NA ·	678,000	•					

Figures in parenthesis represent the expenditure of county governments on hospitals as a percentage of the general expenditures of county government.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the expenditure of county government on hospitals adjusted for change in the general expenditures of county government.

C NA: Data not available

d Analysis of social change computed for 1962-72 only due to missing data for Webster County for 1966-67.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Structure of Services

		•								
Title:	Number	of	physicians,	all	ages,	for	all	types	οf	practice

and the second s		. Year	
Governmental Unit	* *	1974	
Carroll County	_	26	
Total for Region Three	•	147	
Mean County Value	•	16.3	
Median County Value	•	12.0	• •
Total for Iowa	•	2,912	
Mean County Value		29.4	

Source: Iowa State Department of Health, Health Manpower in Iowa, 1974, Survey of Physicians.

Area:

Health

Structure of Services

Title: Number of general-practice physicians for all ages.

•	•		Year		
Governmental Unit	ν.	• 8	1974		
Carroll County		•	13	*	
Total for Region Three			87	P ,	*
Mean County Value	,	•	9.7	•	
Median County Value	•	•	9.0-	• •	
Total.for Iowa '		•	1,132	•	
Mean County Value	•	,	41.4		

Source: Iowa State Department of Health, Health Manpower in Iowa, 1974, Survey of Physicians:

Structure of Services

Title: Number of specialists for all ages

		Year	,	,
Governmental Unit	•	1974	•	
Carroll County	٠	. 12		
Total for	<.	, ,		•
Region Three		`5\$		
Mean County		•		,*
Value Median County	••	6.1		
Value		3.0		
Total for Iowa	•	1;601	•	
Mean County Value	•	16.2	b	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		<u> </u>		

Source: Iowa State Department of Health, Health Manpower in Iowa, 1974, Survey of Physicians.

Structure of Services

Title: Number of licensed nursing health care facilities

•			•			Year		•				
Governmental Unit		,			•	1972	•				_ ŧ	`
Carroli County		y (,	5	<u> </u>	1				,
Total for Region Three	w		*			41	· •				**	•
Mean County Value						4.6				•	•	•
Median County Value					*	5.0 s			•	,	r	•
Total for Iowa.				,	4	191					*	·
Mean County Value						5.0		·	•			•

Source: Iowa State Department of Health, Licensed Nursing and Custodial Health Care Facilities in Iowa

. Structure of Services

Title: Licensed bed capacity of licensed nursing health care facilities

· Governmental —	· •		Year	**	•
Unit	,	^*	1972	,	ı
Carroll County	*		224		
Total for				•	
Region Three	Č.		. 2,108	*	
Mean County Value			234.2	.	
Median County Value	•	MAX	203.0	•	
Total for Iowa			24,965	,	
Mean County					· ·
Value		**	252.4		•
				<u> </u>	

Source: Iowa State Department of Health, Licensed Nursing and Gustodial Health Care Facilities in Iowa.

Area: Health
Structure of Services

Title: Number of hospitals

Governmental Unit	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Year 1972	v
Carroll County	•			2	
Total for Region Three			· ·	12	
Mean County Value	· •	,		1.3	
Median County Value				1.0	
Total for Iowa		•		151	
Mean county Value	•	•		1.5	

Source: Iowa State Health Department, Iowa Health Facilities Fact Book Series.

Area:

Health

Structure of Services

Title: Number of hospital beds (1973-1975)

A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	•		Year	``			Social Change	
Governmental Unit		1973	1974	1975	,	1973-74	1974-75	•
Carroll County	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	174	174	174		0.0%	0.02	
Total for Region Three		1,118	1,101	1,144	٠, ٣	-1.5%	+3.9%	ju,
Mean County Value		124.2	122.3	127.1		•		, *
Median County Value		74.0	74.0	74.0	•	•	•	
Total for Iowad	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	21,775	21,908	22,023		+0.6%	+0.5%	
Mean County Value	•	220.0	221.3	222.5	.	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	`

a includes number of beds, cribs, and pediatric bassinets regularly maintained (set up and staffed for use) for inpatients as of the close of the reporting period; does not include bassinets for newborn infants. Federal and state hospital beds excluded in count.

Source: American Hospital Association, Guide to the Health Care Field.

Manning General Hospital is not included.

C Woodward State Hospital in Boone County and Manning General Hospital in Carroll County are not included.

d Calculations based on reporting units only.

Structure of Services

Title: Number of hospital beds (1972)

Governmental Unit		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Year 1972 ·	`	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Carroll County		r		172	•	,	*		
Total for Region Three			•	1,231	e a de				•
Mean County Value Median County	•	٠	*	_ 136.8		• ,		•	•
Value	•	•	•	. 81.0	*	•	/		
Total for Iowa		•		20,238		••			
Mean County Value	ř.,	÷.	* *	204.4		`*			

a Data cannot be merged with data on p. 165 due to different data collection procedures employed by the respective agencies.

Source: Iowa State Wealth Department, Iowa Health Facilities Fact Book Series.

Utilization of Services

Title . Mean daily inpatients served

The remainder of the probability of the second of the seco	***************************************			*			Analysi	s of Social	Change
Governmental		•		Year		· •	•	Percent Change	
Unit	.•	1973		1974	1975	,	1973-74	1973-75	1974-75
Carroll County		97	•	74 ^C	71 ^c		-23.7 %	-26.8%	-4.1%
Total for Region Three		667		b	605			-9.3%	•
Mean County Value		* - 74.1	6	b	67.2	•	•		
Median County Value	•	39.0		ь	34.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			*
Total for Iowa	* \$	b .c		ъ	b			,	
Mean County Value	*	b *		, t	ħ ·		, e	* \$	•

Average number of inpatients receiving care each day during a 12-month period; does not include newborn. Federal and state hospital beds excluded in count.

Source: American Hospital Association, Guide to the Health Care Field.

b Not calculated due to "nonreporting" hospitals.

Carroll County--Manning General Hospital fs "nonreporting".

Vtilization of Services

Title: Number of hospital admissions

alle a raid () and an interview, while all angular restriction are recommended with raids, and a second of the se	·			``	•	Analys	s of Social	Change
•	,	••	Year	•		•	Percent Change	
Governmental Unit	*	1973	. 1974	1975	·	1973-74	1973-75	1974-75
Carroll County	•	4,592	4,183°	4,019 ^c	•	-8.9%	-12.5%	`-3.9%
Total for Region Three		30,429	b .	28,660°			-5.8%	name of the state
Mean County Value		3,381.0	. b	3,184.4 ^C	X	•		
Median County Value		1,978.0	ъ	1,956.0 ^c			•	
Total for Iowa		b	b	b			•	
Mean County Value	ž		ъ	, b	`		•	•

Includes the number of patients accepted for inpatient service during a 12-month period; does not include newborn. Federal and state hospitals excluded in count.

Source: American Rospital Association, Guide to the Health Care Field.

b Not calculated due to "nonreporting" hospitals.

Carroll County--Manning General Hospital is "nonreporting".

Area:

Health

Utilization of Services

Title: Hospital bed occupancy rate

				Analysi	s of Social	Change
Governmental Unit	1973	Year ,	1975	1973-74	Change in Proportion 1973-75	1974-75
Carroll County ^b	55.7%	57.8%	55.5%	+2.1%	-0.2%	-2.3%
Total for "Region Three"	59.6%		52.9%		-6.7%	
Total for Iowa		•	y.	•	.	·

Ratio of mean daily patients served to the number of hospital beds. Includes the number of patients accepted for inpatient service during a 12-month period; does not include newborn. Federal and state hospital beds excluded in count.

Source: American Hospital Association, Guide to the Health Care Field.

bCarroll County--Manning General Hospital is not reporting.

C Woodward State Hospital School in Boone is not included in the Region Three total.

d Not calculated due to nonreporting hospitals.

Utilization of Services

Title: Total number in residence at Iowa Soldiers' Home

		,			•	<u>An</u>	alysis of S	ocial Chan	<u>ge</u>
Governmental		*	Year				Pero Char		**
Unit	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74	1974-75
Carroli County	2	2 .	2 .	2	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total for . Region Three	39	38	34 •	38	, 34	-2.6%	-10.5x	+11.8%	-10.5%
Mean County Value Median County	4.3	4.2	3.8	4.2	3.8	• • • • • •	•		
, Value	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	`		•	
Total for Iowa	491	· 481 ,	462	449	441	-2.0%	-4.0%	-2.8%	-1.8%
Mean County Value	5.0	4.9	4.7	4.5	4.5	•	ŧ .		

Source: Iowa Department of Social Services, Annual Reports.

335

336

ζ:

Utilization of Services

Title: Votal number of resident patients at mental health institutes

+-/					· A	<u>A</u>	nalysis of S	ocial Chang	<u>e</u> `
			Year	•			Perc Chan		•
Governmental Unit	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74	1974-75
Carroll County	4 .	6	5	7	7	+50.0%	-16.7%	+40.0%	0.02
Total for Region Three	51	, 51	52	51	50	~ 0.0%	+2.0%	-1.9%	-2.0%
Mean County Value	5.7	5.7	5.8	5.7	5.6		•	<i>(</i> *	*
Median County Value	٥,٤	5.0	5.0	7.0	4.0			•	•
Total for Iowa	1,054	945	954	. 991	1,071	-10.3%	+1.0%	+319%	+8.1%.
Mean county Value	10.6	9.5	9.6	10.0	10.3,		•	*	•

Source: Iowa Department of Social Services, Annual Reports.

Utilization of Services

Title: Total number of residents at hospital schools for the mentally retarded

	ا الطبيقية الموافقة الموافقة والموافقة والموافقة الموافقة الموافقة الموافقة الموافقة الموافقة الموافقة الموافقة			,		Analysis of Social Change			
	Year				•	Percent Change			
Governmental Unit	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74	1974-75
Carroll County	18	17	19	13	17	-5.6%	+11.8%	-31.6%	+30.8%
Total for Region Three	107	100	99	85	93	-6.5%	-1.0%	-14.17	+9.42
Mean County Value	11.9	11.1	11.0	9.4	10.3				
Median County Value	10.0	10.0	11.0	10.0	9.0	•			
Total for Iowa	1,421	1,371	1,380	1,385	1,394	-3.5%	.+0.7% f	+0.4%	+0.6%
Nean County Value	14.4	13.8	13.9	14.0	14.1		,	* '	,

Source: Iowa Department of Social Services, Annual Reports.

Status of Social Well-Maine

Title: Number of reported pneumonia cases

A CO THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTR		()			. 4	`	•		<u>Ar</u>	alysis of	Social Cha	nge	
1		(;		Year	•		•	₽` •	Percent Change	•		Change in	
Governmental Unit	• .	1969	1971		1973	•	1975	1969-71	1971-73	1973-75	1969-71	1971-73	1973-75
Carroll County	eriania de la mano il mort	(0.08) ²	(0.13)	•	,13 (0.56)	*	5 (0.21)	+50.0%	+333:37	-61.5%	-0.05%	+0.43%	-0.35X
Total for Region Three		20 (0.10%)	22 (0.12%)	•	32 ₄ (0.17%)*		27 (0.15%)	+100.0%	+45.5%	-15.6%	+0.02%	+0.05%	-0.02%
Mean County Value Median County		2.2	2.4		3.6		3.0		•			1	• •
Value	•	1.0	2.0	•	3.0		2.0	1 , 100 000	. 20 00		*	•	·
Total for Icwa		605 (0.22)	-921 (0.3?)		1,195	, 	1,122 (0.39)	+52.2%	+29.8%	-6.1%	+0.10	+0.09	-0.02
Mean County Value	```	6.1	9.3	*	12.1		11.3	,	•		`•		·

Figures in parenthesis represent the rate of reported pneumonia cases per 1,000 estimated population.

Source: Iowa State Department of Health, Morbidity Report.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the rate of reported pneumonia cases per 1,000 estimated population.

Status of Social Well-Being

* Title: Number of reported chicken pox cases

The second secon	er i e minera manera e e minera e embles i minerales.	,	. 8	, ,	•	Ana	alysis of	Social Cha	inge	•
•	* *	Yea	r			Percent Change	•		Change in	
Governmental '	1969	1971	1973	1975	1969-71	1971-73	1973-75	1969-71	1971-73	1973-75
Carroll County	23 (0.96) ^a	247 . (10.67)	193 (8.32)	98 (4.21) •	+973.9%	-21.9%	-49.2%	+9.71	-2.35	-4.11
Total for Region Three	224 (1.18)	`593 (3.19)	682 (3,65)	351 (1.93)	+164.7%	+15.0%	-48.5%	+2.01%	+0.46%	-1.72%
Mean County Value Median County	24.9	65.9	75.8	39.0	14.	•		,	*	
Value	20.0	. 16.0	91.0	18.0	.	jo,		**		
Total for Iowa	5,192	7,171 (2.51)	12,467 (4.29)	9,507 (3.31)	+38.1%	+73.9%	-23.7%	+0.62	+1.78	-0.98
Mean County Value	52.4	72.4	125.9 😴	96.0	•••			•		

a Figures in parenthesis represent the rate of reported chicken pox cases per 1,000 estimated population.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the rate of reported chicken pox cases per 1,000 estimated population.

Source: Iowa State Department of Health, Morbidity Report.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of reported flu-like cases

and the second control of the contro	A commercial reserve and refer to the second distinguishes and the same				Analysis of Social Change
		Ye	ar		Percent - Change in Change Proportion
Governmental Unit	1972	1973	1974	1975	1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75
Carroll County	40 (1.73) ^a	161 (6.94)	2,768 (117.29)	.881 (37. 81)	+302.5% +1,619.3% -68.2% +5.21 +110.35 -79.48
Total for Region Three	128 (0.69)	566 (3.03)	6,522 (35.89)	2,585 (14.19)	+342.2% +1,523.3% -60.4% +2.34 +32.86 -21.70
Mean County Value	14.2	62.9	724.7	287.2	
Median County Value	4.0	31.0	358.0	187.0	
Total for Iowa	719 (0.25)	14,786 (5.09)	, 107,097 (37.52)	50,949 (17.75)	+1,956.5% +624.3% -52.4% +4.84 +32.43 -19.77
Mean County Value	7.3	149.4	1,081.8	514.6	

a Figures in parenthesis represent the rate of reported flu-like cases per 1,000 estimated population.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the rate of reported flu-like cases per 1,000 estimated population.

Includes laboratory confirmed cases.

Source: Iowa State Department of Health, Morbidity Report.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of reported rubella (German measles) cases

•	•	•	,	`			. <u>Ar</u>	alysis of	Social Cha	inge	
Governmental"		` Yea	ır	•			Percent Change			Change in	
Unit	1969	1971	1973	1975	¢,.	1969-71	1971-73	1973-75	1969-71	1971-73	1973-75
Carroll County	(0.21) ^a	2 (0.09)	(0.00)	0 (0.00)	٠	-60.0%	-100.0%	zc	-0.12	-0.09	0.00
Total for Region Three	290 (1.52)	19 (0.10)	7 (0.04)	(0.00)		-93.4%	-63.2%	-100.0%	-1.42	-0.06	-0.04
Mean County Value	32.2	2.1	0.8	0.0							•
Median County Value	¥ 5.0	2.0	0.0	0.0							
Total for Iowa	2,534 (3.32)	751 (0.26)	221 (0.08)	31 (0.01)		-70.4%	-70.6%	-86.0%	-0:66	-0.18	-0.07
Mean County Value	25.6	7.6	2.2	0.3		,	* .	•			

Figures in parenthesis represent the rate of reported rubella cases per 1,000 estimated population.

Source: Lowa State Department of Health, Morbidity Report.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the rate of reported rubella cases per 1,000 estimated population.

C Percent change cannot be computed.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of reported syphilis cases

the product of the same and the same of th	The second section of the section of the second section of the section of the second section of the sectio				•	Analysis of S	Social Change	•
	. (Yea	r 🍼		× ×	Percent Change	Change in Propertion	
Governmental Unit	1969	1971	1973 .	1975	1969-71	1971-73 1973-75	1969-71 1971-73	1973-75
Carroll County	(0.00)*	2 (0.09)	(0,04)	(0.04)	x ^c	-50.0% 0.0%	+0.09 -0.05	0.00
Total for Region Three	28 (0.15)	25 (0.13)	(0.07)	22 (0.12)	-10.7%	-48.0% +69.2%	-0.02 -0.06	+0.05
Mean County Value	3.1	/2.8	1.4	2.4	ł	•	•	
Median County Value	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	•		•	
Total for Iowa	738 (0.27)	(0.21)	415 (0.14)	331 (0.12)	-18.6%	-31.0% -20.2%	-0.06 -0.07	-0.02
Mean County Value	7.5	6.1	4.2	3.3	~ I			

a Figures in parenthesis represent the rate of reported syphilis cases per 1,000 estimated population.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the rate of reported syphilis cases per 1,000 estimated population.

Percent change cannot be computed.

Source: Iowa State Department of Health, Morbidity Report.

Status of Social Well-Reine

Title: Number of reported gonorrheadcases

Control (C. C. C	generalization distanting to a secondary of the secondary				^ Analysis of	Analysis of Social Change						
		Yea	r	,	Percent Change	Change in Proportion						
Governmental Unit	1969	· · 1971	1973	1975	1969-71 1971-73 1973-75	1969-71 1971-73 1973-75						
Carroll County	14 (0.58) ^a	15 (0.65)	(0.17)	15 (0.64)	+7.1% -73,3% +275.0%	+0.07 -0.48 +0.48						
Total for Region Three	153 (0.80)	161 , (0.87)	253 (1.35)	308 (1.69)	+20 0% +57.1% +21.7%	+0.07 +0.48 +0.34						
Mean County Value	17.0	17.9	28.1	34.2	•							
Median County Value	11.0	8.0	7.0	15.0	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Total for Iewa	4,409° (1.51)-	6,116 (2.14)	6,479 (2.23)	7,261 (2.53)	+38.7% +6.0% +12.1%	+0.53 +0.09" +0.30						
Mean County Value "	44.5	61.8	65 14	73.3		•						

a Figures in parenthesis represent the rate of reported gonorrhea cases per 1,000 estimated population.

Source: Iowa State Department of Health, Morbidity Report.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the rate of reported gonorrhea cases per 1,000 estimated population.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of deaths from all causes

			•			Analysis of Social Change					
		Ye	ar	•	.\.	Percent Change	*		Change -in		
Governmental Unit	1969	1971	1973	1975	£969−þ1		1973-75	1969-71	1971-73	Ì973-75	
Carroll County	238 (9.9)	245 (10.6)	265 (11.4)	245 (10.5)	+3.02	+8.2%	-7.6%	. +0.7	+0.8	+0.9	
Total for Region Three	2,114 (11.1)	2,078 (11.2)	2,082 (11.1)	2,069 (11.4)	-1.72	+0.2%	-0.6%	+0.1	-0.1	+0.3	
Mean County Value	235	231	231	230		4.				• •	
Median County Value	211	191	186	191				4			
Total for Iowa	29,345 (10.7)	29,475 (10.3)	29,229 (10.1)	28,058 (9.8)	< +0.5% _≥	-0.8%	-4.0%	-0.4	-0.2	-0.3	
Mean County Value	296	298	295	283					*	•	

a Figures in parenthesis represent the number of deaths from all causes per 1,000 timated populations.



b Change in proportion represents the change in the rate of deaths from accidents per opposition source: Iowa State Department of Health, Iowa Detailed Report of Vital Statistics.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of deaths from cardiovascular disease

)	# ``	*	• .				An	alysis of	Social Cha	inge 🖠	•
	•	Yes	ar ·		`	•,	Percent Change		• 1	Change in	Ъ
Governmental Unit	1969 - ".	1971	1973	1975		1969-71	1971-73	1973-75	· 1969-71	1971-73	1973-75
Carroll County	(620.8) a	137 (593.1)	174 (750.0)	149 (639.5)	`	-8.1%	+27.0%	-14.4%	-27.7	+157.9	-110.5
Total for Region Three	1,233 (647.1)	1,207 (648.6)	1,224 (654.5)	1,160 (636.7)	•	-2.1%	+1.4%	-5.2%	+1.5	+5.9	-17.8
Mean County Value Median County	137.0	134.1	136.0	128.9	ı		,				
Value	109.0	108.0	104.0	. 106.0				•	,	×	
Total for lows	(610.2)	16,641 (581,45	16,442 (566.1)	15,411 (537:0)		-0.7%	-1.2%	-6.3%	-28.8	-15.3	-29.1
Mean County Value	169.3	168.1	166.1	155.7	•	A		•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

a Figures in parenthesis represent the number of deaths due to cardiovascular disease per 100,000 estimated population.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the rate of the number of deaths due to cardiovascular disease per 100,000 estimated population. .

Source: Iowa State Department of Health, Iowa Detailed Report of Vital Statistics.

Statusmof Social Well-Being

Title: Number of deaths due to cerebrovascular disease

4	•	, ,			,					<u>An</u>	alysis of	Social Cha	nge	
	,				Year		•	•		Percent Change			Change in	
Governmental Unit	,	1969	•	1971		1973	₩	1975	1969-71	197Ì-73	1973-75	1969-71	1971-73	1973-75
Carroll County		> 39 (162.5) ⁸		28 ' (121.2)		· 52 (224.1)	-,	41 (176.0)	-28.2%	+85.7%	-21.2%	-41.3	+102.9	-48.1
Total for Region Three	, "	269 (141.2)		282 (151.5)	•	305 (163.1)		253 [°] (138.9)	+4.8%	+8.2%	-17.1%	+10.3	/ 11.6	-24.2
Mean Chunty Value Median County Välue	•	29.9	"	31.3	`	33.9 24.0		28.1	•	, :	•		•	
Total for Iowa	•	3,720 (135.4)		3,676 (128:4)	٠,	3,625 (124.8)		3,224 (112.3)	-1.2%	-1,42	-11.1%	-7.0	-3.6	-12.5
Mean County Value		37.6		37.1	,	36.6		32.6,		¥	-	8	•	`

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of deaths due to cerebrovascular disease per 100,000 estimated population.

Source: Iowa State Department or Health, Iowa Detailed Report of Vital Statistics.

Change in proportion represents the change in the rate of the number of deaths due to cerebrovascular disease per 100,000 estimated population.

. Area: !lealth

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of deaths from pneumonia

•		· .	•	·	Analysis of Social Change						
Governmental		Ye	ar	•			Change in				
Unit	1969	1971 -	· 1973	1975	1969-71	1971-73	1973-75	1969-71	1971-73	1973-75	
Carroll County	10 (41.7) ^a	6 (26.0)	6 (25.9)	3 (12.9)	-40.0%	0.02	-50.0%	-15.7	-0.1	-13.0	
Total for Region Three	59 (31.0)	56 (30.1)	60 (32.6)	73 (40.1)	-5.1%	+7.2%	+21.7%	-0.9	+2.5	+7.5	
Mean County · Value Mediag County	6.6	6.2	6.7	8.1	•		•				
Val	7.0	7.0	7.0	8.0			•			*	
Total for Tewa	970 (35.3)	862 (30.1)	934 (32.2)	935 (32.6)	-11.17	+8.47	+0.1%	-5.2	+2.1	+0.4	
Mean County Value	`9.8	8.7	9.4	9.4		•	53				

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of deaths due to premionia (and influenza for 1969) per 100,000 estimated population.

Source: Iowa State Department of Health, Iowa Detailed Report of Vital Statistics.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the rate of deaths from pneumonia per 100,000 estimated population.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of deaths from suicide

g in the influence of the constitution of the influence of the constitution of the con						An	alysis of	Social Cha	nge	•
		Year	•		•	Percent Change	•		Change in	
Governmental Unit	1969	1971	1973	1975			1973-75	1969-71	1971-73	1973-75
. Carroll County	2 (8.3) ^a	. (4.3)	3 (12.9) ~	(0.0)	+50.0%	+200.0%	-100.0%	-4.0	+8.6	-12.9
Total for Region Three	21 (11.0)	16 - (8.6)	18 (9.6)	20 (11.0)	-23.8%	+12,5%	+11.17	-2,4	+1.0	+1.4
Mean County Value	2	2	2	`\2		`				
Median County * Value	2	2	1	2						
Total for lowa	318 (11.6)	359 (12.5)	329 (11.3)	320 (11.2)	+12.9%	-8.4%	-2.7%	+0.9	-1.2	-0.1
Mean County Value	% 3	- 4	3	3						•

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of deaths from suicide per 100,000 estimated population.

Source: Iowa State Department of Health, Iowa Detailed Report of Vital Statistics.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the rate of deaths from suicide per 100,000 estimated population.

Statue of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of infant deaths

,) ,,, (s. and (s), ap.,), and and (s), ap., (a), (b) and (b) b) and (b)	and the second s	1			Analysis of	Social Change
		Yea	ar		Percent Change	Change in Proportion
Governmental Unit	1969	1971 ,	1973	1975	1969-71 1971-73 1973-75	1969-71 1971-73 1973-75
Carroll County	94 (9.6) ^a	8 (24.1)	(14.0)	6 (17.5)	+100.0% -50.0% +50.0%	+14.4 -10.0 +3.5
Total for Region Three	42 (15.4)	61 (23,6)	38 (17.8)	36 (15.2)	+45.2% -37.7% -5.3%	+8.2 -5.8 -2.6
Mean County Value	*** 4.7	6.8	4.2	4.0	*	
Median County Value	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	-	,
Total for Iowa	894 (18.3)	791 (17.5)	620 (15.9)	\$56 (13.4)	-11.5% -21.6% -10.3%	-1.4 -1.6 -2.5
Mean County Value	9.0	8.0	6.3	5.6		

¹ Figures in parenthesis represent the rate of infant deaths per 1,000 live births.

Source: Iowa State Department of Health, Iowa Detailed Report of Vital Statistics.

393

351

b (hange in proportion represents the change in the rate of infant deaths per 1,000 live births.

CHAPTER 8: EDUCATION

						Fourthandon of Brildwerion	•
	Financial Resources			***		Equitableness of Utilization	D
		Page	-				Page
1. 2.	Board of education fund operations: total to account for Board of education fund operations: property tax	186		1.	Minority stu schools	dent population in public and nonpublic . Status of Social Well-Being	202
	_receipts	187 188				Status of Social Meti-perug	
3.	Board of education fund operations: total expenses	189				•	Page
5.	Expenditures of county government on education Expenditures of local governments on education	190	1		old and ov		203
	Structure of Services		1	2.		l years completed by females 25 years	204
	the state of the s	Page			old and ov		204
		-		3.	Number of ma	les, 25 years old and over, with no	205
1. 2.	Number of teachers employed by local governments Number of tell-time equivalent educational personnel employed by local governments	191 192	•		Total number	of females, 25 years old and over, who chool years completed	206
	Utilization of Services				have comp	of males, 25 years old and over, who leted 8 years of education	207
		Page		ь.	lotar namoe	of females, 25 years old and over, who leted 8 years of elementary education	208
1.	Beginning enrollment, grades K-6	193 194		7.	Total number	r of males, 25 years old and over, who leted 4 years of high school	209
2. 3.	Beginning corollment, grades 7-9 Forollment, grades 10-12	195		8.	Total number	r of females, 25 years old and over, who leted 4 years of high school	210
٠.	Number at pupils served by nurses employed by boards of education	196		9.	Total_number	r of males, 25 years old and over, who	223
`.	Number of pupils served by speech clinician	197			h 4 yea	ars or more of college	211
6.	and the second s	198		10.	To number have comp	r of females, 25 years old and over, who leted 4 years or more of college	212
h.	Accessibility of Services						
*		Page				•	

1991



education

2. Pupils not served by speech clinicians. 200
3. White student population in public and nonpublic schools 201

Pupils not served by nurses employed by boards of .

Area:

Education

Financial Resources

Title: Board of education fund operations: total to account for

•						Analysis of Social Change					
	·	` Y	ear			49.	Percent Change				
Governmental Unit	1970	1971	1972	1973		1970-71	1971-72	1972-73			
Carroll County	\$ 177,628	\$ 284,368	\$ 372,693	\$ 468,852	ય	+60.1%	+31.1%	+25.8%			
Total for Region Three	1,594,669	1,878,272	2,021,562	5,708,035		+17.8%	+7.6%	+182.4%			
Mean County Value	177,185	208,697	224,618	634,226	*	`					
Median County Value	107,652	122,175	122,128	193,685		*	· ·				
Total for Iowa	27,505,606	32,393,861	36,000,653	41,649,014		+17.8%	+11.1%	+15.7%			
Mean County Value	277,834	327,211	363,643	420,697		``					

Source: Auditor of the State of Iowa, Finances.

357

358

Financial Resources

Title: Board of education fund operations: property tax receipts

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			- N			اهيس آڏڻ	Analysis	of Social	Change
Governmental Unit		1970	1971	Year 1972.	1973		1970-71	Percent Change 1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County	, , \$	135,953	\$ 232,939	\$ 242,082 c	\$ 244,859		+71.3%	+3.9%	+14.1%
Total for Region Three		.885 .870	1,035,692	1,091,330	4,469,396	•	+16.97	+5.4%	+3.1%
Mean County Value Median County		98,430		121,259	496,599				,
Value Total for lowa		87,475	94,283	101,505	102,854 22,244,067	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	+23.3X	+22.4%	+4.9%
Mean County Value	14	,049,660 141,918	17,326,623	21,208,092	224,688		**Z3.3%	* & & * ****	

Source: Auditor of the State of Towa, Biennial Report of County Finances.

359

37

Financia! Resources

Title: Board of education fund operations: total expenses

	,			ě.		Analys	Analysis of Social Change		
		Y	ear	•	•	*	Percent Change	`	
Governmental Unit	1970	1971	1972	1973		1970-71	1971-72	1972⊢73	
Carroll County	\$ 169,414	\$ 206,081	\$ 227,225	\$ 283,777		+21.8%	+10.3%	+24.97	
Total for Region Three	1,364,864	1,566,968	1,622,662	5,184,887		+14.8%	+3.6%	+219.5%	
Mean County Value	151,652	174,108	180,296	576,099			•		
Median County * Value	93,666	108,278	108,630	150,857		:		•	
Total for lowa	24,035,352	27,698,556	29,860,045	33,622,646		+15.2%	. +7.8 %	4+12.6%	
Mean County Value	242,781	279,783	301,617	339,623		•.,	•		

Source: Auditor of the State of Iowa, Biennial Report of County Finances.

Financial Resources

Title: Expenditures of county government on education

						Analysis of	· Social (hange C
Governmental Unit	•	1961-62	Year 1966-67	1971-72		Percent Change -1962-72	¢:	Change in Proportion 1962-72
Carroll County		\$ 55,000 - (4.1%)	\$ 65,000 (3.4%)	\$ 230,000 (9.3%)	,	+318.2%	•	+5.2%
Total for Region Three	•	473,000 (3.4%)	627p000 (4,2%)	1,769,000 (6.4%)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+274.0%		+3.0x
Mean County Value	•	53,000	NA ^d	197,000			•	
Median County Value		55,000	NA .	129,000		** ***	· **	
Total for Lowa		5,479,000 (3,4%)	9,552,000 (4.6%)	28,235,000 (8.8%)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+415.3%		+5.4%
Mean County Value	" , *	55,000	NA 3	285,000		• •	• • •	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

^{*} Pigures in parenthesis represent the expenditure of county government on education as a percent of total county general expenditures.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the expenditures of county government on education adjusted for change in the total county general expenditures.

C Analysis of social change computed for 1962-72 only due to missing data for Webster County for 1966-67.

d NA: Data not available.

Financial Resources

Title: Expenditures of local governments on education (local governments include county, municipal, and township governments, and school and special districts)

	•	*	•			Analysis of Social Change				
Governmental	•		Year		•		cent inge	Change Proport		
Unit	•	1961-62	1966-67	1971-72		1962-67	1967-72	1962-67	. 1967-72	
Carroll County	*	\$ 1,461,000 (46.7%)	\$ 1,092,000 (42.5%)	\$ 4,081,000 (50.1%)		+30.2%	+114.6%	-4.2%	+7.6%	
Total for Region Three		16,974,000 (47.1%)	31,125,000 (56.9%)	51,986,000 (57.0%)		+83.4%	+67.0%	+9.87	+0.1%	
Mean County Value	,	1,886,000	3,458,000	5,776,000	•			•	•	
. Median County Value		1,699,000	3,209,000	5,067,000	•		•	,	• •	
Total for Iowa		282,088,000 (49.87)	430,834,000 (52.9%)	770,393,000 (57.2%)		+52.7%	+78.8%	**************************************	+4.3%	
Mean County Value	*	2,849,000	4,352,000	7,782,000		· .·	•	•		

Figures in parenthesis represent the expenditure of local governments for education as a percent of the total direct general expenditure of local governments.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Change in proportion represents the change in the expenditure of local governments for education adjusted for change in the total direct general expenditures of local government.

Structure of Services

Title: Number of teachers employed by local governments (local governments include county, municipal, and township governments, and school and special districts)

•	•	`	•		4.	Analysis of	Social Change	
Governmental		•	Year	*		Percent Change		ge in brion
Unit	•	1962	1967	J 1972	1967	2-67` 1967-72	1962-67	1967-72
Carroll County	,	148 (37.4%)**	157 (38.1%)	202 (44.8%)	+6.	1% +28.7%	+0.7%	+6.7%
Total for Region Three	•	2,149 (43.6%)	2,543 (45.3%)	2,711 (43.7%)	+18.	4X +6.6X	+1.7%	-1.6%
Mean County Value Median County		. 238.7	282.6	301.2	"#		ż	
Value	,	241.0	267.0	283.0	•			
Total for Iowa		29,170 (42.7%)	34,751 (44.4%)	39,878 (44.0%)	+19.	12 +14.82	+1.7%	-0.42
Mean County Value		294.6	351.0	402.8		%		

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of teachers employed by local governments as a percent of the total number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by local government.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the number of teachers employed by local government adjusted for change in the total number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by local government.

Area:

Education

Structure of Services

Number of full-time equivalent educational personnel employed by local governments (local governments include county, municipal township governments, and achool and special districts) Title:

•	*		, 319,42	•	Analysis of S	ocial Change	•
		Year	•	Per Cha	cent nge		ge in rtion
Governmental	1962	1967	1972	1962-67	1967-72	1962-67	1967-72
Carroll County	231 (58.3%)**	221 (53.6%)	278 (61.6 %)	-4.3%	+25.8%	-4.7%	+8.0%
Total for Region Three	2,983 (60.5%)	3,413 (60,82)	3,804 (61.4 2)	+14.4%	+11.5%	+0.3%	+0.6%
Mean County Value	331.4	379.2	422.7			,	
Median County Value	333.0	362.0	378.0	,	•		
otal for Iowa	41,536 (60,8%)	48,156 (61.5%)	56,951 (62.92)	415.9 z	+18.3%	+0.7%	+1.4%
Mean County . Value	419.6	486.4	575.3				`.

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of full-time equivalent educational personnel as a percent of the total number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by local government.

Source: U.S, Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of full-time equivalent educational personnel adjusted for change in the total number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by local government.

Utilization of Services

Title: Beginning enrollment, grades K-6

	,	\						Analysis of Social Change		
Governmental Unit	`	1970-71	1971-72	ear 1973-74	1975-76	***	:	£971-72	Percent Change 1972-74	1974-76
Carroll County		1,999	1,885	1,820	NA ^a	* "		-5.7 X	-3.42	NA .
Total for Region Three	. /	22,806	21,733	19,660	NA		i.	-4.72	-9.5%	NA
Mean County Value		2,534	2,415	2,184	n a	2m.			***	3
Median County Value		2,183	2,047	1,921	NA [†]			•	•,	*
Total for Iowa	. •	357,711	347,461	321,750	AN			-2.9%	-7.4%	XA
Mean County Value		3,613	3,510	3,250	NA .			a	•	

a NA: Date not available.

Source: Iowa Department of Public Instruction, Data on Iowa Schools.

381

3sz .

Education

Utilization of Services

Title: Beginning enrollment; grades 7-9

							Analysis of Social Change		
` y			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Year ,				Percent Change	
Governmental Unit	· •	∫ 1970-71 ≠	1971-72	1973-74	1975-76		1971-72	1972-74	1974-76
Carroll County .		697	720	793	NA.ª		+3.3%	+10.1%	NA
Total for Region Three	•	9,705	9,782	9,875	МА	•	+0.8%	+1.0%	NA .
Mean County Value	,	1,078	1,086	1,097	"NA	*		•	* ************************************
• Median Coun	•	1,006	979	962	NA ·	•	1		,
Total for lows	`	149,380	151,643	156,722	NA NA	• 、	+1.5%	₹. +3.3%	. NA
Mean County Value	* . *i	1,509	1,532	1,583	NA .		•	- \	

NA: Data not available.

[.] Source: Iowa Department of Public Instruction, Data on Iowa Schools.

Utilization of Services

Title: Enrollment, grades 10-12

								Analys	ls of Social	Change
Governmental		3	•	Year		•		,	Percent Change	
Unit	`.	1970-71	1971-72	1973-74	1975-76	*	•	1971-72	1972-74	1974-76
Carroll County		734	730	706	NA a			-,0.5%`	-3.3%	NA
Total for Region Three	3	9,794	9,709	9,223	NA.		•	-0.9%	-5.0%	· NA
Mean County Value Median County	•	1,088	1,079	1,025	NA				•	,
Value	•	983	971	969	NA	•				•
Total for Iowa	,	142,712	143,939	,141,673	NA ·		•	+0.9%	-1.6%	. NA
• Mean County Value		1,442	1,454	1,431	NA .	•	•	(•

a NA: Data not available.

Source: Iowa Department of Public Instruction, Data on Iowa Schools.

Utilization of Services

Title: Number of pupils served by nurses employed by boards of education

			Year	•
Governmental Unit		`,	1972-73	
Carroll County			6 ,325	Å
Total for	`	•	@	
Region Three	•	*	33,388	•
/ Mean County Value			3,709.8	•
Median County Value			3,167.0	•
Total for Iowa			557,197	•
Mean County Value	•	•	5,628.3	•

a Public and nonpublic school pupils.

Source: Comprehensive Health Planning Council of Iowa, District Health Departments: Why and How.

b Full-time equivalents.

Utilization of Services

Title: Number of pupils served by speech clinician

•	*	Year	•	1
Governmental. Unit	; ;	1971-72	•	*
Carroll County	•	. 221	•	ے
Total for Region Three	4	1,320	•	•
Mean County Value Median County Value	•	146.7		•
Total for Iowa		22,032	•	*
Mean County Value	9	222.5		

Source: Comprehensive Health Planning Council of Iowa, District Health Departments: Why and How

Uthization of Services

Title: Number of pupils screened by hearing clinicians for hearing adequacy

		•	Year	•	`
Governmental Unit		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1971-72		
Carroll County	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	2,559	3	
Total for	•	•	•	***	
Region Three	V	agit.	18,250		
Mean County Value		•	2,027.8	•	
'Median County Value			1,529.0	•	
Total for Iowa	•	•	334,716	•	
Mean County Value	•	,	3,381.0	•	•
					

Source: Comprehensive Health Planning, Council of Iowa, District Health Departments: Why and How.

Accessibility of Services

Title: Pupils not served by hurses employed by boards of education

		•				Year · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
Governmental Unit	•	•	•		÷	1972-73	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Carroll County	*			`		1,330		•
Total for Region Three			,			11,971		
Mean County Value Median County Value),			•	1,330.1 963.0		
Total for lowa		•	•	•		129,544		
Mean County Value	• ••	•	•			1,308.5		
. — .		•		 ,			1	<u> </u>

a Public and nonpublic school pupils.

Source: Comprehensive Health Planning Council of Iowa, District Health Departments: Why and How

b Full-time equivalents.

C In some counties school nursing services are provided by local health departments.

Area:

Education

Accessibility of Services

Title: Pupils not served by speech clinicians

•		* Year	ė. Va
Governmental Unit		1971-72	
Carroll County	•	177	
Total for Region Three		1,298	•
Mean County Yalue		144.2	
Median County Value		106.0	•
Total for Iowa		15,050	**
Mean County Value	•	152.0	

Source: Comprehensive Health Planning Council of Iowa, District Health Departments: Why and How.

Accessibility of Services

Title: White student population in public and nonpublic schools

ì	*	-	Year	•
· Governmental ·	•		1969-70	
Carroll County		•	6,599	
Total for Region Three	,		50,313	•
Mean County Value			5,590.3	
Median County Value			5,219.0	•
Total for Iowa			648,048 ^a ,	
Mean County Value		•	6,545.9	•

a Public only.

Source: Department of Public Instruction, Racial Ethnic Census Report, Iowa Public Schools.

Area:

Education

Equitableness of Utilization

Title: -Minority student population in public and nonpublic schools

. Governmental	-		Year.	, ·•
Unit			1969-70	3
Carroll County	· ,*		22 40.3%) ^a	* **
Total for Region Three		ŕ.	350 (0.7%)	•
Mean County Value Median County Value		V	38.9 9.0	
Total for Towa			13,742 ^b	
Mean County Value		*	· ·//138.8	

a Figures in parenthesis represent minority student population as a percent of total student population.

Source: Department of Polic Instruction, Racial Ethnic Census Report, Iowa Public Schools.

b Public only.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Median years of school completed for males 25 years old and over

			Year		*	Analysis of S Perc Char	cent
Vovernmental Unit		1950	1960	1970	·	. 1950-60	1960-70
Carroll County	The second of th	8.8	. 8.9	11.3	•	+1.1%	+27.0X
*	, ,	•		, · · · · · · .	· ·	•	
Iowa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9.0	10.4	12.2	•	+15.6%	+17.3%

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Median school years completed by females 25 years old and over

		Year	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	•		Social Change cent nge	
Governmental Unit	1950	1960	1970			1950-60	1960-70	 +
Carroll County	9.0	9.7	12.0	•		+7.8%	+23.7%	*
Iowa	10.7	12.0	12.3	3		+12.1%	+2.5%	

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of males, 25 years old and over, with no school years completed

			*		`	Analysis	of Şoc	ial Change	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Year)		Per Cha	cent nge		Chan Propo	ge in rtion
Governmental Unit	1950	1960	1970		1950-60	1960-70	300	,1950-60	1960-70
Carroll County	25 (0.4%) a	12 (0.2%)	25 (0.4%)	٠	-52.0%	+108.3%		-0.2%	+0.2%
Total for Region Three	330 (0.6 2)	(0.8X)	279 . (0.6%)	•	+35.5%	-37,6%	•	+0.2%	-0.2%
•	•						A	, ,	•
Mean County Value .	36.7	49.7	31.0					*	. 2
Median County Value	25.0	12.0 .	13.0	,				<u> </u>	•
Total for Iowa	4,545 (0.6%)	4,204 (0.6%)	4,282 (0.6%)	*	-7.5%	+1.9%		0.0%	0.0%
Mean County Value	45.9	42.5	43.3				•	•	•

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of males, 25 years old and over, with no school years completed as a percent of the total number of males 25 years old and over.

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of males, 25 years old and over, with no school years completed adjusted for change in the total number of males 25 years old and over.

206

Area: 🗻 Education

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Total number of females, 25 years old and over, who have no school years completed

		,	•	Analysis of Soc	Analysis of Social Change				
•		Year		*Percent Change	Change in Proportion				
Governmental Unit	1950	1960	1970	1950-60 1960-70	1950-60 1960-70				
Carroll County	50 (0.7%) ^a	18 (0.3%)	75 (1.2%)	-64.0% * +316.7%	-0.4% +0.9%				
Total for Region Three	355 (0.6%)	,432 (0.8%)	380 (0.7%)	(+21.7% -12.0%	+0.2% -0.1%				
Mean County Value Median County	39.4	48.0	42.2		· :				
Value	30.0	15.0	32.0						
Total for Towa	4,505 (0.6%)	3,491 (0.4 <u>%</u>)	4,231 (0.5%)	-22.27 +21.27	-0.2% +0.1%				
Mean County Value	45.5	35.3	42.7						

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of females, 25 years old and over, with no school completed as a percent of the total number of females 25 years old and over.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the number of females, 25 years old and over, with no school years completed adjusted for change in the total number of females 25 years old and over.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Total number of males, 25 years old and over, who have completed 8 years of education

and the second s	•)	*			Analysis of	Social Change	
() .	No.	Year	· · ·	Per c	cent nge	Chan Propo	ge in .
Governmental . Unit	1950	1960	1970	1950-60	1960-70		- 1960-70
Carroll County	2,510 (39.3%) ^a .	'2,227 (37.8%)	1,664 (29.5%)	-11.3%	-25.3%	-1.5%	-8.3X \
Total for Region Three	17,610 (31.8%)	14,463 (27.17)	10,755 4 (21.8%)	-17.9%	-25.6%	-4.7%	-5.3 X
Mean County Value	1,956.7	1,607.0	1,195.0	•			
Median County Value	1,820.0	1,400.0	1,074.0		•	,	K
Total for Iowa	231,530 (30.7%)	196,139 (26.3%)	149,138 (20.5%)	-15.3%	-24.0%	-4.42	-5.8%
Mean County Value	2,338.7	1,981.2	, 1,506.4	•		,	٠

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of males, 25 years old and over, who have completed 8 years of education as a percent of the total number of males 25 years old and over.

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of males, 25 years old and over, who have completed 8 years of education adjusted for change in the total number of males 25 years old and over.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Total number of females, 25 years old and over, who have completed 8 years of elementary education

ميدانها المداخر والمحود المحادث المداخر والمداخر المداخر المحادث المداخر المحادث المح			•			•		Analysis o	f Social Change	
•	•	•	, ,	Year				, Percent Change	Change Propor	
Governmental Unit	. 19	50		1960	· · ·	. 1970		1950-60 1960-70	1950-60	1960-70
Carroll County	2,1 (31.	05 5%) a	,	2,097 (32.1%)	,	1,750 (26:9%)	• •	-16:5%	+0.6%	-5.2%
Total for Region Three	15,0 . (25,	00 8 7)		12,693 (22,1%)		9,472 (16.8%)	•	-15.1% -25.6%	-3.7%	-5.3%
Mean County Value	1,6	66.7		1,410.3	•	1,052.4		1		Υ,
Median County Value	1,4	30.0	•	1,216.0		875.0				
Iotal for Iowa	207,7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	177,960 (22.4%)	``	135,393 (16.7%)		-14.3% -23.9%	-4.1%	-5.7%
Mean County Value	2,0	<i>:</i> 198₊7	. Jac.	1,797.6	•	1,367.6,				

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of females, 25 years old and over, who have completed 8 years of elementary education as a percent of the total number of females 25 years old and over.

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of females, 25 years old and over, who have completed 8 years of elementary education adjusted for change in the total number of females 25 years old and over.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Total number of males, 25 years old and over, who have completed 4 years of high school

A military design and the military of the contract of the cont	The state of the s		•	Analysis	of Social Change
	, *	Year	``	Rercent Change	Change in Proportion
·Governmental Unit	1950	1960	1970	1950-60 -1960-70	1950-60 1960-70
Carroll County	1,055 (16.5%) ^a	1,320 (22.4%)	1,867 (33.1%)	+25.1% +41.4%	+5.9% +10.7%
Total for Region Three	11,460 (20.7%)	14,828 ' (27.8%)	18,223 (37.0%)	+29.4% +22.9%	+7.1% +9.2%
Mean County Value	1,273.3	1,647.6	2,024.8		
Median County Value	1,055.0	1,320.0	1,832.0	• •	
Total for Iowa	155,305 (20.6%) ·	196,011 (26.3%)	259,074 (35.6%)	+26.2% +32.2%	+5.7% +9.3%
Mean County Value	1,568.7	1,979.9	2,616.9		

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of males, 25 years old and over, who have completed high school as a percent of the total number of males 25 years old and over.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Social and Economic Characteristics.

. 476

b Change in proportion represents the change in the number of males, 25 years old and over, who have completed high school adjusted for change in the total number of males 25 years old and over.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Total number of females, 25 years old and over, who have completed 4 years of high school

and the second s			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Analysis of Social Change			
•		Year		Percent Change	Change in b Proportion		
Governmental Unit	΄ 1950 ΄ γ	-1 960	1970	1950-60 1960-70	1950-60 1960-70		
Carroll County	I,510 (22.6%) ^a	1,820 (27.9%)	2,195 (33.7%)	+20.5% +20.6%	+5.3% +5.8%		
Total for Region Three	16,375 (28.1%)	20,011 (34.87)	<pre>\$ 23,136 (41.1%)</pre>	+22.2%, +15.6%	+6.7% +6.3%		
Mean County Value	1,819.4	2,223.4	2,570.7	1.	•		
· Median County Value	1,510.0	1,820.0	2,195.0 ^				
Total for Iowa	217,565 (27.7%)	271,002 (34.1%)	337,575 (41.5%)	+24.6% +24.6%	+6.4% +7.4%		
Mean County Value	2,197.6	2,737.4	3,409.8				

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of females, 25 years old and over, who have completed 4 years of high school as a percent of the total number of females 25 years old and over.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Social and Economic Characteristics.

Change in rroportion represents the change in the number of females, 25 years old and over, who have completed years of high school adjusted for change in the total number of females 25 years old and over.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Total number of males; 25 years old and over, who have 4 years or more of college

					Analysis of Social Change			
		Year		Percen Change	Change in Proportion			
Governmental Unit	1950	. 1960	1970	1950-60	1960-70	1950-60	1960-70	
Carroll County	315 (4.9%) &	289 (4.9%)	403 (7.1%)**	-8.3%	+39.4%	0.0%	+2.2%	
Total for Region Three	2,410 (4.3%)	3,314 (6.2%)	4,061 (8.2%)	÷37.5 %	+22.5%	+1.9%	+2.0%	
Mean County Value	· 267.8	368.2	451.2			, , ,	•	
Median County Valu e	210.0	289.0	353.0	5	•	1	•	
Total for Iowa	42,860 (5.7%)	58,941 (7.9%)	79,367 (10.9%)	+37.5%	+34.7%	+2.2%	+3.0%	
Mean County Value	432.9	595.4	801.7		,			

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of males, 25 years old and over, who have 4 years or more of college as a percent of the total number of males 25 years old and over.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Social and Économic Characteristics.

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of males, 25 years old and over, who have 4 years or more of college adjusted for change in the total number of males 25 years old and over.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Total number of females, 25 years old and over, who have completed 4 years or more of college

·				Analysis of Social Change				
		' Year		Per Cha	cent	Chan Propo	ge inbrition	
Governmental Unit	1950	1960	1970	.1950-60	1960-70	1950-60	1960-70	
Carroll County	325 (4.9%)	276 (4.2%)	336 (5.2%)	-15.1%	+21.7%	-0.7%	+1.0%	
Total for Region Three	2,295 (3.9%)	2,441 (4.2%)	3,453 (6.1%)	+6.4%	+41.5%	+0.3%.	+1.9%	
Mean County Value	255.0	271.2	383.7			•	` `	
Median County Value	215.0	234.0	330.0		,		•	
Total for Iowa	34,715 (4.4%)	39,609 (5.0%)	44,249 (5.42)	+14.17	+11.7%	+0.6%	+0.4%	
Mean County Value	350.7	400.1	447.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of females, 25 years old and over, who have completed 4 years or more of college as a percent of the total number of females 25 years old and over.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, General Social and Economic Characteristics.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the number of females, 25 years old and over, who have completed 4 years or more of college adjusted for change in the total number of females 25 years old and over.

CHAPTER 9:

HOUSING

Utilization of Services Financial Resources Page Page Data not located for this factor. 216 Expenditures of local government on housing and urban renewal Status of Social Well-Being Structure of Services Pege Page 217 1. Year-round housing units constructed 1. Number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by 218 Number of occupied housing units local governments for housing and urban renewal 215 Median number of persons in occupied housing units 219 220 Occupied housing units defined as overcrowded 221 Number of renter-occupied housing units 222 Median rent paid: renter-occupied units 223 Number of owner-occupied housing units, 224 8. Median value of owner-occupied units 225 Median number of rooms in housing unit 226 Housing units lacking bathing facilities 227 Housing units lacking piped water Housing units lacking flush toilet facilities 228 229 Housing units with complete plumbing facilities

ERIC

415

Final adjusted taxable value of residential lots

and buildings

Financial Resources

Title: Expenditures of local government on housing and urban renewal (local governments include county, municipal, and township governments, and school and special districts)

			Analysis of Social Change					
	•	Year		Percent Change,	Change in Proportion			
Governmental Unit	1961-62	1966-67	1971-72	1962-67 1967-72	1962-67 1967-72			
Carroll County	\$ 0.0%) ^a	\$ (0.0%)	\$ 0 (0.0%)	Z ^C Z ^C	0.0% 0.0%			
Total for Region Three	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	1,110,000	x ^c x ^c	0.0% +1.2%			
Mean County Value Median County Walue	0	o .	123,000 0	· ·				
Total for Iowa	4,685,000 (0.8%)	8,102,000 (1.0%)	14,463,000 (1.1%)	+72.9% +78.5%	+0.2% +0.1%			
Mean County Value	47,000	82,000	146,000					

a Figures in parenthesis represent the proportion of direct general expenditure allocated for housing and urban renewal.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the proportion of direct general expenditure allocated for housing and urban renewal adjusted for change in the total direct general expenditure.

C Percent change cannot be computed.

Housing Area:

Structure of Services

Title: Number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by local governments for housing and urban renewal (local governments include county, municipal, and township governments, and school and special districts)

•	, · ·	Year	•		Analysis of Social Change Percent
Governmental Unit	•	1967	1972		Change 1967-72
Carroll County	•	2 3.	3		+50.0%
Total for Region Three	-	2	6		+200.0%
Mean County Value		0.2	0.7	`,	
Median County Value		0.0	0.0	• •	
Total for Iowa		91	185		+103.3%
Mean County Value		0.9	1.9		

Utilization of Services

Data Not Located For This Factor

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Year-round housing units constructed b

•		Year			
Governmental Unit	Prior to 1950	`1950-59	<u> </u>	1960-70 ^c	<u>.</u>
Carroll' County	5,397 " (74.8%)**	845 (11.7%)		971 (13.5%)	
Total for Region Three	49,397 (76.6%)	7,545 (11,7%)	. ,	7,551 (11.7%)	**
Mean County Value Median County	5,489	838		839	
Value Value	4,939	640	•	717	
Total for Iowa	666,403 (69.8%)	126,844 (13.3%)		ر (161,728 (16.9%) على ا	`
Mean County Value	6,731	1,281	•	1,634	

^{*} Figures in parenthesis represent the proportion of 1970 year-round housing units constructed in the respective years.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Detailed Housing Characteristics, 1970.

b Based on the number of year-round housing units in 1970.

^c To March, 1970.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of occupied housing units

					Analysish of So	cial Change
Governmental		Yea			Percent Change 1960-70	Change in Proportion 1960-70
Unit	na ana ing manakan ang ang mana manahan bahan di kabupat di kabupat di kabupat di kabupat di kabupat di kabupa	` 1960	1970	*	1300-10	1300-70
Carroll County	,	6,635 (94.9%)**	6,780 (94.0%)	•	+2.2%	-0.9%
Total for Region Three	&	60,455 (92.7%)	59,911 (92.3%)		-0.9%	-0.42
Mean County Value	*	6,717	6,657	`	•	•
Median County . Value	0 V A	6,102	5,744	•	,	
Total for Iowa	•	841,357 ' (93.0%)	896,311 (93.0%)	1	+6.5%	0.0%
Mean County Value	,	8,499	9,054			

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of occupied housing units as a percent of the total number of housing units.

b Change in proportion represents the change in occupied housing units adjusted for change in the total housing units.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Median number of persons in occupied housing units

The second section of the second section of the second section of the second section s	and the second s				<u> </u>		, .	,	Analysis of Social Change	
Governmental			•:		Year	•••	A .	•	Percent Change	
Unit		*	**	1960	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1970	,	<u> </u>	1960-70	
Carroll County		*	,	3.1	•	2.6			-16.1%	
	٠	•			•	`	*******		,	
Iowa		,		2.8	•	-2.6`		, ,	-7.1%	
		-		•	•	4			•	

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Occupied housing units defined as overcrowded

	•				Analysis of Social Change				
Governmental Unit		1960	Year (1970		Percent Change 1960-70	Change in Proportion 1960-70			
- Carroll County .		594 (9.0%) a	. 559 (8.2%)		-5.9%	-0:87			
Total for Region Three	* .	3,765 (6.2%)	2,866 (4.8%)	•	-23.9%	-1.4%			
Mean County Value Median County		418	318						
Value		319	190		•				
Total for Iowa		67,286 (8.07)	52,073 (5.8%)		-22.6%	-2.2%			
Mean County Value	4	680	526		e	· 			

a Figures in parenthesis represent the number of occupied units defined as overcrowded as a percent of the total number of occupied housing units.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the number of overcrowded units adjusted for change in the total occupied units.

c Occupied with 1.01 or more persons per room;

Status of Social Well-Reing

Title: Number of renter-occupied housing units

Marian and Company and Company and Survey an		The second secon		,	Analysis	of Social Change
			Year		Percent Change	Change in Proportion
Governmental Unit		1960	1970	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1960-70	1960-70
Carroll County		2,188 (33.0%) ⁶	(27.3%)	***	-15.3%	-5.7%
Total for Region Three	`•	20,533 (34.0%)	17,860 . (29,8%)	•	-13.0%	-4.22
Mean County · Value Median County Value	. •	2,281	1,984			
'Total for Iowa		260,005 (30.9*)	253,641 (28.3%)	•	-2.4%	-2.6%
Mean County Value	•	2,626	2,562	,	•	

a Figures in parenthesis represent the number of occupied housing units renter occupied as a percent of the total number of occupied housing units.

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of renter-occupied housing units adjusted for change in the total number of housing units.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Housing, 1960, and Detailed Housing Characteristics, 1970.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Median rent paid: renter-occupied units

		•			Analysis of Social Chang	<u>e</u> `
0	4	Year	`		Percent Change	,
Governmental Unit		1960	1970		1960-70	
Carroll County	•	72	84 *	. /	+16.7%	• •
Towa	•	68	99	•	+45.6%	. 1.
1044	•	00			*	

a Gross rent paid.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of owner-occupied housing units

			•	Analysis of	Social Change
Governmental Unit	Yes 1960	1970	C	Percent Change 1960-70	Change inb Proportion 1960-70
Carroll County	4,447 (67.0%) ^a	4,926 (72.7%)	+:	10.8%	+5.7%
Total for Region Three	39,922 (66.0%)	42,051 (70.2%)		+5.3 % ·	+4.2%
Mean County . Value	4,436	4,672	•		
Median County Value	3,923	4,015	, •1	,	
Total for Iowa	581,352 (69.17)	642,670 (71.7%)	.	10.5%	+2.6%
Mean County Value	5,872	6,492	. •	٠	

^{*} Figures in parenthesis represent the number of owner-occupied housing units as a percent of the total number of occupied housing units.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the number of owner-occupied housing units adjusted for change in the total number of occupied housing units.

Statue of Social Well-Being

Title: Median value of owner-occupied units

			. •		Analysis of Social Change
Governmental Unit			Year 1960	1970	Percent Change 1960-70
Carroll County	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	\$9,	100	\$12,000	+31.9%
Iowa		9,	,900	. 13,900	+40.4%

Status of Social Well-Being . .

Title: Median number of rooms in housing unit

	•	Yea	ar	\ *	Analysis of Social Change Percent	`
Governmental Unit	•	<u>- 1960</u>	1970		Change 1960-70	
Carroll County	· ,	6.0	5.8	•	-3.3%	
Iowa		5.3	5.3		0.0%	e en e

a Based on all bousing units in 1960 and all year-round housing units in 1970.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Housing units lacking bathing facilities

and an experience of the second secon	*		•	Analys	is of Social	Change
	Year	r		Percent Change	•	Change in Proportion
Governmental Unit	1960	1970		1960-70	·	1960-70
Carroll County	985 (14.1%) ^{&}	248 (3:4 %)		-74.8%		-10.7%
Total for Region Three	8,823 (13.5%)	2,620 (4.0%)		-70.3%		-9.5X
Mean County Value	980	291				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Median County Value	818	221		,	٠,	•
Total for Iowa	127,281 (14.1%)	39,515 (4.1%)		-69.0%	•	-10.0%
Mean County Value	1,286	39 9 `		•	•	93

a Figures in parenthesis represent the number of all housing units for 1960 and year-round units for 1970 lacking bathing facilities as a percent of the total number of all housing units (1960) and year-round housing units (1970).

b Change in proportion represents the change in the number of all units (1960), and year-round units (1970), lacking complete bathing facilities adjusted for change in the total number of all units (1960) and year-round units (1970).

c Lacking bathtub and/or shower.

Statue of Social Well-Being

Title: Housing units lacking piped water

*	and the second s	and a series of the series of	.*	`		Analysis	of Social Cha	inge	
Governmental Unit	L. Company	1960	ear 1970		Ch	rcent ange 60-70		Change in Proportion 1960-70	,
Carroll County	and the same of th	326 (4.7%)	(0.7%)		-`8	4.0%	,	-4.0%	
Total for Region Three	•	4,484) (6.9%)	1,118 (1.7%)	,	-7 .	5.1%		-5.2%	
Mean County Value Median County		498	124		•	•			(
Value	· *	429	119			×			H
Total for Iowa	•	67,646	19,785 (2.1%)	•	-79	0.8%	***	-5.4%	
Mean County Value	`	683	200			,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

a. Figures in parenthesis tepresent the number of all units and year-round units for 1960 and 1970, respectively, lacking piped water as a percent of the total number of housing units (1960) and total number of year-round housing units (1970).

b Change in proportion represents the change in the number of all units (1960), and year-round units (1970), lacking piped water adjusted for change in the total units (1960) and year-round units (1970).

Based on all housing units in 1960 and all year-round housing units in 1970.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Housing units lacking flush toilet facilities

and the same of th		*			. Analysis	of Social Change
•		Yea	r ,		Percent Change	Change in Proportion
Governmental Unit	` '	1960	1970		1960-70	1960-70
Carroll County	·	838 (12.0%)	152 (2.1%)	•	-81.9%	-9.9%
Total for Region Three		7,857 (12.0%)	2,156 (3.3%)		-72.6%	-8.7%
Mean County Value		. /873	240		•	•
Median County Value	<i>y</i>)	795	. 184			
Total for Iowa		112,730 /(12.5%)	32,096 (3.4%)		-71.5%	-9.1%
Mean County Value	٠	1,139	324			*

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of all housing units for 1960 and year-round units for 1970, respectively, lacking flush toilets as a percent of the total number of housing units for 1960 and total number of year-round housing units (1970).



b Change in proportion represents the change in the number of all units (1960), and year-round units (1970), lacking flush toilets adjusted for change in the total number of all units (1960) and year-round units (1970).

C Based on all housing units in 1960 and all year-round housing units in 1970.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Housing units with complete plumbing facilities

			ŧ	`	Analysis	of Social Change
		Ye:	ar .	,	Percent Change	Change in b
Governmental Unit		1960 .	1970		1960-70	1960-70
Carroll County		5,185 (74.2%)	6,842 (94.9%)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	+32.0%	+20.7%
Total for Region Three		47,073. (72,2%)	60,411 (93.1%)		+28.3%	+20.9%
Mean County Value		5,230	6,712		,	
Median County Value	•	4,582	6,008	,		•
Total for Iowa		631,914 (69.8%)	891,470 (92.5%)	•	+41.1%	+22.7%
Mean County Value	•	6,383	9,005		` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `	•

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of all housing units for 1960 and year-round housing units for 1970 with complete plumbing facilities as a percent of the total number of housing units for 1960 and total number of year-round housing units (1970).

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of all units (1960), and year-round units (1970), with complete plumbing facilities adjusted for change in the total number of all units (1960) and year-round units (1970).

C Based on all housing units in 1960 and all year-round units in 1970.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Final adjusted taxable value of residential lots and buildings

· A		Ye	ar		Analysis of Social Change Percent Change
Governmental Unit	in the court of th	1970	1974	. \	1970-74
Carroll County		\$ 13,685,759	\$ 17,402,311		+27.2%
Total for Region Three		119,545,585	152,327,819		+27.4%
Mean County Value Median County	•	13,282,843	16,925,313	•	* ************************************
Value	•	11,031,731	13,269,145		8
Total for Town	•	2,074,913,832	2,723,630,557		+31.3%
Meán County 'Value		20,958,726	27,511,420		

Source: Iowa Department of Revenue, Taxable Values of Real Personal Property including Moneys and Credits.



CHAPTER 10:

LEISURE .

•	Financial Resources			,	,	`	Utilizat	ion of S	ervices.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>, </u>
*}		Page	*.					7.		_	Page
1.	Expenditures by the state of th	232	, , ,	Data	not locat	ted for	this fact	oŗ.	,	-	243
2.	Expenditures by county governments on natural resources	233	مسر	*	`	* .	``	•	,		,
3. 4.	Expenditure of local governments on parks and recreation . Expenditure of local governments on natural resources	· 234 235			,		•	•	•		•
5.	Expenditure of local governments on libraries	236		•	r	•`			•		
. •	Structure of Services	, ,	•				Status of	Social W	Well-Being	*.*	
		Page	`	`		P		•,		. • • •	Page
1.	Number of full-time equivalent library personnel employed by local governments	237	∀•	Data	not local	ted for	this fact	or.	·. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		244
	Number of full-time equivalent parks and recreation personnel employed by local governments Number of full-time equivalent natural resources	238	,	•	* *		*	•	•	•	•
4. 5.	personnel employed by local governments Total number of county conservation areas Total acreage of county conservation areas	239 240 241			*		``````````````````````````````````````	**	, è		
, 6	Number of scheduled events under the leadership of the Iowa Arts Council.	242	•			* *	ا الم	* * *		N	Y `, ,
		1.		. * 4	•		*		•	` ` ` `	

419

450

- Financial Resources

Title: Expenditures by county governments on parks and recreation

4						Analysis o	of Social Change
			Year	*	•	Percent	Change in
Governmental Unit	••	1961-62,	1966-67	1971-72		1962-72	Proportion 1962-72
Carroll County		\$ 0 (0.0%) ^a	°\$ 0 (0.0%)	\$ 85,000 (3.5%)	•	z ^d	+3.5%
Total for Region Three	•	27,000 (0.2%)	(0.0%)	275,000 (1.0%)	•	+918.5%	+0.8%
Mean County Value Median County	•	3,000	na ^e Na	31,000 36,000	•	y .	•
Value Total for Iowa		281,000 (9.2%)	1,055,000 (0.5%)	1,962,000	*	+598.2%	+0.4%
Mean County Value	•	3,000	NA NA	20,000	• ,	į	

Figures in parenthesis represent, the amount of general expenditures allocated for parks and recreation as a percent of total general expenditures of county government.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the general expenditures allocated for parks and recreation adjusted for change in the total general expenditure of county governments.

Analysis of social change is for 1962-72 only due to missing data for 1966-67.

d Percent change cannot be computed.

NA: Data not available.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Area: Leisure and Pecreation
Financial Resources

Title: Expenditures by county governments on natural resources

The second secon					Analy	sis of Socia	1 Change C
		Year			Percent Change	•	Change in Proportion
Governmental Unit	1961-62	1966-67	1971-72	and a contract of the second s	1962-72		1962-72
Carroll County	54,000 (4.0%)	92,000 (4.8%)	40,000 (1.6%)	,	-25.9%		-2.4%
Total for Region Three	854,000 (6.2%)	717,000 / (4.0%)	646,000 (2.4%)	•	-24.4%	•	-3.8%
Mean County Value	95,000	d →	72,000				
Median County Value	72,000	đ	61,000	,	•	*	
Total for Iowa	5,883,000 (3.6%)	6,760,000 (3.2%)	7,864,000 (2.5%)		+33.7%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-1.1%
Mean County Value	59,000		79,000		·	• ,	

a Figures in parenthesis represent the amount of general expenditures allocated for natural resources as a percent of the total general expenditures of county government.

453

b Change in proportion represents the change in the general expenditures allocated for natural resources adjusted for change in the total general expenditure of county governments.

C Analysis of social change is for 1962-72 only due to missing data for 1966-67.

d Cannot be computed due to missing data.

Area:

Leisure and Recreation

Financiel Resources

Title: Expenditure of local governments on parks and recreation (local governments include county, municipal, and township governments, and school and special districts)

		3.4		Analysis of Social Change					
	•	Year			cent nge	Change in Proportion			
Governmental Unit	1961-62	1966-67	1971-72	1962–67	1967-72	1962-67	1967-72		
Carroll County	\$ 44,000 (1.4Z)*+	\$ 63,000 (1.4%)	\$ 176,000 (2.2%)	+43.2%	+179.4%	` 0.0%	+0.8%		
Total for Region Three	484,000	- 443,000 (0.8%)	819,000 (0.9%)	-8.5%	+84.9%	-0.5%	+0.1%		
Mean County Value	34,000	49,000	91,000		7				
Median County * Value	44,000	48,000	60,000			*			
Total for Iowa	9,926,000 (1.8%)	13,321,000 (1.6%)	18,182,000 (1.4%)	` +34.2 %	+36.5%	-0.2%	-0.2%		
Mean County Value	100,000	135,000	184,000		•				

A Figures in parenthesis represent the amount of direct general expenditure allocated for parks and recreation as a percent of the total direct general expenditures of local government.

b Change in proportion represents the change in expenditures allocated for parks and recreation adjusted for change in the total direct general expenditure of local governments.

Financial Resources

Title: Expenditure of local governments on natural resources (local governments include county, municipal, and township governments, and school and special districts)

		`	1		•	Analysis of	Social Change	
Governmental		L	Year		Per Chai	cent nge	Chan Propo	ge inb rtion
Unit	. We do not the first the constitution to	1961-62	19.66-67	1971-72	1962-67	1967-72	1962-67	1967-72
Carroll County	rinia ramannii regioni<u>i r</u>ii	\$ 63,000 (2.02) ^a	\$ 102,000 (2.3%)	\$ 45,000 (0.6%)	+61,9%	-55.9%	+0.3%	-1,7%
Total for Region Three	•	894,000 (2.5%)	789,000 (1.4%)	634,000 (0.7%)	-11.87	-19.7%	-1.1%	-0.7 X
Mean County Value Median County	***	99,000	88,000	70,000	•			•
Value	,	73,000	102,000	55,000	•			•
Total for Iowa	•	7,084,000 (1.3%)	7,623,000 (9.9%)	8,551,000 (0.6%)	+7.6%	+12.2%	-0.42	-0.3%
Mean County Value	•	72,000	77,000	86,000′				•

Figures in parenthesis represent the amount of direct general expenditure allocated for natural resources as a percent of total direct general expenditures of local government.

Change in proportion represents the change in expenditures allocated for natural resources adjusted for change in the total expenditure of local governments.


Financial Resources

Title: Expenditure of local governments on libraries (local governments include county, municipal, and township governments, and school and

special distri	,	•				Analysis of	Social Change	
Governmental		Year	•		Per Cha	cent		ge in rtion
Unit	1961-62	1966-67	1971-72		1962-67	1967-72,	1962-67	-1967-72
Carroll County	\$ 24,000 (0.8%)	\$ 27,000 (0.6%)	\$ 55,000 (0,7%)		+12.5%	+103.7%	-0.2%	+0.1%
Total for Region Three	260,000 (0.7%)	360,000 (0.7%)	350,000 (0.4%)	•	+38.5%	-2.8%	0.0%	-0.3%
Mean County Value Median County	\$ 29,000	40,800	39,000		Ì	•	,	
Value	23,000	27,000	43,000				•	
Total for lowa	4,522,000 (0.9%)	6,719,000 (0.8%)	7,085,000 (0.5%)		+48.6%	+5.5%	0.0%	-0.3%
Mean County Value	46,000	68,000	72,000	•				

Figures in parenthesis represent the amount of direct general expenditure allocated for libraries as a percent of total direct general expenditures of local government.

b Change in proportion represents the change of direct general expenditure allocated for libraries adjusted for change in the total expenditure of local governments.



Title: Number of full-time equivalent library personnel employed by local governments (local governments include county, municipal, and township governments, and school and special districts)

	Ye	ar	Analysis of Social Change Percent	<u>e</u> .
Governmental Unit	1967	1972 .	Change 4 1967-72	
Carroll County	6	4	-33.3%	
Total for Region Three	19	29	+52.6%	والمستوالة والموافقة
Mean County Value Median County Value	, 2.1	3.2		
Total for Iowa	0.0 650	3.0 713	+9.7%	
Mean County Value	6.6	7.2		

Area: Ledsure and Revreation . Structure of Services ..

Title: Number of full-time equivalent parks and recreation personnel employed by local governments (local governments include county, municipal, and township governments, and school and special districts)

	:	Year	*		, gan	Analysi	s of Social Change Percent	<u>.</u>
Covernmental *	•	1967	1972	•			Change 1967-72	
Carroll County	***************************************	 8	٠, 8،			•	0.0%	• •
Total for Region Three	•	33	. 61.		**		+84.9%	
Mean County Value Median County		3.7 4.0	6.8	•	, a			
Value Total for Iowa	• •	864	1,095				+26.7%	Ň
Mean County Value	•	8.7	in in the second	•		•	•	

Letsure and Recreation .. Area:

Structure of Services

Title: Number of full-time equivalent natural resources personnel employed by local governments (local governments include county, municipal, and township governments, and school and special districts)

A TO SEE THE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE S		• .		å .	•	Analysis of Social Change
Governmentæl Unit	,	Year 1967	1972			Percent Change 1967-72
Carroll County	a company of the second of the	4	7			+75.0%
Total for Region Three		29	18		•	-37.9%
Mean County Value	• 1	3.2	2.0	\$		
Median County Value	•	3.0	1.0	•		-33.3%
Total for lowa Mean Lounty Value	*	•396 • 4.0	264 2.7		* * .	33.3%

Structure of Services

Title: Total number of county conservation areas

. 41	ada ana ka dada in na da a asaa a a ana ak a in manaza a					Àn	alysis of S	ocial Chang	<u>e</u>
Governmental Unit		1972	Year ¥ 1973	1974			Perci Chang 1972-73		•
Carroll County	The same of the sa	10	10	10		•	0.0%	0.07	,
Motal for Region Three	•	63	· · , 789	71	``	•	¥11.1Z	+1.4%	•
Mean County Value		7.0	7.8	7.9	•		•		1.
Median County Value		6.0	6.0	6.0	Ser .	,,se	*	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3
Total for Iowa	• •	716	764	787		· •	+6.7%	+3.0%	 • • • •
Mean County Value		7.2	7.	7.9		•			

[&]quot;Conservation areas" includes parks, river access, wildlife areas, highway safety, rest areas, forest areas, historical areas, fishing areas, recreational areas, headquarters, outdoor classrooms, river green belts, botanical preserves, athletic areas, and miscellaneous areas.

Source: Iowa State Conservation Commission, County Conservation Area Directory.

Structure of Services

Title: Total acreage of county conservation areas

		Year		n.,	Analysis of Social Change Percent Change	<u>.e</u>	
Covernmental Unit	1972	1973	1974		1972-73 1973-74		
Carroll County	650.0	931.0	1,061.0		+43.2% +14.0%		
Total for Region Three	3,558.5	4,002.0	4,229.3		+12.5% +5.7%		
Mean County Value	395.4	444.7	469.9				
Median County Value	395.0	447.0	447.0				
Total for Iowa	43,073	49,659	51,632		+15,37 +4.07		
Mean County Value	435.1	501.6	521:5				

Source: Yowa State Conservation Commission, County Conservation Area Directory.

Structure of Services

Title: Number of scheduled events under the leadership of the Iowa Arts Council a

				•					Analy	ysis of S	ocial Cha	inge	
Governmental		,		. У	ear	•		. (Pero Char			
Unit	1967-68	1968-69	1969-70	1970-71	1971-7/2	1972-73	1973-74	1968-69	1969-70	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74
Carroll County	0.	0	, 1	2	1	0	0	z b	z ^b .	+100.0%	-50.0%	-100.02	x ^b
Total for Region Three	12	. 6	14	21	22	17	34	-50.0%	+133.3%	+50.0%	+4.8%	-22.7%	+100.07
Mean County Value	1.3,	0.7	1.6	2.3	2.4	1.9	3.8		,			, `	`
Median County Value	1.0 .	0.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0			•		•	,
Total for Iowa	143	138	235	278	351	345	432	-3.5%	+70.3%	+18.3%	+26.3%	-1.7%	+25.2%
Mean County Value	1.4	1.4	2.4	2.8	3.5	3.5	4.4				,		

a Funding of programs is aided by the Iowa Arts Council as a fund-seeking agency. National Endowment for the Arts funds many programs, also.

Source: Towa State Arts Council, Biennial Report.

b Percent change cannot be computed.

Area: Leisure and Recreation

* Utilization of Services

Data Not Located For This Factor

473;

Area:

Leisure and Retreation
Status of Social Well-Being

Data Not Located For This Factor

245

CHAPTER 11:

PUBLIC SAFETY

Page

Financial Resources

Utilization of Services

1	. Court expense fund operations, total receipts	246		10.	Number of inmates committed to adult corrections in institutions	257
	. Receipts from property tax for court expense fund operations	247	** ` *	2.	Number of admissions to adult probation services	258
3	. Expenditure by county governments on police			3.	Number of admissions to adult parole services	259
•	protection	248				
4	. Expenditures by county governments on corrections	249				
5	. Expenditure of local governments on police protection	250				
6	. Expenditures of local governments on corrections	251		•		.
7	. Expenditures of local governments on fire protection	252	, •			
Я	. Expenses of criminal prosecution other than county	-3-				
` '	attorney's compensation	253				
٥	. County attorney's compensation	254	•			
•	toolity accountly b compensation					
			34	'		`
		7		4		
٠,	Structure of Services		è		Status of Social Well-Being	,,
				42		
		Page		•		Page
		, Page	e *	•—		Page
1	Number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by	, Page	× •	1.	Number of broperty crimes	
1	. Number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by		s*	1.	Number of property crimes Number of violent crimes	Page, 260 261
	local governments for police protection	Page	o •	1. 2. 3.	Number of violent crimes	260
. 2	local governments for police protection Number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by	255	••	1. 2. 3. 4.	Number of violent crimes Number of aggravated assaults	260 261 262
1	local governments for police protection			1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Number of violent crimes Number of aggravated assaults Number of burglaries	260 261
2	local governments for police protection Number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by	255		1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Number of violent crimes Number of aggravated assaults Number of burglaries Number of motor vehicle thefts	260 261 262 263
2	local governments for police protection Number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by	255		1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Number of violent crimes Number of aggravated assaults Number of burglaries Number of motor vehicle thefts Number of deaths from accidents	260 261 262 263 264 265
2	local governments for police protection Number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by	255		1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Number of violent crimes Number of aggravated assaults Number of burglaries Number of motor vehicle thefts	260 261 262 263 264

475

476

Page

Financial Resources

Title: Court expense fund operations, total receipts

	· · ·		•		•	Analys	is of Social	Change
Governmental Unit	• •	1970	1971	Year	1973	1970-71	Percent Change 1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County	*	\$ 91,706	\$ 87,603	\$ 110,547	\$ 128,665	-4.5%	+26.2%	. +16.4%
Total for . Region Three	• •	1,145,436	1,045,382	1,283,415	1,436,164	-8.7%	+22.8%	+11.9%
Mean County Value	•	127,271	116,154	142,602	159,574	* .		
Median County		56,015	65,618	74,074	91,645	•		1
Total for Iowa	•	11,203,097 ,	12,288,562	14,527,200	18,122,463	+9.7%	+182%	+24.7%
Mean County Value	, , , ,	113,163	124,127	146,739	183,055	••	•	•

Source: Auditor of the State of Towa, Biennial Report of County Finances.

Financial Resources

Title: Peceipts from property tax for court expense fund operations

	,			r.	Analysi	s of Social	Change
	: .	ĭ	ear	•		Percent Change	
Governmental Unit	1970	1971	1972	1973	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County	\$ 64,941	\$ 71,421	\$,98,477	\$ 90,337	+10.0%	+37.9%	-8.3%
Total for Region Three	544,390	438,512	775,066	745,300	-19.4%	+76.7%	-3:8%
Mean County * Value	× 260,488	48,724	86,118	82,811			, ·•
Median County Value	40,103	52,837	62,486	73,436	•		•
Total for lowa	8,680,528	9,536,289	10,720,249	12,445,857	+9.9%	+12.4%	+16.1%
Mean County Value	87,682	96,326	108,285	125,716		•	

Source: Auditor of the State of Iowa, Biennial Report of County Finances.

Financial Resources

Title: Expenditure by county governments on police protection

	_			•			Analys	is of Social	Charge C	
Governmental Unit		. 1961-62	Year 1966-67	,	1971-72	•	Percent Change • 1962-72	• •	Change in Proportion 1962-72	
Carroll County	•	\$ 20,000 (1.5%)	\$ 26,000 (134%)		\$ 0.0%	+	-100.0%	·	-1.5%	
Total tor Region Three		165,000 · (1.2%)	189,000	•	632,000 (2.3%)	· · · · ·	+283.0%	• •	+1.1%	
Mean County Value Median County		18,000	,NA ^d	•	70,000	4 \	•	•	`	•
Value		19,000	ЙĀ	*	49,000	.	•		`	
Total for Iowa	•	2,657,000 (1.6%)	3,488,000 (1.7%)	٠	6,249,000 · (2.0%)	,	+135.2%		+0.47	
Mean County Value		\$Z,000	NA .		63,000		• •			

Figures in parenthesis represent the expenditure by county government on police protection as a percent of total county government expenditures.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Change in proportion represents the change in expenditures by county government on police protection adjusted for change in the total county government expenditures.

Analysis of social change computed for 1962-72 only due to missing data for Webster County for 1966-67.

d NA: Data not available.

Minancial Resources

Title: Expenditures by county governments on corrections

e a se e anne e an an anne an anne e anne E	ener energy of the second second		Commence of the same of the sa			Analysis of	Social Change
		*	Year .		•	Percent ; Change.	Change in Proportion
Governmental Unit		1961-62	1966-67	1971-72	•	1962-72	1962-72
Carroll County		\$ 4,000 (0.3%)	\$ 2,000 (0.1%)	\$ 10,000 . (0.4%)		+150:0%	+0.1%
Total for Region Three		57,000 (0.4%)	41,000? (0.3%)	61,000 (0.2%)		+7.0%	-0.2%
Mean County Value	, · •	6,000	NA ^d	7,000	, m,	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Median County Value	•	6,000	, AM	* 8,000		•	
Total for Towa		911,000 (0.6%)	1,315,000	~3,511,000 (1.1%)		+285.4%	+0.5%
Mean County Value	, .	9,000	Ай	35,000	*		

Figures in parenthesis represent the expenditures by county governments on corrections as a percent of the county's general expenditures.

Change in proportion represents the change in the expenditures by county governments on corrections adjusted for change in the county's general expenditures.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Analysis of social change computed for 1962-72 only due to missing data for 1966-67 for Webster County.

d NA: Data not available.

Financial Resources

little: Expenditure of local governments on police protection (local governments include county, municipal, and township governments, and school and special districts)

:	•	•	•			• .		• •			Analysis	de la	cial Change	٠
Sovernmental			•	`Yea				•		Per Cha	cent nge	·	Chan Propo	ge in trion
Unit'			1961-67	1966-	-67		1971-72		,	1962-67	. 1967-72		1962-67	1967-72
Carroll County	*		\$ 72,000 (2.3%) ^a		8,000 2%)		\$ 133,000 (1.6%)			+36.1%	+35.7%		-0.1%	· -0.6%
Total for Region Three	•	*	781,000 (2.2%)	1,016	6,000 9%)		2,180,000 (2.4%)	•		+30.1%	+114.6%		-0.3%	+0.5%
Mean County Value Median County	*		87,000	11:	3,000		242,000	. •	· •		•	•		
, Value	•		72,000	98	8,000		153,000		*	•	,			<i>w</i>
Total for Iowa		•	15,343,000	19,490),000 %)		35,888,000		4	-27.3%	+84.1%	٠	-0.3%	+0.3%
Mean County Value	- 11 Box	n=	155,000	199	,000		363,000				1 00	•		

Figures in parenthesis represent the expenditure of local governments on police protection as a percent of total direct general expenditure.

Change in proportion represents the change in expenditure of local governments on police protection adjusted for change in the total direct general expenditures.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments. 1.

Financial Resources

Title: Expenditures of local governments on corrections (local governments include county; municipal, and township governments, and school and special districts)

	1	` •	,		•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Analysis o	f Social Change	
Governmental			Year			Pero Çhar		Change Propor	in _b
Unit	. To a remain a com-	1961-62	1966-67	. 1971-72	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1962-67	1967-72	1962-67	1967-72
Carroll County		\$ 4,000 (0.1%) a	\$ 2,000 (0.04%)	\$ 10,000 (0.1%)	· 34	-50.0%	+400.0%	-0.06%	+0.06%
Total for Region Three		57,000 (0.2%)	73,000 (0.1%)	61,000 (0.1%)	,	+28.1%	-16.4%	-0.1%	0.07
Mean County Valuer Medián County		6,000	8,000	7,000					•
Value	•	6,000	4,000	, 8,000			*	•	
Total for Iowa		902,000 (0.2%)	1,310,000 (0.2%)	3,390,000		+45.2%	+158.8%	0.0%	+0.1%
Mean County Value		9,000	, 13,000	34,000		.*	-	Pa s.	

^{&#}x27;a Figures in parenthesis represent expenditures of local governments on corrections as a percent of the total direct general expenditures.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Change in a poortion represents the change in expenditures of local governments on corrections adjusted for change in the total direct general expenditures.

Financial Resources .

Title: Expenditures of local governments on fire protection (local governments include county, municipal, and township governments, and school and special districts)

			•	Analysis	of Social Change	
Governmental Unit		Year	***	Percent Change	Change in Proportion	
WILL	1961-62	1966-67	1971-72	1962-67 1967-72	1962-67 1967-72	١
Carroll (County	\$ 30,000 (1.0%)	\$ 53,000 (1.2%)	\$ 53,000 (0.7%)	+76.7% 0.0%	+0.2% -0.5%	
Total for Region Three	428,000 (1.2%)	592,000 (1.2%)	775,000 (0.9%)	+38.3% +30.9%	+0.0% -0.3%	,
Mean County Value	48,000	66,000	. 86,000 ^c			
, Median County ,	30,000	43,000	49,000 ^c	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	
*Total for Inwa	10,205,000 (1.8%)	13,263,000 (1.6%)	19,369,000° (1.4%) >	+30.0% +46.0%	-0.2% -0.2%	
Mean Count∳ Value	103,000	134,000	196,000 ^c	•		
the state of the second			Y _V	t.	•	

a figures in parenthesis represent expenditures of local governments on fire protection as a percent of total direct general expenditure.

Change in proportion represents the change in expenditures of local governments on fire protection adjusted for change in the total direct general expenditure.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Data are missing for Calhoun County.

Financial Resources

Title: Expenses of criminal prosecution other than county attorney's compensation

		• • •	•	5	Analysis of Social Change
Governmental Unit	1972-	Year	973-74	s ,	Percent Change 1972-74
Carroll County	\$ 11,7	66.35 /\$	53,644.22	,	+355.9%
Total for Region Three	123,9	46.13	95,482.75	•	+84.2%
Mean County . Value Median County Value .	`	*	21,720.31 15,102.72		
Total for Iowa Mean County Value	3,322,3	• •	49,642.21 48,986.28		+46.07

Source: State of Iowa, Report of the Board of Parole.

Financial Resources

Title: County attorney's compensation

•	,	•	<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>		*		•		Anal	ysis of Social Cha	inge
Covernmental Unit			1972-73	Year	1973-74	•	,	*		Percent Change	,
Carroll County	· • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The second second	\$ 8,500.00		9,375.00	-			-	+10.3%	
Total for Region Three	•		78,582.48	٠,	87,122.32			•		+10.9%	•
Mean County Value Median County	•	.	8,731.39 8,500.00	.)	9,680.26	` .	* *	•	•		•
Value Total for Iowa		· /# (838,198.63	*	9,250.00		` .	•	•	+21.3%	,
Mean Count; Value	•	,	8,466.65		10,270.06	**					, •

Source: State of Iowa, Report of the Board of Parole.

Structure of Services

Title: Number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by local governments for police protection (local governments include county, municipal, and township governments, and school and special districts)

,	**	,		•	,			Analysis of S	ocial Change	
6	` .		. Year		•	**	Per Çha	c e nt nge	Chan Propo	ge in trion
Governmental Unit		1962	1967		1972		1962-67	1967-72	1962-67	1967-72
Carroll County	**	19 (4.8%) ^a	20 (4.9%)		24 , (5.3%)	• •	÷5.3%	+20.0%	+0.1%	+0.4%
Total for Region Three	•	158 (3,2%)	178 (3.2%)	,	222 (3.6%)		+12,7%	+24.7%	+0.0%	+0.4%
Mesin County r Value	•	17.6	19.8	,	24.7					
Median County Value		. 14.0	. 18.0		23.0	, Y	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,	
Total for Iowa		2,626 (3,87)	2,959 (3.8%)	₹ .	3,652 (4.0%)		+12.7%	+23.4%	.0.0%	+0.2%
Mean County Value		26.5	29.9	*	36.9	•		*)	

Figures in parenthesis represent number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by local governments for police protection as a percent of total full-time equivalent personnel employed by local government.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

496

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by local government for police protection adjusted for change in the total number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by local government.

Structure of Services

Title: Number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by local governments for fire protection (local governments include county, municipal,

and township governments, and school and special districts)

*		*			-/						Analysis of	Social Change	
Governmental			·		Year		•				cent ,	Chan Propo	ge in rtion
Unit			1962		1967		1972	`		1962-67	1967-72	1962-67	1967-72
Carroll County	•	•	(0.8%) ^a	•	5 (1.2%)	· ·	7 (1.6%)	,		+66.7%	+40.0%	+0.4%	+0.4%
Total for Region Three	•		60 . (1.2%)	*	68 (1.2%)		(1.8%)	1,		+13.3%	+67.7%	0.0 % .	+0.6%
Mean County Value Median County Value		,	6.7 3.0		7.6		. (12.7.		•	,	•	•	, \
Total for Iowa	7	,	1,604	•	1,635 (2.1%)		1,998	•	•	~+1.9 %	+22.2%	-0.2%	+0.17
Meah County Value			16.2	,	16.5		20.2	•				•	`

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by local government for fire protection as a percent of the total number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by local government.

Source: /U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Government.

biChange in proportion represents the change in the number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by local government for fire protection adjusted for change in the personnel employed by local government.

Utilization of Services

Title: Number of inmates committed to adult corrections in institutions

And the same of th		••	*				An	alysis of S	ocial Chang	<u>e</u>
		٠		Year	•	•	,	Pero Char	ent 🗸 🔭	
Governmental Unit		1971	*1972 -	1973	1974	⟨19-75	: 1971-72	1972-73	1973-74	1974-75
Carroll County		1.	1	1 •	3	1	0.0%	0.0%	+200.0%	-66.7%
Total for' Region Three	ł	21	26	12(4 21	29	+23.8%	-53.8%	+75.0%	+38.1%
Mean County Value	` .	2.3	2.9	1.3	2,3	` 7 3.2				
Median County Value		2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0		`		
Total for Iowa',	•	582	599	607	660	820	+2.9%	+1.3%	+8.7%	+24.2%
Mean County * Value	•	5.9	6.0	6.1	6.7	8.3			,	

Source: Iowa Department of Secial Services, Annual Reports.

599

400

· Utilization of Services

Title: Number of admissions to adult probation services

		•	•	•	· ·	Analys	is of Social Change
•		•	, Y	ear	***		Percent Change
Governmental Unit		1972	1973	1974	1975	1972-73	1973-74 1974-75
Carroll County	K	, 5	4	3 ,	9 .	-20.0%	-25.0x +200,0x
Total for Region Three	• ,	50	32	79	98	-36.0%	+146.97 +24.17
Mean County Value		5.6	3.6	8.8	10.9		
Median County Value	۵	5.0	3.0	6.0	9.0	,	•
Total for Iowa	•	633	525	1,099	971	+17.1%	+109.3% -11.6%
Mean County 'Value		6.4	5.3	$r_{11,1}$	9.8	A STATE	•

a Data are for respective year ending June 30.

Source: Iowa Department of Social Services, Annuad Reports.

Utilization of Services

Title: Number of admissions to adult parole services

• • •	•	*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*		,	Analys	ls of Social	Change	
Governmental Unit		1972	* Y	ear 1974	P1975	•	1972-73	Percent Change 1973-74	1974-75	*
Carroll County	The state of the s	1	1	0	0	 	0.0%	-100.02	7 b	•
Total for Region Three		27	1.5	; 16	9		-44.4%	+6.7%	-43.8%	•
Mean County Value	,	3.0	1.7	1.8	1.0	•		ř		
Median Coun		1.0	0.0	71.0	0.0	•	à		8	•
Total for Iowa		679	393	372	√ 386	•	-42.1%	-5:3%	43.8%	
Mean County Value		6.9	4.0	3.8	3.9	,		*.		`

a Data are for respective years ending June 30.

Source: Iowa Department of Social Services, Annual Reports.

b Percent change cannot be computed.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of property crimes

					` •					Analysis of Social Ch	ange
Governmental		¥	•_		Year	34		`	*	Percent Change	
Unit	•			1974	•	1975	•			1974-75	•
Carroll County			→	244		343	<u></u>	`	,	+40.67	
Total for Region Three		•		. 2,409	*.	4,987				+107.0%	•
Mean County Value Median County			/	267.7%	•	554.1%	·		•	•	
Value				184.0%	•	317.0%	`	÷		4.	,

a Reported by county and city law enforcement officials. Data may not represent the total number of reported crimes due to the failure of some county and city officials to submit one or more monthly reports to state officials.

Status of Social Well-Being '

Title: Number of violent crimes

The state of the s			`	`		,		Analy	sis of Social	Change '	
Governmental				1077	Year	• •		•	Percent Change 1974-75		
Unit		·		1974	1975			<u> </u>			
Carroll County				8	1	•			-87.5%	<i>Y</i>	ı
. Total for Region Three	٧ /		•	78	99	`	, ,	•	+22.9%	•	
. Mean County Value	,	*		8.7	11.0	• *		,	•	,	
Median County Value	•			6.0	7.0		٥	• •	,		

Reported by county and law enforcement officials. Data may not represent the total number of reported crimes due to the failure of some county and city officials to submit one or more monthly crime-reports to state officials.

Status of Social Well-Being.

Title: Number of aggravated assaults

,				•	Analysis of Social Change
Governmental Unit		1974	Year 1975	•	Percent Change 1974-75
	وينها المجال المجال المجال المجال المحال المجال	1774	171J		2377,73
Carroll County	•	7	1 -		-85.7%
Total for Region Three	V .	54	. 59	*	+9,3%
Mean County Value	,	6.0	6.6		•
Median County Value		5.0	2.0		•

Reported by county and city law enforcement officials. Data may not represent the total number of reported crimes due to the failure of some county and city officials to submit one or more monthly crime reports to state officials.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of burglaries

,						Analy	rsis of Social Change
Governmental		,		Year .		•	Percent Change
Unit			1974	1975	ŧ	• •	1974-75
Carroll County	man and the second second	:	77	112			+45.5%
Total for Region Three			768	1,441			+88.17
Mean County Value	•		85.1	160.1	•		
Median County Value	`.	• **	77.0	112.0	•		· ·

Reported by county and city law enforcement officials. Data may not represent the total number of reported crimes due to the failure of some county and city officials to submit one or more monthly crime reports to state officials.

Area:

Public Safety *

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of motor vehicle thefts

									\	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	An	alysis of Social	Change
Governmental			•	•		Year				•	•	Percent Change	•
Unit					1974		1975	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- }	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· .	1974-75	
Carroll County	v	,	•		13		19	ŧ	٠			+46.2%	
Total for Region Three	,		,	*	84		123				7	+46.4%	· ·
Mean County Value Median County Value			* ~		9.3		13.7		•		***		

Source: Iowa Department of Public Safety, Crime in Iowa: 1975.

513

Reported by county and city law enforcement officials. Data may not represent the total number of reported crimes due to failure of some county and city officials to submit one or more monthly crime reports to state officials.

265

Area: Public Safety

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of deaths from accidents

	,	*		× × ×	• •	Analysis of	Social Change	
Governmental		•	Year	٠	×.,	Percent Change	Change in Proportion	b _
Unit	1969	1971	1973	1975	1969-71	1971-73 1973-75	1969-71 ,1971-73	1973-75
Carroll County	12 (50.0) ^a	14 (60:6)	, 10 (43.1)	(34,3)	+16.7%	-28.6% -20.CX	+10.5 -17.5	-8.8
Total for Region Three	113 (59.3)	106 (57.0)	121 (64.7)	106 (58.2)	-6.2%	+14.2% -12.4%	-2.3 +7.7	-6.5
Mean County Value	. 13	• 12	13	12	`		4	•
Median County Value	10	10	12	, 12	•			
Total for Iowa	1,649 (60.0)	1,702 (59.5)	1,649 (56.8)	1,442 (50.2)	+3.2%	-3.1% -12.6%	-6.5 -2.7	-6.6
Mean County Value	17	17	17	15		ender . •	• /	

[.] a Figures in parenthesis represent the number of deaths from accidents per 100,000 estimated population.

Source: Iowa State Department of Health, Iowa Detailed Report of Vital Statistics.

^{*} Change in proportion represents the change in the rate of deaths from accidents per 100,000 estimated population.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Number of deaths from motor vehicle accidents

•	1		•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u>A</u> ı	nalysis_of	Social Cha	nge	
Governmental	.		,	Year		•			Percent Change	•		Change in	
Unit		-1969	1971		1973	1975	``	1969-71	1971-73	1973-75	1969-71	1971-73	1973-75
Carroll County	**	(33.3) ^a	* 4 (34.6)		(25.9)	(4.3)	•	0.0%	-25.0%	-83.3%	+1.32	-8.7	-21.6
Total for Region Three	,	63 (33.1)	52 (27.9) .		(32.6)	+ (21.4)		-17.5%	+17.3%	-36.1%	-15.2%	+4.7	-11.2
Mean County Value. Median County	. 6	7	_6	•	7	4	÷,	~			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		` `
Value V	ŧ	• 7	6	•	6 .	. 3	• .		•			,	•
Total for Iowa		868 (31.6)	(31.0) 886,	:	851 (29.3)	690 (24.0)	`•	÷2.17	-4.0%	* -18.9%	-0.6	-1.7	-5.3
Mean County Value	•	·. 9	9		9	7,					,		

Figures in parenthesis represent number of deaths from motor vehicle accidents per 100,000 estimated population.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the rate of deaths from motor vehicle accidents per 100,000 estimated population.

Source: Iowa Department of Health, Iowa Detailed Report of Vital Statistics.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Total number sentenced to jail, fined, suspended

						Analysis of Social Change
.	,	•	Year		4	Percent
Governmental Unit	,	1972-73	1973-74	£		Change 1972-74
Carroll County		12	17		*	·+41.72
Total for Region Three	•	328	354	•	· ·	+7.9%
Mean County Value Median County		36.4	39.3			
Value	,	25.0	~ 26.0		•	
Total for Iowa	ę	3,745	4,946	•		+32.1%
Mean County Value	.Q	37.8	,50.0		•	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Source: State of Iowa, Report of the Board of Parole.

CHAPTER 12:

ENVIRONMENT

Financial Resources Utilization of Services Page Page Data not located for this factor. Expenditure of local governments on sewage and 275 sanitation 269 2. Conservation board fund operations, total to account for 270 Conservation board fund operations, property tax receipts 27**ì** 4. Conservation board fund Operations, expenses, total . 272 Structure Services Status of Social Well-Being Page \ Page 1. Number of full-time equivalent personnel employed by 1. Housing units provided with sewage disposal by a local governments for sanitation and sewage public sewer. 276 disposal and treatment 273 2. Housing units lacking sewage disposal through a 2. Number of permanent and mobile air pollution public sewer, septic tank, or cesspool 277 . monitoring sites 274 3. Occupied rural farm housing units with septic tanks or cesspools 278 4. Occupied rural farm housing units lacking sewage disposal through a public sewer, septic tank, or cesspool 279



522

Financial Resources

Title: Expenditure of local governments on sewage and sanitation (local governments include county, municipal, and township governments, and school and special districts)

	• •	* •	*	Analysis of Social Change						
Governmental		Year	•		cent nge	į	Chang Propo	ge in _b ction		
Unit	1961-62	1966-67	1971-72	1962-67	1967-72	**	1962-67	1967-72		
Carroll County	\$ 86,000 (2.8%).	\$ 102,000 (2.3%)	\$ 218,000 (2.7%)	+18.6%	+113.7%		-0.5%	+0.47		
Total for Region Three	1,121,000 (3.1%)	1,285,000 (2.3%)	1,041,000 (1.1%)	+14.6%	-26.8%	**	-0.8%	-1.2%		
Mean County Value	125,000	143,000	116,000	•			· •			
Median County Value	71,000	102,000	69,000	`						
Total for Iowa	26,630,000 (4.7%)	28,086,000 (3.4%)	37,436,000 (2,8%)	+5.5%	+33.3%		-1.3%	-0.6%		
Mean County Value	269,000	284,000	378,000			.	•	•		

^a Figures in parenthesis represent the amount of direct general expenditure allocated for sewage and sanitation as a percent of total direct general expenditure of local governments.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Change in proportion represents the change in the amount of direct general expenditure allocated for sewage and sanitation adjusted for change in the total direct general expenditure of local government.

Financial Resources

Title: Conservation board fund operations, total to account for /

		•	\	* *	· Analys	is of Social	Change
Governmental	1970	1971	ear	1973	; 1970-71	Percent Change 1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County	\$ 87,270	\$ 94,149	\$ 108,452	\$ 112,999	+7.7%	+15.2%	+4.2%
Total for Region Three	733,865	630,170	611,451	659,148	-14.1%	-3.0%	+7.8%
Mean County Value	81,541	70,019	67,939	73,239			
Median County Value	87,270	70,172	49,066	70,804		4	
Total for Iowa	7,333,068	7,396,220	8,550,785	9,761,578	+0.9%	+15.6%	+14.2%
Mean County Value	74,071	74,709	86,372	98,602	•••	•	•

Source: Auditor of the State of Iowa, Biennial Report of County Finances.

Financial Resources

Title: Conservation board fund operations, property tax receipts

		•		Analysis of Social Change				
Governmental Unit	,	1970	1971	Year 1972	1973	1970-71	Percent 'Change 1971-72	1972-73
Carroll County		\$ 58,452	\$ 76,593	\$ 72,462	\$ 72,494	+15.6%	+7.2%	+0.05%
Total for Region Three	•	385,865	345,685	326,870	394,509	-10.4%	-5 .5 %	+20°.7%
Mean County Value Median County	•	42,874	38,409	ल्म 36,319	43,834	•		
Value	•	47,074	29,724	29,193	°42,917			•
Total for Iowa	`	4,224,509	4,110,732	4,482,706	4,760,108	-2.7%	+9.17	+6.2%
Mean County * Value		42,672	41,523	45,280	48,082	. .	` •	•

Source: Auditor of the State of Iowa, Biennial Report of County Finances.

Pinancial Resources

Title: Conservation board fund operations, expenses, total

. Man a company of the constitution of the con	A TO SELECTION OF THE PROPERTY			•	Analys	Analysis of Social Change			
•		Yea	r		•	Percent ** Change			
Governmental ← Unit	1970	1971	1972	1973	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73		
Carroll County	\$ 78,010	\$ 82,745	\$ 87,684	\$ 94,339	+6.1%	+6.0%	+7.6%		
Total for Region Three	558,747	529,474	472,328	506,656	-5.2%	-10.8%	+7.3%		
Mean County Value	62,083	58,830	52,481	56,295					
Median County Value	49,934	54,496	44,290	46,051	•		•		
Total for Iowa	4,863,341	4,890,612	5,707,664	6,296,090	+0.6%	+16.7%	+10.3%		
Mean County Val g e	49,125	49,400	57,653	63,597	`	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ·		

Source: Auditor of the State of Iowa, Biennial Report of County Finances.

529

530

Structure of Services .

Title: Number of full-time equivalent personnel embloyed by local governments for sanitation and sewage disposal and treatment (local governments include county, municipal, and township governments, and school and special districts)

<i>ħ</i> ,	`			4.	. 	•	Analysis of Social Change
, Governmental Unit			Yea 1967	r / .		•	Percent Change 1967-72
Carroll County	*		10	5.	•		-50.0%
Total for Region Three	•	•	91	73,	•		-19.8%
Mean County Value	•		10.1	8.1	•	•	
Mediaf Gounty Value		* 44	₿ 6.0	5.0		• •	
Total for Iowa	•		1,607	1,437	1,	•	-10.6%
Mean County Value	• .		16.2	14.5		•	

Source: U.6. Department of Commerce, Census of Governments.

Structure of Services

Title: Number of permanent and mobile air pollution monitoring sites

Governmental Unit		, *	Year	Î973	7.		Analysis of Social Change Percent Change 1972-73	B
Carroll County	•		0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			zª.	
Total for Region Three			0)	2	<u>~</u>	```````\ <u>`</u>	z a	
Mean County Value Median County Value		•	0.0	0.2	,		•	•
Total for Towa Mean County Value		•	0.2	0.3	•	bo ,	+12.5%	

Percent change cannot be computed.

Source: State Hygiene Laboratory, Annual Report.

Utilization of Services

Data Not Located For This Factor

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Housing units provided with sewage disposal by a public sewer

•	•		•	Analysis of Social Change		
Governmental	Yea	`	· •	Percent Change	Change in Proportion	
Unit	4960	1970		1960-70	1960-70	
Carroll County	(3,950 (56.5%)	4,475 (62.0%)		+13.3%	+5.5%	
Total for Region Three	36,372 (55.8%)	40,394 (62.3%)	•	+11.1%	+6.5%	
Mean County Value	4,041	4,488	ar .	•		
Median County Value	3,685	4,028	%	•	,	
Total for Iowa	363,457 (40,1%)	662,320 (68.7%)		+82.2%	+26.6%	
Mean County Value	3,671	6,690	.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of all housing units (1960) and year-round housing units (1970) with sewage disposal by a public sewer as a percent of the total number of housing units (1960) and year-round housing units (1970), respectively.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Housing, 1960, Detailed Housing Characteristics, 1970.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the number of housing units with sewage disposal by a public sewer adjusted for change in the total housing units (1960) and year-round units (1970).

^C Based on all housing units for 1960 and all year-round housing units for 1970.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Housing units lacking sewage disposal through a public sewer, septic tank, or cesspool

*		•	•			Analysis of Social Change		
Governmental		Year			.,	Percent Change	Change in Proportion	
Unit		4	1960	1970	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1960-70	1960-70	
Carroll County			819 (11.7%) a	158 (2.2%)	4	-80.7%	-9.5%	
Total for Region Three		~	7,764 (11.9%)	2,087 (3.2%)		-73.1%	-8.7%	
Mean County Value Median County	Š	,	863	232	•	` .		
Value			739	183			,	
Total for Iowa			107,728 (11.9%)	34,829 (3.6%)		-67.7%	-8.3%	
Nean County Value			1,088	352	•	,	*	

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of all housing units for 1960 and year-round units for 1970, respectively, lacking sewage disposal through a public sewer, septic tank, or cesspool as a percent of the total number of housing units (1960) and total number of year-round housing units (1970).

b Change in proportion represents the change in the number of housing units lacking sewage disposal through a public sewer, septic tank, or cesspool adjusted for change in the total housing units (1960) and year-round housing units (1970).

^c Based on all housing units for 1960 and all year-round housing units for 1970.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Housing, 1960, and Detailed Housing Characteristics, 1970.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Occupied rural farm housing units with septic tanks or cesspools

A Committee of the Comm			*	Analysis	of Social Change
: Governmental Unit	Year	1970		Percent Change 1960-70	Change in Proportion 1960-70
Carroll County	1,404 (71.7%) ^a	1,626 (93.6%)	,	+15.8%	+21.9%
Total for Region Three	13,311 (79.3%)	12,412 (92.5%)		-6.8%	+13.2%
Mean County Value Median County	1,479	1,379	, · · · · ·		•
Value	1,427	1,384	··	* 1	
Total for Iowa	131,660	130,378		-0.9%	i.
	(73.3%)	(90.8%)		•	+17.5%
Mean County Value	1,330	1,317	N.	*	

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of occupied rural farm units with septic tanks or cesspools as a percent of the total number of occupied rural farm units.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Housing, 1960, and Detailed Housing Characteristics, 1970.

b Change in proportion represents the change in the number of occupied rural farm units with septic tanks or cesspools adjusted for change in the total occupied rural farm units in 1960 and 1970.

Status of Social Well-Being

Title: Occupied rural farm housing units tacking sewage disposal through a public sewer, septic tank, or cesspool

, `				Analysis of So	cial Change
Governmental Unit	•	1960 Year	r 1970	Percent Change 1960-70	Change in Proportion 1960-70
Garroll County		(26.1%) a	82* (4.7%)	-84.0%	-21.4X
Total for . Region Three	. *	3,358 (20.0%)	586 (4.4%)	-82.5%	-15.6%
Mean County Value Median County	•	373 *	65		•
Value		399	66	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total for Iowa	*	46,609 (26.0%)	11,232 (7.8%)	-75.9%	-18.2X
Mean County Value	,	471	113		<i>i</i> .

Figures in parenthesis represent the number of occupied rural farm units lacking sewage disposal through a public sewer, septic tank, or cesspool as a percent of the total number of housing units for 1960 and year-round housing units for 1970.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census of Housing (1960), Detailed Housing Characteristics (1970).

Change in proportion represents the change in the number of rural farm housing units lacking sewage disposal through a public sewer, septic tank, or cesspool adjusted for change in the total housing units (1960) and year-round housing units (1970).